RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE

GROUP 3

Smart Flood Monitoring

AUTHORS:

Eedema, Gerrit Putra, Guntur Fakambi, Aurélie Schaefers, Joris Klinkenberg, Guus Brandsma, Jeroen Menninga, Wouter

> Version: 0.1 13 Sept, 2015

Authors

| Name | E-Mail |
|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Eedema, Gerrit | G.Eedema@student.rug.nl |
| Putra, Guntur | G.D.Putra@student.rug.nl |
| Fakambi, Aurlie | A.Fakambi@student.rug.nl |
| Schaefers, Joris | J.Schaefers@student.rug.nl |
| Klinkenberg, Guus | G.Klinkenberg@student.rug.nl |
| Brandsma, Jeroen | J.T.Brandsma@student.rug.nl |
| Menninga, Wouter | W.G.Menninga@student.rug.nl |

Revision History

| Ver | $\mathbf{sio}\mathbf{A}$ uthor | Date | Description |
|-----|--------------------------------|----------|--|
| 0.1 | ? | 06/09/15 | Setting up the working environment and the initial doc- |
| | | | ument structure. Draft versions of the first four chapters |
| | | | created, which are (respectively) Context, Architectural |
| | | | business information, Requirements and Analysis. |
| 0.2 | Menninga, | 13/09/15 | First version of functional requirements |
| | | | |

Contents

| 1 | System Context | 2 |
|----------|--|-----|
| | 1.1 Context | . 2 |
| 2 | Architectural business information | 3 |
| | 2.1 Business vision | . 3 |
| | 2.2 Business rationale | . 4 |
| | 2.3 Product and service description | . 4 |
| | 2.4 Target audience | . 4 |
| | 2.5 Business model | . 4 |
| | 2.6 Roadmaps | . 4 |
| | 2.7 Financial model | . 4 |
| | 2.8 Competitors | . 4 |
| 3 | Requirements | 5 |
| | 3.1 Architectural vision | . 5 |
| | 3.2 Stakeholder and their concerns | . 5 |
| | 3.3 Stories and use-cases | . 5 |
| | 3.3.1 A citizen receives a warning about an upcoming flood . | |
| | 3.4 Functional requirements | |
| | 3.5 Commercial non-functional requirements | . 7 |
| | 3.6 Technical non-functional requirements | . 7 |
| | 3.6.1 Resilience | . 7 |
| | 3.6.2 Interoperability | |
| | 3.7 Evolution requirements | . 7 |
| | 3.8 Risk assessment | . 7 |
| 4 | Analysis | 8 |
| 5 | System Architecture | 9 |
| 6 | Hardware Architecture | 10 |
| 7 | Software Architecture | 11 |
| 8 | Architecture evaluation | 12 |
| 9 | System evolution | 13 |
| Aı | ppendices | 14 |
| A | Time Tracking | 14 |
| | A.1 Week 1 | |
| | A O ANT 1 O | 1.1 |

1 System Context

This section shows the context of the system.

1.1 Context

We are the architecture team in a company that specializes in making smart systems for environmental monitoring.

In many parts of Europe, natural disasters cause allot of trouble. These disasters can result in catastrophic events that can take human lives and where it will take a huge amount of money to repair the damage caused.

Floods, for example, of rivers and lakes destroy huge amounts of farmlands and sometimes even causes deaths. Climate change and extreme weather phenomena cause these floods to get worse every year. This makes it hard to timely anticipate on these upcoming floods in order to control the damage it will do. There's a huge need for a system that will help the people during natural disasters like these, which is what this system aims to do.

The goal of our first product is to reduce the damage and problems caused by floods by trying to predict upcoming floods as correctly as possible. By using various kinds of sensors, vehicles and control units, this system monitors the areas and gives warnings about upcoming floods. It then also provides guidance to the people in the (about to be) flooded area to reduce the nuisance the flood causes.

When this flood monitoring system works as planned and shows that it can indeed reduce the damage of floods, new products will be made by our company that will focus on reducing the damage of other kinds of natural disasters.

Over time, the system will try to reduce the amounts of human lives that are lost by natural disasters, starting with floods, and will try to reduce the social and financial consequences. This will revolutionize the way we think about natural disasters. Though the market around environmental monitoring is very competitive, this system aims at being a core part of future monitoring systems by being as dynamic and flexible as possible. Thereby allowing new features to be added easily, allowing the system to grow over time, including new upcoming user needs.

2 Architectural business information


The following section describes the different aspects of the business environment of the Smart Flood Monitor. First we will explain our vision and why there is place for us at the market. After this the product and its customers will be explained. This chapter is completed with a more detailed look at the business model and some models about the market and the financial prospect.

2.1 Business vision

The Smart Flood Monitor will cause a revolutionary innovation on the environmental monitoring market. RugSAG3 will offer a system which can detect floods early and correctly. This system helps us to enforce our vision, to limit the social and financial consequences of floods and avoid the loss of human lives.

RugSAG3 will not be the only competitor on the environmental monitoring market. This means RugSAG3 will have to take their own strength and weaknesses into account. If we combine these qualities with an analysis of the opportunities and weaknesses on the market, we should be able to become a core part of the future environmental monitoring systems.

Such an analysis is called a SWOT-analysis.



Strengths: Quality of the product and affordable price.

Weaknesses: [No experience?]

Opportunities: Due to climate change, the market will grow.

Threats: New competitors will enter the market.

Our unique selling point is to provide the product with best quality, combined with the best service that is available.

2.2 Business rationale

2.3 Product and service description

2.4 Target audience

The product will be sold to governmental institutions.

2.5 Business model

2.6 Roadmaps

2.7 Financial model

The financial model will be a low product cost. This in order to price the product low in the market. A service description for maintenance will be offered. Also updates will be sold to the customer

2.8 Competitors

3 Requirements

3.1 Architectural vision

3.2 Stakeholder and their concerns

3.3 Stories and use-cases

- A user receives a warning about an upcoming flood in his or her area, within a reach of 30 kilometer
- A user requests guidance to get to a certain place in a flooded area
- A user reports an obstruction
- A user reports a (upcoming) flood
- A user verifies a reported flood warning

3.3.1 A citizen receives a warning about an upcoming flood

Scope: Warning part of the system

Level: Main process

Primary actor: Citizen

Stakeholders and interests:

- 1. Government the government wants to warn the citizens in case of a flood
- 2. Citizens citizens want to be warned in case of a flood

Precondition: There is an upcoming flood and the citizens are not warned

Postcondition: There is a flood and the citizens are warned

Main succes scenario:

- 1 There is the threat of an upcoming flood.
- 2 A warning mechanism is triggered.
- 3 The authorities are warned about the upcoming flood.
- 4 The authorities use their systems to warn the citizens.
- 5 The citizens are warned and can move to a safer place.

Extensions:

2a - The flood doesn't get to dangerous, it retreats. No warning mechanism is triggered.

3.4 Functional requirements

| Nr. | Prio | Description |
|---------|-----------------|---|
| FR-1 | Must | The system is able to receive and process input from sensors |
| | | with regards to the water level. This information will be used |
| | | to determine if there is an imminent flood. |
| FR-2 | Must | The system is able to receive and process input from sensors |
| | | with regards to the pressure/consistency of the dykes. This |
| | | information will be used to determine if there is an imminent |
| | | flood. |
| FR-3 | Must | The system retrieves weather forecasting data from weather |
| | | forecasting services. The retrieved weather forecasting data con- |
| | | sists of predictions about the precipitation and wind data. This |
| | | data will be used by the system to help in determining when a |
| | | flood becomes imminent. |
| FR-4 | Must | The system is able to detect from the sensor data and weather |
| | | forecast information when a flood is imminent. |
| FR-5 | \mathbf{Must} | The system can compute (from geographic information) the area |
| | | which will be affected by a flood. |
| FR-6 | \mathbf{Must} | The system is able to collect information pertaining to the sever- |
| | | ity of the flood. The severity can be deducted from the expected |
| | | water level, how fast the water level in the flood area will rise, |
| | | and by the number of civilians living in the affected area (pop- |
| | | ulation density). |
| FR-7 | \mathbf{Must} | The system provides emergency services with information about |
| | | the flood. This includes the area affected by the flood and in- |
| | | formation needed to deduct the severity of the flood. |
| FR-8 | Must | When a flood is imminent, the system should send a warning to |
| | | the emergency services and to the authorities. |
| FR-9 | \mathbf{Must} | The system is able to compute a safe route to a safe area where |
| | 3.5 | citizens can be evacuated to in case of an (imminent) flood. |
| FR-10 | \mathbf{Must} | When a flood is imminent, the system should send a warning |
| | | to citizens who are subscribed for such warnings. This warning |
| ED 11 | T. /f. / | will contain information about how to get to a safe area. |
| FR-11 | \mathbf{Must} | The system is able to predict the development of the water level. |
| | | This information can be used to predict how fast a flood will |
| FR-12 | Must | develop. The system uses different sources to confirm imminent fleed. |
| Γ N-12 | Must | The system uses different sources to confirm imminent flood |
| FR-13 | Must | warnings, in order to limit false positives. The system can detect a faulty sensor, either when the sensor |
| 1.11-19 | Must | raises an error or when the data from the sensor is inconsistent |
| | | with other sensor data. |
| FR-14 | Must | The system can report faulty sensors, so these sensors can be |
| 1.11-14 | Wiust | repaired or replaced. |
| FR-15 | Must | In case of a flood, the system will provide emergency services |
| 110-10 | 141430 | with safe routes to incident locations. |
| FR-16 | Must | Citizens are able to subscribe to flood warning messages. |
| 110-10 | 141436 | Civizend are able to subscribe to mood warning incosages. |

| FR-17 | \mathbf{Must} | The system has access to geographic information, including road |
|-------|-----------------|--|
| | | data and terrain height data. |
| FR-18 | Must | The system can determine the area affected by a flood, by using |
| | | the location data of the sensors and geographic information. |
| FR-19 | Must | The system can determine the location of the citizen, after |
| | | he/she is warned about a flood, in order to compute a safe route |
| | | to a safe area. |
| FR-20 | Must | The system can determine the location of an emergency vehicle, |
| | | so it is able to compute a safe route to incident locations. |
| FR-21 | Future | The system is able to detect extreme weather phenomena, like |
| | | storms etc. |

3.5 Commercial non-functional requirements

3.6 Technical non-functional requirements

In this section, the technical non-functional requirements important to this system are discussed.

3.6.1 Resilience

The system will have many connected sensors, which can have failures. The system should be able to recognize such failures timely and recover from them without the QoS or the functionality of the system being affected.

The system should be able to continue functioning with the same QoS in a situation where up to 5% of the sensors suffer from failures.

3.6.2 Interoperability

The system has dependencies on third-party systems. For example, to make predictions about the development of waterlevel, the system will need to retrieve information from water forecasting services.

Not only for input, but also for output, the system will need to interoperate with third-party systems. If the system has registered a risk of a flood, it should interact with systems of emergency services and other authorities to alert them and sent relevant information.

3.7 Evolution requirements

3.8 Risk assessment

4 Analysis

Assumptions:

- People requesting guidance have access to a phone that is either connected to a mobile network or to the internet
- The system is able to monitor various kinds of sensors
- The system has access to the internet
- The sensors are (in some way) connected to the system
- The system is able to use various kinds of sensors in order to predict floods.
- When the system has enough proof of a flood, the users will be informed in a timely manner (within 30 seconds maximal).
- People within a range of (30km?) will be warned about a detected flood
- The system uses multiple weather forecasting services to make its own predictions about possible upcoming floods.

Decisions:

- Security? (What if someone tries to manipulate a sensor?)
- One or multiple warning systems (failover)
- Networking? Redundancy of cables (trunked?)
- When to alarm? What to do if only 1 sensor warns about a flood?
- Security decisions?
- Operating system decision
- Storage decision
- Push or pull updates? (Pushing Im hoping)
- Programming language(s) for the system? Web-interface, sensor monitoring, weather forecasting system, push notification system
- In what ways will the system detect a flood? Sensors, UAVs, forecasting?
- How to detect a flood based on the forecasting? Warn beforehand? Pay extra attention to the new upcoming flood by sending extra robots/uav's?

| Name | Linux |
|---------------|--|
| Decision | 1 |
| Status | New |
| Problem/Issue | The warning system software for the natural dis- |
| | asters need a platform to work on. |
| Decision | The warning system will use Linux as a platform |
| | Linux |
| Alternatives | Linux is a free platform that has proven itself |
| Alternatives | and is used by many servers. It is reliable and |
| | more secure. |
| Arguments | |

5 System Architecture

6 Hardware Architecture

7 Software Architecture

8 Architecture evaluation

9 System evolution

A Time Tracking

A.1 Week 1

| Person | Task | Hours |
|-------------|---|-------|
| Gerrit | Reviewing the document, reading the assignment, ini- | 8 |
| | tializing requirements, & installing environment for | |
| | project | |
| Putra | Intial preparation for the course | 5 |
| Fakambi | | |
| Schaefers | Setting up the working environment, create the context | 8 |
| | page and analysis page drafts. Setting up and improving | |
| | the the document structure. | |
| Klinkenberg | | |
| Brandsma | Creating working environment, reading assignment, first | 8 |
| | draft business part | |
| Menninga | Reading assignment, setting up working environment, | 5 |
| | first non-functional requirements | |

A.2 Week 2

| Person | Task | Hours |
|-------------|--|-------|
| Gerrit | | |
| Putra | | |
| Fakambi | | |
| Schaefers | | |
| Klinkenberg | Coaching session, meetings, providing feedback on re- | 5.5 |
| | quirements | |
| Brandsma | First version of use-cases, coaching session, meeting | 5 |
| Menninga | First version of the functional requirements, coaching | 10.25 |
| | session, meeting | |