**Project Peer-review Report From Group 1 on Group 12**

**Group 1 Members**

**James Rodgers**

**Yikchun Ng**

**Napoleon de Mesa**

On Tuesday, you will review project of another group. Come to class ready; that is, you must have reviewed their code closely. In the class you will interact with the group for 40 minutes to better understand their project and clarify ambiguities.

After the review,

1. **Write a two-page summary that contain the following information**
2. **Prepare a three-slide presentation for a four-minute presentation on Thursday, Nov 30.**

Please provide *detailed* answers to the following questions.

* What do you like about this project?

Implementation of the MySQL database to store user information. They made sure the information of their users is well documented and protected by a database. They used a different column to separate corporate users from regular users. Also, they implemented a notification system and it logs all the action an user and the users’ team members perform. They also have a bookmark system that is nice and handy. Their program seems to have very few bugs based on our observation during their demonstration. Their project repository on Github is organized very nicely and that is a plus. We feel like they have put their hearts into this project.

* What you don’t like about this project and can substantially improve?

There use of corporate didn’t allow for any verification to ensure the user was with a corporation it simply gives the user the option to register as a corporate user without any verification of their source.

* Was Pivotal Tracker used effectively?

Yes it was used effectively. They even had several epics. Though there could have been more stories.

* How do you think your project performs on all the above aspects in comparison to this

project?

We believe our project preforms better on several aspects. Such as the security and verification of a corporate user, and the number of test cases implemented is far ahead. But their data storage is definitely more integrated than ours.

* Do test cases represent practical use cases, in addition to corner cases? Explain.

Yes, because they tested to ensure one user can’t access another information. And also test the normal expected procedures as well.

Short-answer questions:

* How would you score your confident in the assessment of the project on a scale of 1 to 5 (1: not confident at all, 5: very confident)?

4

* Number and size of commits by each contributor?

Raji92 + Rravi92(same student): 82 commits 4,347 ++ 3,217 -- avg per commit: 53++ 39 --

Nareshkumarss: 46 commits 1,390 ++ 575 -- avg per commit: 30++ 12--

CSCHeller: 7 commits 477++ 166 -- avg per commit 68++ 23--

* If number of commits for a contributor is low, what is the reason?

It is low for rravi92 because it is the second account for raji92 and was committed by mistake

* Is code coverage of test is 100%?

No.

* Is size of each commits less that 40 lines?

No.

* How design pattern have been used in the project?

They didn’t use any design pattern for the project because they started working on it before we covered design patterns in lecture and they were already into the project to far to reset.

* How would you score the project on a scale from 1 to 5?

4

* How many of the issues posted on GitHub project have been resolved?

No issue was posted.

Deadline: Thursday 30, 12pm (Noon).

Submission: There will be two submission pages on BB for this assignment--one for report, and one for the presentation. Please submit .pdf files.

Time for presentation is strict. Practice!