

# TODAY: PORTFOLIO OPTIMIZATION

"MARKOWITZ" MODEL

↑ NOBEL PRIZE, 1990

IDEA: HAVE  $n$  ASSETS (SHARES OF  $n$  STOCKS)

LET  $R_i$  = RETURN ON ASSET  $i$

↑  
RANDOM  
VARIABLE

↑  
RELATIVE CHANGE IN  
VALUE OVER FIXED  
TIME PERIOD  
(DAYS, WEEKS, YEARS)

CAN CODE RETURN AS  
A FRACTION, OR IN % PTS.

IF  $R_i$  IS A FRACTION, THEN  
VALUE OF ASSET  $i$  CHANGES LIKE

$$V_i \rightarrow (1 + R_i) V_i$$

EX:  $R_i = .15$       +15% RETURN  
 $R_i = -.07$       -7% RETURN

FACT: LET  $x_i$  BE PROPORTION OF INVESTMENT IN ASSET  $i$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1, \quad x_i \geq 0$$

THEN RETURN ON PORTFOLIO IS

$$R_p = \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{x_i} R_i$$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
OF ASSET RETURNS

PROOF INVEST A TOTAL OF  $\$D$ .  
THEN INVESTMENT IN ASSET  $i$   
IS  $x_i D$

FINAL VALUE OF ASSET  $i$   
IS  $(1 + R_i) x_i D$

TOTAL VALUE OF PORTFOLIO  
BECOMES

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (1 + R_i) x_i D$$



So RETURN OF PORTFOLIO IS

$$\frac{\left[ \cancel{D} \sum_{i=1}^n (1+R_i) x_i \right] - \cancel{D}}{\cancel{D}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (1+R_i) x_i - 1$$

$$= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right] + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n R_i x_i \right] - 1$$

$$\text{so } R_p = \overset{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i x_i} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

EXPECTED RETURN FOR PORTFOLIO IS  
THEN

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\underline{E[R_p]}} &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n R_i x_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[x_i R_i] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \underline{\underline{E[R_i]}} \end{aligned}$$

#  $\uparrow$  RV  
LINEAR



NEXT, WANT

$$\text{VAR}[R_p] = \text{VAR}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i R_i\right]$$

NOTE: VARIANCES ADD IF RVs  
ARE INDEPENDENT... NOT  
GENERALLY THE CASE HERE.

IN GENERAL CAN WE FORMULA FOR  
VARIANCE OF A SUM OF RVs:

$$\text{VAR}[R_p] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \text{VAR}[R_i]$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \text{COV}(R_i, R_j) x_i x_j$$

QUADRATIC FUNCTION OF  $x_i$

"RECALL" IF  $X, Y$  ARE RVs, THEN  
CORRELATION COEFFICIENT IS

$$\rho = \frac{\text{COV}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$

"RHO"



PROBLEM

FOR A GIVEN VALUE OF

$$E[R_p]$$

WOULD LIKE TO

MINIMIZE

$$\text{VAR}[R_p]$$

"EFFICIENT" PORTFOLIODATA CONSISTS OF EMPIRICAL  
ESTIMATES FOR  
 $E[R_i]$  $\rho_{ij}$  CORRELATION  
BETWEEN  $R_i$  &  $R_j$  $\sigma_i$  STD DEV  $R_i$ 

IN TERMS OF FUTURE,  $\rho_{ij}$  AND  
 $\sigma_i$  INFORMATION IS NOT INDICATIVE  
THAN  $E[R_i]$ . "PAST PERFORMANCE  
IS NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE..."



PORTFOLIO PROBLEM IS  
WELL-POSED

MINIMIZING CONVEX QUADRATIC  
 FUNCTION WITH LINEAR CONSTRAINTS

SENSITIVITY REPORT:

- LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS  
 GIVE TANGENTS TO  
 NONLINEAR CURVES

EX: MULTIPLIER FOR  
 EXPECTED VALUE  
 REQUIREMENT

- REDUCED GRADIENTS ARE  
 MULTIPLIERS FOR A  
TIGHT BOUND CONSTRAINT;  
 USUALLY  $x_i \geq 0$

EX: FRACTION MCDONALDS

## EXTENSIONS :

- ① REQUIRED LOWER AND/OR UPPER BOUNDS ON  $x_i$

EX: McDONALD'S  $\geq .2$

- ② UPPER AND/OR LOWER BOUND ON  $x_i$  IF  $x_i > 0$

USE BINARY VARIABLES  $y_i$

$$\underline{L}_i y_i \leq x_i \leq \underline{U}_i y_i \quad y_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

↑  
USE  
ASSET  $i$   
IN PORTFOLIO

CAN ALSO ADD CONSTRAINT  
LIKE

$$\sum y_i \leq K$$

TO LIMIT # OF ASSETS USED  
IN PORTFOLIO.