

Differentiating inverse functions (pp. 237-239)

Set-up. Suppose I is an interval, and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous strictly monotone function. Then $J = f(I)$ is an interval; $g = f^{-1} : J \rightarrow I$ is strictly monotone, and continuous (Section 18).

Theorem (29.9)

If f is differentiable at $c \in I$, and $f'(c) \neq 0$, then g is differentiable at $d = f(c)$, and $g'(d) = \frac{1}{f'(c)} = \frac{1}{f'(g(d))}$.

A way to memorize the formula: $\forall y \in J, f(g(y)) = y$. Differentiate both sides at d , using Chain Rule: $f'(g(d))g'(d) = 1$. This is not a proof! We need to prove g is differentiable at d .

Theorem (Caratheodory – Lecture 27)

Suppose I is an interval, $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. f is differentiable at $a \in I$ iff \exists function $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, continuous at a , s.t. $f(x) - f(a) = \phi(x)(x - a)$ $\forall x \in I$. Then $\phi(a) = f'(a)$.

Derivatives of inverse functions: proof

Theorem 29.9. Suppose I is an interval, $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ strictly monotone and continuous, $J = f(I)$, let $g = f^{-1} : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is differentiable at $c \in I$, and $f'(c) \neq 0$, then g is differentiable at $d = f(c)$, and

$$g'(d) = \frac{1}{f'(c)} = \frac{1}{f'(g(d))}.$$

Proof. By Caratheodory, we need to show: $\exists \psi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, continuous at d , s.t. $g(y) - g(d) = \psi(y)(y - d) \ \forall y \in J$, and $\psi(d) = \frac{1}{f'(c)}$.

$\exists \phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, continuous at c (with $\phi(c) = f'(c)$), s.t.

$$f(x) - f(c) = \phi(x)(x - c) \ \forall x \in I.$$

$$y - d = f(g(y)) - f(g(d)) = \phi(g(y))(g(y) - g(d)).$$

g is continuous, $c = g(d)$, hence $\phi \circ g$ is continuous at d .

$f'(c) = \phi(g(d)) \neq 0$, hence $\phi \circ g \neq 0$ on a neighborhood of d .

$g(y) - g(d) = \psi(y)(y - d)$, where $\psi(y) = \frac{1}{\phi(g(y))}$ is continuous at d .

$$g'(d) = \frac{1}{\phi(g(d))} = \frac{1}{f'(c)}.$$
■

Derivatives of rational powers

Example 2, p. 238 $f(x) = x^n$ is differentiable, strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$; $f'(x) = nx^{n-1} \neq 0$. $f((0, \infty)) = (0, \infty)$. The inverse function: $g(y) = y^{1/n}$. For $y \in (0, \infty)$,
$$g'(y) = \frac{1}{f'(g(y))} = \frac{1}{n(y^{1/n})^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{y^{1-1/n}} = \frac{1}{n}y^{1/n-1}.$$

If n is odd, we can view f and g as functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $g'(y) = \frac{1}{n}y^{1/n-1}$ for $y < 0$ as well (but not for $y = 0$).

Derivative of $h(x) = x^r$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}$: $h'(x) = rx^{r-1}$. $r = \frac{m}{n}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 $h(x) = x^{m/n} = q(p(x))$, $p(x) = x^{1/n}$, $q(y) = y^m$. $h'(x) =$
 $q'(p(x))p'(x) = m(x^{1/n})^{m-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n}x^{1/n-1} = \frac{m}{n}x^{(m-1)/n+1/n-1} = rx^{r-1}.$

Inverse trigonometric functions

Example 3, p. 238 $f(x) = \sin x$ on $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is continuous and strictly increasing. $f([-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]) = [-1, 1]$.

Inverse function: $g = f^{-1} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is called **arcsin**.

$f'(x) = \cos x$ is positive for $-\frac{\pi}{2} < x < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

$f(-\frac{\pi}{2}) = -1$, $f(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1$, hence g is differentiable on $(-1, 1)$.

$g'(y) = \frac{1}{f'(g(y))} = \frac{1}{\cos(g(y))}$, for $y \in (-1, 1)$.

$g(y) \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, hence $\cos g(y) > 0$. $\sin g(y) = f(g(y)) = y$, so

$$\cos g(y) = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 g(y)} = \sqrt{1 - y^2}.$$

$$(\arcsin y)' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}}$$

Similarly one can show:

$$(\arctan y)' = \frac{1}{1+y^2}$$

Integration: the goals

Goal: find the area under the graph of **bounded** $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Strategy:

- Split the subgraph of f into thin vertical strips.
- Estimate the area of each strip from above, and from below (by using a rectangle).
- Add areas of these rectangles, find some kind of a limit.

Integration: definitions (Section 32)

If f is bounded on S , let $m(f, S) = \inf_{s \in S} f(s)$, $M(f, S) = \sup_{s \in S} f(s)$.

A **partition** of $[a, b]$ is $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$.

Lower Darboux sum: $L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1})$.

Upper Darboux sum: $U(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1})$.

Lower Darboux integral: $L(f) = \sup_P L(f, P)$.

Upper Darboux integral: $U(f) = \inf_P U(f, P)$.

The \inf , \sup are taken over all partitions P of $[a, b]$.

For any P , $(b - a)m(f, [a, b]) \leq L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) \leq (b - a)M(f, [a, b])$,
hence $L(f)$, $U(f)$ are finite.

Definition (p. 270)

f is **integrable** if $L(f) = U(f)$. Denote $\int_a^b f = L(f) = U(f)$.

Integrals: Example 1

Is $f(x) = c$ integrable on $[a, b]$? If it is, compute the integral.

If $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$ is a partition, then, for any k ,

$m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = \inf_{t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]} f(t) = c$, and

$M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = \sup_{t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]} f(t) = c$.

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= c \sum_{k=1}^n (t_k - t_{k-1}) = c(b - a) = U(f, P). \end{aligned}$$

$L(f) = \sup_P L(f, P) = c(b - a)$. $U(f) = \inf_P U(f, P) = c(b - a)$.

$U(f) = L(f)$, hence f is **integrable** on $[a, b]$, and $\int_a^b f = c(b - a)$. Can you find a geometric interpretation?

Integrals: Example 2

Let $g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$. Is g integrable on $[0, 1]$? If it is, compute the integral.

If $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$ is a partition, then, for any k ,

$$m(g, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = \inf_{t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]} g(t) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$M(g, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = \sup_{t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]} g(t) = 1.$$

$$L(g, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n m(g, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1}) = 0.$$

$$U(g, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n M(g, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (t_k - t_{k-1}) = 1.$$

$$L(g) = \sup_P L(g, P) = 0. \quad U(g) = \inf_P U(g, P) = 1.$$

$$U(g) \neq L(g), \text{ hence } g \text{ is **not integrable** on } [0, 1].$$

We shall show that $U(f) \geq L(f)$, for any bounded $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

More about Darboux sums

Aim. We want to show that $U(f) \geq L(f)$, for any bounded $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Notation. For partitions $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$ and $Q = \{a = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_m = b\}$, we say that $P \subset Q$ if $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$. We say Q is a **refinement** of P .

Lemma (32.2; proof is optional)

Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. If P and Q are partitions of $[a, b]$, and $P \subset Q$, then $L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q)$, and $U(f, P) \geq U(f, Q)$.

Lemma (32.3)

If P and Q are partitions of $[a, b]$, and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then $L(f, P) \leq U(f, Q)$.

Proof. $L(f, P) \leq L(f, P \cup Q) \leq U(f, P \cup Q) \leq U(f, Q)$. ■

Proof of $L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q)$, Lemma 32.2 (optional)

Induction on $|Q|$: suffices to prove $L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q)$ for $|Q| = |P| + 1$:

$$P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\},$$

$$Q = \{a = t_0 < \dots < t_{j-1} < u < t_j < \dots < t_n = b\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + m(f, [t_{j-1}, t_j]) (t_j - t_{j-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=j}^n m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, Q) &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &\quad + m(f, [t_{j-1}, u]) (u - t_{j-1}) + m(f, [u, t_j]) (t_j - u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=j}^n m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) (t_k - t_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Properties of U and L

If $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$,

$Q = \{a = t_0 < \dots < t_{j-1} < u < t_j < \dots < t_n = b\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, Q) - L(f, P) &= m(f, [t_{j-1}, u])(u - t_{j-1}) + m(f, [u, t_j])(t_j - u) \\ &\quad - m(f, [t_{j-1}, t_j])(t_j - t_{j-1}) \geq \\ &= m(f, [t_{j-1}, t_j])(u - t_{j-1}) + m(f, [t_{j-1}, t_j])(t_j - u) \\ &\quad - m(f, [t_{j-1}, t_j])(t_j - t_{j-1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q)$. ■

Theorem (Theorem 32.4)

If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then $L(f) \leq U(f)$.

Proof. $L(f) = \sup_Q L(f, Q)$. $\forall P, Q$, $L(f, Q) \leq U(f, P)$. Thus, $L(f) = \sup_Q L(f, Q) \leq U(f, P)$, for any P . $L(f) \leq \inf_P U(f, P) = U(f)$. ■

Remark. From the definition, f is integrable when $U(f) = L(f)$. We only need to check $U(f) \leq L(f)$ to establish integrability.

Integrals: Example

Is $h(x) = x$ integrable on $[0, b]$? If it is, compute $\int_0^b h$.

If $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}$ is a partition, then, for any k ,

$$m(h, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = \inf_{t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]} h(t) = t_{k-1}, \text{ and}$$

$$M(h, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = \sup_{t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]} h(t) = t_k.$$

$$L(h, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_{k-1}(t_k - t_{k-1}), \quad U(h, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k(t_k - t_{k-1}).$$

To make computations easier, consider “equal” partitions

$$P_n = (0 < \frac{b}{n} < \frac{2b}{n} < \dots < \frac{(n-1)b}{n} < b) \text{ (that is, } t_k = \frac{kb}{n}).$$

$$L(h, P_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(k-1)b}{n} \frac{b}{n} = \frac{b^2}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1) = \frac{b^2}{n^2} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{b^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

$$L(h) \geq \sup_n L(h, P_n) = \lim_n L(h, P_n) = \frac{b^2}{2}. \text{ Similarly,}$$

$$U(h) \leq \lim_n U(h, P_n) = \frac{b^2}{2}. \text{ However, } U(h) \geq L(h), \text{ hence}$$

$$U(h) = L(h) = \frac{b^2}{2}. \text{ Thus, } h \text{ is **integrable**, with } \int_0^b h = \frac{b^2}{2}.$$

Can you interpret this geometrically?