

Teaching Evaluations

This document includes 1) a list of courses I worked on as a teaching assistant at UC Davis, and 2) the most recent quantitative course evaluations accompanied by students' qualitative written feedback.

Summary of Teaching Evaluations

I have developed and refined my teaching skills over the years. My most recent teaching evaluations, which are the best indicators of my teaching effectiveness, averaged 4.5 out of 5.0 across six courses mentioned below, compared to a departmental average of 4.2 for the 2023-2024 academic year.

Course	Last time taught	Rating
Econ 1B (Principles of Macroeconomics)	Fall 2020	4.5
Econ 100 (Intermediate Microeconomics)	Spring 2024	4.8
Econ 101 (Intermediate Macroeconomics)	Fall 2022	4.3
Econ 134 (Financial Economics)	Winter 2024	4.1
Econ 135 (Money and Banking)	Winter 2023	4.2
Econ 190 (International Finance)	Winter 2022	5.0

Note: Ratings are based on "overall teaching effectiveness of the teaching assistant."

The most recent evaluations of the above courses, gathered from anonymous student surveys conducted by the UC Davis Academic Course Evaluation, are attached below.



Selected Terms* (6)
Selected Evaluations* (6)

Student Evaluation of Teaching

Enrollment 173
 % responding 38%

	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Fair	Poor				
	5 %	4 %	3 %	2 %	1 %	\bar{X}	SD	M	N
Please indicate the overall educational value of the course. (excellent very good satisfactory fair poor)	49 73%	13 19%	5 7%	0 0%	0 0%	4.7	0.6	5.0	67
Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the teaching assistant. (excellent very good satisfactory fair poor)	33 53%	19 31%	9 15%	1 2%	0 0%	4.4	0.8	5.0	62
Overall, the instructor did a good job teaching this course	21 57%	12 32%	3 8%	1 3%	0 0%	4.4	0.8	5.0	37
Overall, the instructor did a good job teaching this course.	10 43%	9 39%	3 13%	0 0%	1 4%	4.2	1.0	4.0	23
The TA presents the material in a clear and and organized manner	21 55%	9 24%	7 18%	1 3%	0 0%	4.3	0.9	5.0	38
The TA presents the material in a clear and and organized manner.	9 39%	11 48%	2 9%	1 4%	0 0%	4.2	0.8	4.0	23
The TA speaks audibly and communicates effectively	18 47%	11 29%	7 18%	2 5%	0 0%	4.2	0.9	4.0	38
The TA speaks audibly and communicates effectively.	9 39%	10 43%	3 13%	0 0%	1 4%	4.1	0.9	4.0	23
The TA stimulates discussion in sections	19 51%	9 24%	7 19%	2 5%	0 0%	4.2	0.9	5.0	37
The TA stimulates discussion in sections.	10 45%	8 36%	4 18%	0 0%	0 0%	4.3	0.7	4.0	22
The TA is responsive to questions	24 65%	8 22%	5 14%	0 0%	0 0%	4.5	0.7	5.0	37
The TA is responsive to questions.	10 43%	9 39%	4 17%	0 0%	0 0%	4.3	0.7	4.0	23
The TA is punctual for sections and office hours	24 67%	9 25%	3 8%	0 0%	0 0%	4.6	0.6	5.0	36
The TA is punctual for sections and office hours.	13 57%	7 30%	3 13%	0 0%	0 0%	4.4	0.7	5.0	23
The TA is available and helpful to students during office hours	20 61%	10 30%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	4.5	0.7	5.0	33
The TA is available and helpful to students during office hours.	11 50%	7 32%	4 18%	0 0%	0 0%	4.3	0.8	4.5	22
The TA is responsive to difficulties students have in understanding the material	25 68%	8 22%	3 8%	1 3%	0 0%	4.5	0.8	5.0	37
The TA is responsive to difficulties students have in understanding the material.	10 45%	8 36%	3 14%	1 5%	0 0%	4.2	0.8	4.0	22
The grading was fair and timely	27 71%	8 21%	2 5%	0 0%	1 3%	4.6	0.8	5.0	38
The grading was fair and timely.	17 71%	3 13%	4 17%	0 0%	0 0%	4.5	0.8	5.0	24
The discussion section was a good educational experience	17 46%	13 35%	6 16%	0 0%	1 3%	4.2	0.9	4.0	37
The discussion section was a good educational experience.	15 65%	3 13%	4 17%	1 4%	0 0%	4.4	0.9	5.0	23

Overall, the TA did a good job in this course	22	58%	12	32%	3	8%	1	3%	0	0%	4.4	0.8	5.0	38
Overall, the TA did a good job in this course.	14	58%	6	25%	3	13%	1	4%	0	0%	4.4	0.9	5.0	24

Evaluate only the TA (s) with whom you attended discussion section and/or office hours.

The discussion time was helpful in getting more understanding of the lessons.

Jeremey

Jeremy's only thing was that he spoke a little quietly, however, he really knew what he was doing and had a solid grasp of what he was teaching. I think that Jeremy was great, and I appreciated the discussion sessions.

10 out of 10 love. Was so helpful and the fact that he ended every email with I know this is hard so let's reach out and ask me questions and stuff was so so sweet. He explained everything so nicely and sent out the lecture videos right away which is amazing and I love thank you.

I really appreciate Jeremy's quick responses to my emails, and he always seems to be trying his best to help.

Jeremy Meng.

I live/work an hour away and did not find these sessions particularly useful as they were not always held for very long.

Good

great!

Jeremy did a good job explaining topics from class and was helpful with the course homework assignments

Please provide additional information and/or elaborate on any aspect of your evaluation. These comments are often the most valuable part of your evaluation. Every comment will be read!

He is very good

The discussion sections were always very informative. Jeremy always presented the information clearly and was very helpful. He was also always available and willing to help during office hours.

Thank you for teaching us this fall!

Thank you so much I loved this class.

Jeremy is cool.

Jeremy was always so respectful and had great knowledge on the subject that helped make me feel great knowing I could potentially ask a question to Jeremy.

Term	Eval Opened	CRN	Subject	Course	Section	Enrollment	% Response
Fall Quarter 2020	12/1/2020 12:00 AM	29725	ECN	001B	B07	30	60
Spring Quarter 2024	5/30/2024 12:00 AM	38347	ECN	100A	A04	21	23
Fall Quarter 2022	11/28/2022 6:00 AM	30003	ECN	101	A04	40	22
Winter Quarter 2024	3/11/2024 12:00 AM	21336	ECN	134	A03	37	54
Winter Quarter 2023	3/13/2023 6:00 AM	21345	ECN	135	A02	37	27
Winter Quarter 2022	3/7/2022 6:00 AM	21430	ECN	190	A01	8	62