

Darboux sums and integrals: reminders

If f is bounded on S , let $m(f, S) = \inf_{s \in S} f(s)$, $M(f, S) = \sup_{s \in S} f(s)$.

A **partition** of $[a, b]$ is $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$.

Lower Darboux sum: $L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1})$.

Upper Darboux sum: $U(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1})$.

Lower Darboux integral: $L(f) = \sup_P L(f, P)$.

Upper Darboux integral: $U(f) = \inf_P U(f, P)$.

The inf, sup are taken over all partitions P of $[a, b]$.

f is **integrable** if $L(f) = U(f)$. Denote $\int_a^b f = L(f) = U(f)$.

Theorem (Theorem 32.4)

If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then $L(f) \leq U(f)$.

Remark. From the definition, f is integrable when $U(f) = L(f)$. We only need to check $U(f) \leq L(f)$ to establish integrability.

Integrals: Example

Is $h(x) = x$ integrable on $[0, b]$? If it is, compute $\int_0^b h$.

If $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$ is a partition, then, for any k ,

$$m(h, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = \inf_{t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]} h(t) = t_{k-1}, \text{ and}$$

$$M(h, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = \sup_{t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]} h(t) = t_k.$$

$$L(h, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_{k-1}(t_k - t_{k-1}), \quad U(h, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k(t_k - t_{k-1}).$$

To make computations easier, consider “equal” partitions

$$P_n = (0 < \frac{b}{n} < \frac{2b}{n} < \dots < \frac{(n-1)b}{n} < b) \text{ (that is, } t_k = \frac{kb}{n}).$$

$$L(h, P_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(k-1)b}{n} \frac{b}{n} = \frac{b^2}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1) = \frac{b^2}{n^2} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{b^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

$$L(h) \geq \sup_n L(h, P_n) = \lim_n L(h, P_n) = \frac{b^2}{2}. \text{ Similarly,}$$

$$U(h) \leq \lim_n U(h, P_n) = \frac{b^2}{2}. \text{ However, } U(h) \geq L(h), \text{ hence}$$

$$U(h) = L(h) = \frac{b^2}{2}. \text{ Thus, } h \text{ is **integrable**, with } \int_0^b h = \frac{b^2}{2}.$$

Can you interpret this geometrically?

A criterion of integrability

Theorem (32.5)

A bounded $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable iff $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists$ partition P s.t. $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$.

Recall that f is called integrable on $[a, b]$ if $L(f) = U(f)$.

Proof. (1) Suppose f is integrable – that is, $L(f) = U(f)$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Find partitions R, Q s.t. $U(f, R) < U(f) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, $L(f, Q) > L(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $P = R \cup Q$, then $L(f, P) \geq L(f, Q) > L(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and $U(f, P) < U(f, R) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$.

(2) Suppose $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists$ partition P s.t. $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$. As $U(f) \leq U(f, P)$ and $L(f) \geq L(f, P)$, we have $U(f) - L(f) < \varepsilon$. As ε is arbitrary, we conclude that $U(f) = L(f)$. ■

Monotone functions are integrable

Theorem (33.1)

Any monotone function on $[a, b]$ is integrable.

Proof for the case of increasing f .

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Need to find a partition P s.t. $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$.

Find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{(f(b)-f(a))(b-a)}{n} < \varepsilon$. Consider the “equal partition” P , with of points $t_k = a + kh$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$), where $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$. Then
 $m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(t_{k-1})$ and $M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(t_k)$. $U(f, P) - L(f, P)$
 $= \sum_{k=1}^n (M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]))(t_k - t_{k-1})$
 $= h \sum_{k=1}^n (f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1})) = \frac{(f(b)-f(a))(b-a)}{n} < \varepsilon.$ ■

Continuous functions are integrable

Theorem (33.2)

Any continuous function on $[a, b]$ is integrable.

Proof. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Need to find a partition P s.t. $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$.

f is uniformly continuous. Find $\delta > 0$ s.t. $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a}$ if $|x - y| < \delta$. Find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $h = \frac{b-a}{n} < \delta$. Consider the partition P consisting of points $t_k = a + kh$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$). We claim that, for any k , $M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) < \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a}$. Indeed, find $x_k, y_k \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$ s.t. $M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(x_k)$, $m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(y_k)$. $|x_k - y_k| < \delta$, so $|f(x_k) - f(y_k)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a}$.

$U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n (M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]))(t_k - t_{k-1}) < hn \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} = \varepsilon$, since $hn = b - a$. ■

Mesh of a partition, and its applications

Definition (32.6)

The **mesh** of a partition $P = (a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = b)$ is $\text{mesh}(P) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (t_k - t_{k-1})$ (length of longest subinterval).

Theorem (32.7)

A bounded $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable iff $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.
 $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$ whenever $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$.

Remark. If δ is as above, $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$, then
 $U(f, P) - \int_a^b f, \int_a^b f - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$. Roughly speaking:
 $\lim_{\text{mesh } P \rightarrow 0} U(f, P) = \int_a^b f = \lim_{\text{mesh } P \rightarrow 0} L(f, P)$.

Proof of 32.7 (optional)

Need to show: f is integrable $\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$ if $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$.

Find a partition $Q = (a = s_0 < \dots < s_m = b)$ s.t. $U(f, Q) - L(f, Q) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.
Let $B = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |f(t)|$. We claim: $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{8mB}$ works.

Suppose $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$, let $R = P \cup Q$. We have $U(f, R) \leq U(f, Q)$ and $L(f, R) \geq L(f, Q)$, hence $U(f, R) - L(f, R) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Need:
 $U(f, P) \leq U(f, R) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, $L(f, P) \geq L(f, R) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$.

Say $P = (a = t_0 < \dots < t_N = b)$.

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^N M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}).$$

Notation. $R = (a = r_0 < \dots < r_M = b)$.

$$R|_{[r_i, r_j]} := (r_i < r_{i+1} < \dots < r_j).$$

$$U_{[r_i, r_j]}(f, R|_{[r_i, r_j]}) = \sum_{k=i+1}^j M(f, [r_{k-1}, r_k])(r_k - r_{k-1});$$

$$L_{[r_i, r_j]}(f, R|_{[r_i, r_j]}) = \sum_{k=i+1}^j m(f, [r_{k-1}, r_k])(r_k - r_{k-1}).$$

$$U(f, R) = \sum_{k=1}^N U_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}(f, R|_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}).$$

Proof of 32.7, part 2

If $(t_{k-1}, t_k) \cap Q = \emptyset$, then $R|_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]} = \{t_{k-1}, t_k\}$, hence

$$U_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}(f, R|_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}) = M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}).$$

If $(t_{k-1}, t_k) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, then $U_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}(f, R|_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}) \geq -B(t_k - t_{k-1})$, and

$$M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}) - U_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}(f, R|_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}) \leq 2B(t_k - t_{k-1}).$$

Let S be the set of all $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ for which $(t_{k-1}, t_k) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. Then $|S| \leq m$.

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - U(f, R) &= \sum_{k \in S} \left(M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. U_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}(f, R|_{[t_{k-1}, t_k]}) \right) \geq \sum_{k \in S} 2B(t_k - t_{k-1}) \leq 2mB \text{mesh}(P) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $L(f, R) - L(f, P) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$.

Conclusion: $U(f, P) - L(f, P) = (U(f, P) - U(f, R)) + (U(f, R) - L(f, R)) + (L(f, R) - L(f, P)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \varepsilon$. ■

We can shrink the interval of integration

Proposition (Exercise 32.8)

If f is integrable on $[a, b]$, and $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$, then f is integrable on $[c, d]$.

Proof. Need to show: $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists$ partition P of $[c, d]$ s.t.

$U_{[c,d]}(f, P) - L_{[c,d]}(f, P) < \varepsilon$. Find $\delta > 0$ s.t. $U(f, Q) - L(f, Q) < \varepsilon$ if Q is a partition of $[a, b]$, with $\text{mesh}(Q) < \delta$.

Consider $Q = (a = s_0 < \dots < s_{i-1} = c < \dots < s_j = d < \dots < s_m = b)$, with $\text{mesh}(Q) < \delta$. We claim that $P = Q \cap [c, d]$ works. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{[c,d]}(f, P) - L_{[c,d]}(f, P) &= \\ \sum_{\ell=i}^j (M(f, [s_{\ell-1}, s_{\ell}]) - m(f, [s_{\ell-1}, s_{\ell}]))(s_{\ell} - s_{\ell-1}) &\leq \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^m (M(f, [s_{\ell-1}, s_{\ell}]) - m(f, [s_{\ell-1}, s_{\ell}]))(s_{\ell} - s_{\ell-1}) &= U(f, Q) - L(f, Q) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

■

Riemann integration

Definition (32.8)

Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. For a partition

$P = (a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = b)$, and $x_k \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$, define the **Riemann sum** $S = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k)(t_k - t_{k-1})$.

f is **Riemann integrable** if $\exists r \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|S - r| < \varepsilon$ when $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$. Notation: $r = \mathcal{R} \int_a^b f$ is the **Riemann integral**.

Note that the Riemann integral is unique.

Theorem (32.9)

A bounded $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable iff it is (Darboux) integrable. In this case, $\mathcal{R} \int_a^b f = \int_a^b f$.

Corollary 32.8. If the Riemann sums S_n correspond to partitions P_n , and $\lim_n \text{mesh}(P_n) = 0$, then $\lim_n S_n = \int_a^b f$.

Proof: equivalence of Riemann and Darboux integrability

Remark. Any Riemann integrable function is bounded.

Proof: Darboux \Rightarrow Riemann. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable. For $\varepsilon > 0$ find $\delta > 0$ s.t. $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$ if $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$. Note that $U(f, P) \geq \int_a^b f \geq L(f, P)$, hence $U(f, P) - \int_a^b f, \int_a^b f - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$. For any Riemann sum S , $U(f, P) \geq S \geq L(f, P)$. If $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$, then $\int_a^b f + \varepsilon > U(f, P) \geq S \geq L(f, P) > \int_a^b f - \varepsilon$, hence $|S - \int_a^b f| < \varepsilon$. Thus, f is Riemann integrable, with $\mathcal{R} \int_a^b f = \int_a^b f$. ■

Proof: equivalence of Riemann and Darboux integrability

Proof: Riemann \Rightarrow Darboux (optional).

Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable. Fix a partition P ; note that $U(f, P) = \sup S$, and $L(f, P) = \inf S$, where the sup and inf run over all Riemann sums corresponding to the partition P .

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Find $\delta > 0$ s.t. $|\mathcal{R} \int_a^b f - S| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$. For such P , $S \in (\mathcal{R} \int_a^b f - \varepsilon, \mathcal{R} \int_a^b f + \varepsilon)$, hence

$\mathcal{R} \int_a^b f - \varepsilon \leq L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) \leq \mathcal{R} \int_a^b f + \varepsilon$. In particular, $U(f) - L(f) \leq U(f, P) - L(f, P) \leq 2\varepsilon$. As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain $U(f) = L(f)$, hence f is integrable. Further,

$\mathcal{R} \int_a^b f - \varepsilon \leq L(f) \leq U(f) \leq \mathcal{R} \int_a^b f + \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, hence $L(f) = U(f) = \mathcal{R} \int_a^b f$. ■