

## SOLUTIONS FOR HOMEWORK 7

THROUGHOUT THIS HOMEWORK, WE ASSUME THAT (REVERSE) TRIGONOMETRIC AND EXPONENTIAL FUNCTIONS – SUCH AS  $\exp$ ,  $\sin$ ,  $\cos$ ,  $\tan$ , OR  $\arctan$  – ARE CONTINUOUS. OTHER COMMON CALCULUS FACTS ABOUT THESE FUNCTIONS CAN ALSO BE USED.

**22.3** Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $E^-$  is not connected. Then we can write  $E^-$  as a disjoint union of nonempty sets  $A$  and  $B$ , so that  $A^- \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap B^-$ . Let  $A_0 = E \cap A$  and  $B_0 = E \cap B$ , then  $E = A_0 \cup B_0$ . Further,  $A_0^- \cap B_0 \subset A^- \cap B = \emptyset$ . Similarly,  $A_0 \cap B_0^- = \emptyset$ . As  $E$  is connected, either  $A_0$  or  $B_0$  must be empty. After relabeling, assume  $A_0 = A \cap E = \emptyset$  – that is,  $A \subset E^- \setminus E$ . Note that then,  $E \subset B$ . Pick  $a \in A$ ; as it belongs to  $E^-$ , it is a limit of a sequence  $(x_n) \subset E$ . However,  $(x_n) \subset B$ , hence  $a = \lim x_n \in B^-$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $A \cap B^- = \emptyset$ .

ALTERNATIVE SOLUTION. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $E^-$  is not connected. Then there exist open sets  $U_1, U_2$  so that  $E^- \subset U_1 \cup U_2$ ,  $E^- \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $E^- \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $E^- \cap U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ . As  $E$  is connected, it belongs to either  $U_1$  or  $U_2$ ; by re-labeling, assume  $E \subset U_1$ . Pick  $x \in E^- \cap U_2$ . Then there exists  $r > 0$  so that  $\mathbf{B}_r^o(x) \subset U_2$ . However, as  $x$  belongs to the closure of  $E$ , we have  $\mathbf{B}_r^o(x) \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$ . This contradicts  $E^- \cap U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ .

**A.** Prove that an intersection of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is convex.

Suppose  $\mathcal{E}$  is a family of convex sets. Show that  $F = \cap_{E \in \mathcal{E}} E$  is convex – that is, if  $a, b \in F$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $(1-t)a + tb \in F$ .  $a$  and  $b$  belong to each of the sets  $E$ , hence, by convexity, the same is true for  $(1-t)a + tb$ . Therefore,  $(1-t)a + tb \in \cap_{E \in \mathcal{E}} E = F$ .

**B.** On the metric space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (with the Euclidean metric  $d$ ), denote by  $P_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) the projection onto the  $i$ -th coordinate. Specifically,  $P_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  takes  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  to  $x_i$ . Prove that  $P_i$  is Lipschitz.

For  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|x_i - y_i| = |P_i(\vec{x}) - P_i(\vec{y})| \leq (\sum_j |x_j - y_j|^2)^{1/2} = d(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ . This,  $P_i$  is Lipschitz with constant 1.

**C.** Denote by  $\ell_1$  the set of all absolutely convergent series: the elements of  $\ell_1$  are sequences  $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i| < \infty$ . For  $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and  $b = (b_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , define  $d(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i - b_i|$ .

(a) Prove that  $d$  is a metric.

(b) Prove that the function  $f : \ell_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (a_i) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  is Lipschitz.

(c) Determine whether the function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \ell_1$ , taking  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  to the sequence  $(t^2/2^i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , is uniformly continuous.

(a) Clearly  $d(a, b) \geq 0$ , with  $d(a, b) = 0$  iff  $a_i = b_i$  for any  $i$ , in other words, iff  $a = b$ . Also,  $d(a, b) = d(b, a)$ . To establish the triangle inequality, consider  $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $b = (b_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , and  $c = (c_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, c) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i - c_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (|a_i - b_i| + |b_i - c_i|) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i - b_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |b_i - c_i| = d(a, b) + d(b, c). \end{aligned}$$

(b) For  $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and  $b = (b_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,

$$|f(a) - f(b)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i - b_i) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i - b_i| = d(a, b),$$

hence  $f$  is Lipschitz with constant 1.

(c)  $g$  is not uniformly continuous. We shall show that, for any  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $|t - s| < \delta$ , while  $d(g(t), g(s)) \geq 1$ . Note first that, for  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$d(g(t), g(s)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{t^2}{2^i} - \frac{s^2}{2^i} \right| = |t^2 - s^2| = |t - s| \cdot |t + s|.$$

Take  $s = \frac{1}{\delta}$ ,  $t = s + \frac{\delta}{2}$  to produce a desired example.