## EECS 598: Motion Planning Winter 2019

### Homework Assignment #2 Due 2/18/2019 at 1:29pm

#### Rules:

- 1. All homework must be done individually, but you are encouraged to post questions on Piazza.
- 2. No late homework will be accepted.
- 3. You must show all your work to receive credit for your solutions.
- 4. The goal of this homework is to practice some motion planning fundamentals as well as implementations of discrete planning methods.
- 5. Submit your python code along with a pdf of your answers in a zip file to Gradescope.

### Questions

- 1. (15 points) Chapter 3 #3
- 2. (15 points) Chapter 3 #7
- 3. (15 points) Chapter 3 #8
- 4. (15 points) Chapter 5 #5
- 5. (15 points) Chapter 5 #15
- 6. (15 points) Chapter 5 #17
- 7. (15 points) Chapter 5 #18

#### **Software**


- 1. Download the HW2 template here.
- 2. You will need to consult the openrave.py documentation frequently to complete this assignment.

# Implementation

The following implementation problems should be done in python starting from the provided template. Only edit what is inside the ### YOUR CODE HERE ### block. Feel free to look around the internet and the openrave installation for example code for reference, especially in the openrave examples, but you should implement your own code from scratch unless explicitly stated otherwise.

1. (50 points) Starting from the provided template, implement the A\* algorithm to find the shortest collision-free path for the PR2's base from the start to the goal in the given environment (see figure below). Only edit what is inside the ### YOUR CODE/IMPORTS GO HERE ### blocks.





(a) Start configuration

(b) Goal configuration

You will be planning for translation of the base in X and Y as well as rotation  $\theta$  about the Z axis of the robot, for 3 DOF total. If no path exists, the algorithm should print out No Solution Found. You will need to use a priority queue in the A\* algorithm and you can use an existing library for this.

*Hint*: Remember to consider the topology of the  $\theta$  DOF when expanding new nodes and computing both the g and h functions.

You do not need to collision-check edges but you must collision-check nodes. You will need to determine reasonable discretizations for each DOF (too small: the algorithm will be very slow, too large: the robot will go through obstacles).

Implement four variants of the A\* algorithm:

- a. "4-connected" space, with the manhattan distance heuristic
- b. "4-connected" space, with the euclidian distance heuristic
- c. "8-connected" space, with the manhattan distance heuristic
- d. "8-connected" space, with the euclidian distance heuristic

For each variant record the the computation time to find a path and the path cost in your pdf.

For each variant, also save a screenshot including:

- The computed path drawn in the openrave viewer in black (of course you will not be able to draw the rotation along the path, so just draw the X and Y components of the configurations in the path).
- The X and Y components of the collision-free configurations explored by A\* in blue.
- The X and Y components of the colliding configurations explored by A\* in red.

Make sure to draw the points slightly above the floor so that you can see them. Include these screenshots in your pdf.

To grade your work, we will run the command python hw2\_astar.py in a folder where we have extracted your source code. We will not run any other command or any modifications of this command. When this command is run, your code should plan using variant (d) and execute a trajectory for the robot and we should see the robot following this trajectory in the openrave viewer. Your code should output the solution in **under 4 minutes**.

Include answers to the following in your pdf:

- (a) (10 points) Which heuristic seems superior for 4-connected? Explain your answer.
- (b) (10 points) Which heuristic seems superior for 8-connected? Explain your answer.
- (c) (10 points) For the four variants above, which, if any, variants have an admissible heuristic and which, if any, do not? Explain your answers.
- 2. (40 points) Make a copy of the unedited template and call it hw2\_anastar.py. Implement the ANA\* algorithm described in this paper.

You will be able to re-use much of the code you wrote for A\*. Use "8-connected" space, with the euclidian distance heuristic. Use the same discretization as you used for Problem 1. Set a large time-out for the algorithm so that you are sure it will converge to the optimal path.

Save a screenshot including the same information and using the same colors as those in Problem 1. Include this screenshot in your pdf.

To grade your work, we will run the command python hw2\_anastar.py in a folder where we've extracted your source code. We will not run any other command or any modifications of this command. When this command is run, your code should plan and execute a trajectory for the robot and we should see the robot following this trajectory in the openrave viewer.

- (a) (15 points) Generate a graph similar to Figure 3(a) in the paper showing the solution path cost vs. time. Include the solution cost and computation time for A\* variant (d) in the graph. How long does it take ANA\* to converge to a solution with the same cost as the A\* solution? Explain why this computation time makes sense. Include the graph and explanation in your pdf.
- (b) (15 points) Move some of the tables in the environment to make the problem more difficult to solve. It should take ANA\* longer to find the best solution path than before. Include a screenshot of the environment where you have drawn each solution path using a different color in your pdf. Clearly describe which color corresponds to which solution path. Explain why this problem was more difficult for ANA\* to solve; i.e. what feature(s) of the environment made it more difficult? Include these explanations in your pdf.
- 3. (Extra Credit: 35 points) Make another copy of the template called hw2\_footsteps.py and use it to implement the footstep planner described in this paper. Remove the PR2 robot from the environment. Replace the tables with solid boxes that are roughly the same size. You do not need to load in a humanoid robot to actually execute the footstep sequence, for this problem only planning the sequence is enough.
  - Assume each foot is a rectangle of size 0.3m by 0.15m.

- Assume the start pose of the PR2 in the template is the start pose for the footsteps; i.e. the X and Y position specifies the location of the point between the feet. The feet should be pointing toward the wall with the doorway.
- Assume there is a small goal region around the goal pose (around 0.05m in X and Y and 0.1rad in  $\theta$ ). Placing the point between the feet anywhere in this region counts as completing the task.
- You will need to choose a reasonable transition model of possible footsteps for a humanoid.
  This model is basically a list of locations where the robot can put one foot while keeping the other foot static.
- For collision-checking, only consider if a footstep will collide with an object in the environment (don't worry about the whole robot).
- Assume a transition between two footsteps is collision-free if both footsteps are collision-free.

Produce a screenshot similar to Figure 6 in the paper showing the left (red) and right (blue) footsteps from start to goal and include it in your pdf. Describe the transition model you used in your pdf.

To grade your work, we will run the command python hw2\_footsteps.py in a folder where we've extracted your source code. We will not run any other command or any modifications of this command. When this command is run, your code should plan a sequence of footsteps and produce a visualization of the sequence as described for the screenshot above.