### HW-1 - MATH 447: Real Variables

Jerich Lee

2024-09-12

### Problem 1 (1.8 a)

The principle of mathematical induction can be extended as follows. A list  $P_m, P_{m+1}, \ldots$  of propositions is true provided (i)  $P_m$  is true, (ii)  $P_{n+1}$  is true whenever  $P_n$  is true and  $n \ge m$ .

Prove  $n^2 > n+1$  for all integers  $n \ge 2$ .

We will prove the base case of n=2 first:

$$(2)^2 > (2) + 1 \tag{1}$$

$$4 > 3 \tag{2}$$

The above verifies the base case. The inductive hypothesis is as follows:

$$n^2 > n+1, \ n \ge 2$$
 (3)

We want to prove the case such that  $P_{n+1}$  is true whenever  $P_n$  is true and  $n \ge m$ . The inductive step is as follows:

$$(n+1)^2 > (n+1)+1 \tag{4}$$

$$n^2 + 2n + 1 > n + 2 \tag{5}$$

$$n^2 + n > 1 \tag{6}$$

$$n(n+1) > 1 \tag{7}$$

By the inductive hypothesis,  $n^2 > n + 1, n \ge 2$ ,

$$n(n^2) > n(n+1) > 1 \tag{8}$$

$$n^3 > 1 \tag{9}$$

The last line is true for all  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$ 

# Problem 2 (2.8)

Find all rational solutions of the equation  $x^8 - 4x^5 + 13x^3 - 7x + 1 = 0$ .

To find all rational solutions of the equation  $x^8 - 4x^5 + 13x^3 - 7x + 1 = 0$ , we can use the Rational Zeros Theorem.

Corollary (Rational Zeros Theorem): If a polynomial equation

$$a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0 (10)$$

with integer coefficients has a rational solution  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  (where p and q are integers with no common factors and  $q \neq 0$ ), then:

- 1. p must be a factor of the constant term  $a_0$
- 2. q must be a factor of the leading coefficient  $a_n$

First, let's identify the coefficients:

- 1.  $c_8 = 1$
- 2.  $c_5 = -4$
- 3.  $c_3 = 13$
- 4.  $c_1 = -7$
- 5.  $c_0 = 1$

According to the theorem, if  $\frac{c}{d}$  is a rational solution (where c and d are integers with no common factors and  $d \neq 0$ ), then:

- 1. c must divide  $c_0 = 1$
- 2. d must divide  $c_8 = 1$

The only integers that divide 1 are 1 and -1. Therefore, the only possible rational solutions are:

- 1.  $\frac{1}{1} = 1$
- 2.  $\frac{-1}{1} = -1$

Now, we need to check if these candidates actually satisfy the equation:

For x = 1:

$$1^{8} - 4(1^{5}) + 13(1^{3}) - 7(1) + 1 = 1 - 4 + 13 - 7 + 1 = 4 \neq 0$$

$$\tag{11}$$

For x = -1:

$$(-1)^8 - 4((-1)^5) + 13((-1)^3) - 7(-1) + 1 = 1 + 4 - 13 + 7 + 1 = 0$$
(12)

Therefore, the only rational solution to the equation  $x^8 - 4x^5 + 13x^3 - 7x + 1 = 0$  is x = -1.

# Problem 3 (3.5)

- 1. Show  $|b| \le a$  if and only if  $-a \le b \le a$ .
- 2. Prove  $||a| |b|| \le |a b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 1. Show  $|b| \le a$  if and only if  $-a \le b \le a$ .

#### **Proof:**

Forward Direction ( $|b| \le a \Rightarrow -a \le b \le a$ ):

- 1. If  $|b| \le a$ , then by definition,  $-a \le b \le a$ .
- 2. This is because  $|b| \leq a$  implies b is within the interval [-a, a].

Reverse Direction  $(-a \le b \le a \Rightarrow |b| \le a)$ :

- 1. If  $-a \le b \le a$ , then b is within the interval [-a, a].
- 2. This directly implies  $|b| \leq a$  since the maximum deviation of b from zero is a.

Thus,  $|b| \leq a$  if and only if  $-a \leq b \leq a$ .  $\square$ 

### 2. Prove $||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### **Proof:**

We will prove this inequality using the triangle inequality and considering both possible cases.

### 1. Using the Triangle Inequality:

- (a) The triangle inequality states  $|a| = |(a-b) + b| \le |a-b| + |b|$ .
- (b) Rearranging gives  $|a| |b| \le |a b|$ .

### 2. Consider the Reverse Situation:

- (a) Similarly,  $|b| = |(b-a) + a| \le |b-a| + |a| = |a-b| + |a|$ .
- (b) Rearranging gives  $|b| |a| \le |a b|$ .

#### 3. Combine the Results:

(a) From the two inequalities, we have:

$$|a| - |b| \le |a - b|$$
 and  $|b| - |a| \le |a - b|$  (13)

### 4. Conclusion:

(a) The absolute value ||a| - |b|| is defined as:

$$||a| - |b|| = \max(|a| - |b|, |b| - |a|) \tag{14}$$

(b) Therefore,  $||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$ .

Thus, we have proven that  $||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$ 

## Problem 4 (3.8)

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show if  $a \leq b_1$  for every  $b_1 > b$ , then  $a \leq b$ .

**Proof:** We will prove this statement using a proof by contradiction.

- 1. Assume the hypothesis: For every  $b_1 > b$ , we have  $a \leq b_1$ .
- 2. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that a > b.
- 3. Consider  $b_1 = \frac{a+b}{2}$ . Note that:
  - (a)  $b_1 > b$  (because a > b)
  - (b)  $b_1 < a$  (because it's the midpoint between a and b)
- 4. By our initial assumption, since  $b_1 > b$ , we must have  $a \le b_1$ .
- 5. However, we also showed that  $b_1 < a$ .
- 6. This is a contradiction: we can't have both  $a \leq b_1$  and  $b_1 < a$ .

Therefore, our supposition that a > b must be false. We conclude that  $a \le b$ .

Thus, we have shown that if  $a \leq b_1$  for every  $b_1 > b$ , then  $a \leq b$ .  $\square$ 

# Problem 5 (4.1 r)

For each set below that is bounded above, list three upper bounds for the set. Otherwise write "NOT BOUNDED ABOVE" or "NBA".

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

It is observed that the intersection of the sequences above converges to 1 as n approaches infinity. Therefore, 2, 3, and 4 are all upper bounds for the set.

# Problem 6 (4.8)

Let S and T be nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with the following property:  $s \leq t$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ .

- 1. Observe S is bounded above and T is bounded below.
- 2. Prove sup  $S \leq \inf T$
- 3. Give an example of such sets S and T where  $S \cap T$  is nonempty.
- 4. Give an example of sets S and T where sup  $S = \inf T$  and  $S \cap T$  is the empty set.
- 1. (a) Let  $M=t,\ t\in T$ . Then  $S\leq M$  for all  $s\in S$ . By Def 4.2, M is an upper bound of S, and S is bounded above.
  - (b) Let m = s, such that  $s \in S$ . Then,  $m \le t$  for all  $t \in T$ . Then, m is a lower bound of T, and T is bounded below.
- 2. To prove  $\sup S \leq \inf T$ :
  - (a) By the given property, we know that  $s \leq t$  for all  $s \in S$  and all  $t \in T$ .
  - (b) Let  $M = \sup S$ . By definition of supremum,  $s \leq M$  for all  $s \in S$ .
  - (c) For any  $t \in T$ , we have  $s \leq t$  for all  $s \in S$ .
  - (d) Therefore,  $M = \sup S \leq t$  for all  $t \in T$ .
  - (e) Since M < t for all  $t \in T$ , M is a lower bound for T.
  - (f) By definition of infimum, inf T is the greatest lower bound of T.
  - (g) Thus,  $M \leq \inf T$ .

Therefore, we have proven that  $\sup S \leq \inf T$ .  $\square$ 

- 3. An example of such sets S and T where  $S \cap T$  is nonempty: S = [0,1], T = [1,2]
- 4. An example of sets S and T where sup  $S = \inf T$  and  $S \cap T$  is the empty set: S = [0,1), T = (1,2]

# Problem 7 (8.5)

1. Consider three sequences  $(a_n), (b_n)$  and  $(s_n)$  such that  $a_n \leq s_n \leq b_n$  for all n and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = s$ . Prove  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ . This is called the "squeeze lemma".

### **Proof:**

- 1. Given: For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n > N_1$  and  $n > N_2$ :
  - (a)  $|a_n s| < \varepsilon$ , which implies  $s \varepsilon < a_n < s + \varepsilon$
  - (b)  $|b_n s| < \varepsilon$ , which implies  $s \varepsilon < b_n < s + \varepsilon$
- 2. We also know that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n \leq s_n \leq b_n$
- 3. Combining these facts, we can conclude that for  $n > \max(N_1, N_2)$ :

$$s - \varepsilon < a_n \le s_n \le b_n < s + \varepsilon \tag{15}$$

4. This implies:

$$s - \varepsilon < s_n < s + \varepsilon \tag{16}$$

5. Therefore:

$$|s_n - s| < \varepsilon \tag{17}$$

6. By the definition of a limit of a sequence, this proves that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ 

Thus, we have proven the squeeze lemma.  $\Box$ 

- 7. Suppose  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  are sequences such that  $|s_n| \leq t_n$  for all n and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = 0$ . Prove  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$ .
- 1. Given:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = 0$ , so for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all n > N:
- $2. |t_n 0| < \varepsilon$
- 3. This implies:  $|t_n| < \varepsilon$
- 4. We know that  $|s_n| \leq t_n$  for all n, so:  $|s_n| \leq |t_n| < \varepsilon$
- 5. This means:  $-\varepsilon < s_n < \varepsilon$
- 6. Therefore:  $|s_n 0| < \varepsilon$

By the definition of a limit, this proves that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$ .  $\square$ 

### Problem 8 (8.6)

Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

1. Prove  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |s_n| = 0$ 

**Proof:** We will prove this statement in two parts:

- 1. If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |s_n| = 0$ :
  - (a) Given  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an N such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|s_n 0| < \epsilon$ .
  - (b) This simplifies to  $|s_n| < \epsilon$ .
  - (c) Therefore,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |s_n| = 0$ .
- 2. If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |s_n| = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$ :
  - (a) Given  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |s_n| = 0$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an N such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $|s_n| < \epsilon$ .
  - (b) This directly implies that  $|s_n 0| < \epsilon$ , so  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = 0$ .

Thus, we have proven that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |s_n| = 0$ .  $\square$ 

3. Observe that if  $s_n = (-1)^n$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |s_n|$  exists, but  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n$  does not exist.

**Observation:** For the sequence  $s_n = (-1)^n$ , we can see that  $s_n$  alternates between -1 and 1. To prove that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n$  does not exist, we can establish two subsequences:

- 1.  $s_{n_1}$ : the subsequence of even terms, where  $s_{n_1} = 1$  for all n
- 2.  $s_{n_2}$ : the subsequence of odd terms, where  $s_{n_2} = -1$  for all n

Clearly,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_{n_1} = 1$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_{n_2} = -1$ 

Since these two subsequences converge to different values, we can conclude that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n$  does not exist, demonstrating that the sequence is divergent.

However,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |s_n| = 1$  does exist, as  $|s_n| = 1$  for all n.

### Problem 9 (Bonus)

Use the completeness of  $\mathbb R$  to show the existence of x>0 with  $x^2=2$ . Specifically, consider  $S=\{t\in\mathbb R:t>0,t^2<2\}$ . Clearly, S is nonempty  $(1\in S)$ . Further, 2 is an upper bound for S. Indeed, suppose  $t\in S$ , then  $(2-t)(2+t)=4-x^2>4-2>0$ . Clearly, 2+t>0, hence 2-t>0. Let  $x=\sup S$ . Prove that  $x^2=2$ , by establishing that (i)  $x^2\leq 2$ , and (ii)  $x^2\geq 2$ . Once these inequalities are established, we can conclude that  $x^2=2$ .

#### **Proof:**

- 1. Let  $\alpha = \sup S$ . We will prove that  $\alpha^2 = 2$  by showing that  $\alpha^2 \le 2$  and  $\alpha^2 \ge 2$ .
- 2. First, let's prove  $\alpha^2 \leq 2$ :
  - (a) Assume  $\alpha^2 > 2$ .
  - (b) For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $(\alpha \frac{1}{n})^2$ :

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 = \alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} > \alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{n} \tag{18}$$

(c) Since  $\alpha^2 > 2$ , we have:

$$2 < \alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{n} \tag{19}$$

$$2 - \alpha^2 < -\frac{2\alpha}{n} \tag{20}$$

$$\alpha^2 - 2 > \frac{2\alpha}{n} \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{2\alpha} > \frac{1}{n} \tag{22}$$

- (d) Choose  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < \frac{\alpha^2 2}{2\alpha}$ .
- (e) Then:

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{n_0}\right)^2 > \alpha^2 - (\alpha^2 - 2) = 2 \tag{23}$$

- (f) This contradicts the fact that  $\alpha$  is an upper bound for S.
- (g) Therefore, our assumption must be false, and  $\alpha^2 \le 2$ .
- 3. Now, let's prove  $\alpha^2 > 2$ :
  - (a) Assume  $\alpha^2 < 2$ .
  - (b) For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $(\alpha + \frac{1}{n})^2$ :

$$\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 = \alpha^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} < \alpha^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n} = \alpha^2 + \frac{2\alpha + 1}{n}.$$
 (24)

- (c) Choose  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < \frac{2-\alpha^2}{2\alpha+1}$ .
- (d) Then:

$$\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n_0}\right)^2 < \alpha^2 + (2 - \alpha^2) = 2. \tag{25}$$

- (e) This means  $\alpha + \frac{1}{n_0} \in S$ , contradicting  $\alpha$  as an upper bound for S.
- (f) Therefore, our assumption must be false, and  $\alpha^2 \geq 2$ .
- 4. Since we have shown  $\alpha^2 \leq 2$  and  $\alpha^2 \geq 2$ , we can conclude that  $\alpha^2 = 2$ .

Thus, we have proven the existence of a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\alpha^2 = 2$ .  $\square$