

GSP Technical Debt

Table of Contents

1. What is Technical Debt?
2. Current Technical Debt
3. How to Address Technical Debt?

Technical Debt ?

Part 1

When Does it Happen ?

"When past choices are no more suitable for current needs"

- When it is early in the project and requirements are still unclear
- When motivation/skill is lacking to do it properly
- When a quick solution is chosen to meet a deadline

What is the Cost ?

- It slows down progress
- It increases the risk of bugs
- It makes the code harder to understand and maintain

For Libraries Specific Case

It repels potential contributors

- "*No to messy code*" and "*No to lack of tests*"

For those who decide to contribute ?

- Makes their onboarding *slower* and *more painful*

Current Technical Debt

Part 2

- TODO here put all the bugs you remember
- be nice and always do it softly
- do not blame anyone, it is not personal
- it is just a fact, nobody is perfect, we all do mistakes

List of Known Issues

- no notion of camera
 - so from which point of view are we rendering ?
 - how to handle multiple viewports ?
- the visual got no `reference` (e.g. position, rotation, scale). You want to move that, you move ALL the points/pixels/etc... manually
- commands are too black magic, just creating a temporary array will duplicate the data over the network - the commands generated by decorator will always have this issue - `viewport.add(visual)` explicit + scene graph API would fix this
 - issue with the temporary array of `vec3` and how it duplicate things

Subclassing Numpy ndarray

- numpy advices against subtyping it and say it is bad for maintainability [here](#)
 - unpredictable behaviour
 - subclass may loose information

GSP is subclassing ndarray 4 times:

- `vec3 -> swizzle -> tracked -> tracked -> np.ndarray`

Tracked: ndarray subclass to optimise network usage

- aka we send only the data which changed
- but tracked doesn't even contain serialisation - as in delta encoding
- do not believe it is easy, i had to reimplement it 3 times before getting it right.

Buffer : an Array of Bytes ?

- Buffer is not a "array of byte". it has a shape and a dtype. this is ndarray/tensor thing
 - aka multi dimensional array of a given type. aka a shape and a dtype
 - [here](#)

Confusing Naming

- `._viewports` is a list/dict of viewports ?
 - here it is a list of matplotlib artists [link](#)
- `List` is `typing.List` ?
 - no it is a list of object [link](#)
- `io` is the `stdlib io` ?
 - no it is a module for serialisation [link](#)
- 'transform' is 3d transformations matrix ?
 - or 'transform' is a data transformation chain ?
 - up to you to guess, but 'transform' is everywhere in the source

Transform Assumptions

- transform are assuming object to be sorted, so objects are z-sorted at every render.
- So it sorted "billions of objects" at every frame even if no transform is here
- here we are sorting points [here](#)
- or pixels [here](#)

Confusing Naming (Contd.)

- `vec3` is a vector of 3 elements for many. Well known in [GLSL](#)
 - here it is an **array** of `vec3`, and it is impossible to have a single `vec3`
- Inconsistency in `vec2`, `vec3`, `vec4` conversions
 - in `to_vec3()`, `vec2` \rightarrow `vec3` : `x,y` \rightarrow `x,y,0` [link](#)
 - in `to_vec4()`, `vec2` \rightarrow `vec4` : `x,y` \rightarrow `x,y,0,1` [link](#)
 - so here `to_vec4` is not consistent with `to_vec3`, and should be considered `to_homogeneous` or similar

Coding Style Issues

- single letter variable
- no comment
- confusing names
- lack of type hinting
- globals / eval

black magic: Args converted by parsing `__annotations__`

- see code [here](#)
- decorator based black magic
- so complex, hard to debug. Nobody understand how it works.
see bug #14

PS: why not using functions call to convert things explicitly ?

Commands: Data Duplication Issue

- commands are too black magic,
- just creating a temporary array will duplicate the data over the network
- issue with the temporary array of vec3 and how it duplicate things [here](#)

```
V = glm.to_vec3(np.random.uniform(-1, +1, (n,2)))  
P = glm.to_vec3(np.random.uniform(-1, +1, (n,2)))  
pixels = visual.Pixels(P)
```

It will sent V over the network, even if unused.

Code committed without being run: (the commands)

- commands generation/parsing never have been executed
- see bug #14
- it is not buggy as in doesn't work as expected, in a special case.
- the code is just relying on unexisting API, it throws exception all the time.
- no ./examples using it

Code committed without being run: (the transforms)

- most visual relies on `len(positions)` but positions can be a transform
- transform doesn't know the length of their output, and no `__len__` is defined
- so calling `len(transform)` throws exception

Code committed without being run: (Contd.)

- Light is never used, Data is never used -> committed but never ran
 - [data.py](#)
 - [light.py](#)

Code committed without being run: Undefined Symbols

- undefined symbol for vmin, vmax - typo [here](#)
- undefined symbol for uint32 - likely forgot `np.` [here](#)
- Undefined function sRGBA_to_RGBA doesn't exist [here](#)
- Undefined local symbol data doesnt exist [here](#)
- [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#)
- For a list go [here](#)

PS: this code ever got executed ?

Race condition in Tracked

- tracked is disabled globally in each visual constructor
 - [here](#)
- race condition if the code is executed in thread/async context
- it will fail silently

Commands Serialisation based on `eval()`

- Portability issue
 - this works only for python. What if we want a JS/C++ client ?
 - They will need to emulate python `eval` which is not realistic
- Maintainability issue
 - hard to track which symbols are used where

Commands Serialisation based on `eval()` (Contd.)

- Security issue: arbitrary code execution
- can be mitigated by [link](#)

```
safe_globals = {"__builtins__": None}
safe_locals = {"abs": abs, "pow": pow, "max": max}
result = eval(user_input, safe_globals, safe_locals)
```

- It would require to rewrite the commands to avoid `__import__` and other tricks

Inconsistency in vector layer

- there is a notion of `vec2` , `vec3` , `vec4` but no `vec1`
- so how to encode indices or size etc... ?

Just use an array of float ?

- Some would say "use an array of float" but it won't go thru the same code path
- All the benefits from the `vec` layer will be lost
 - all the `vec` conversion, all the tracked features, all the `raggle` features
 - none of that will happen to a `array of float` , while it would to a `vec1`

Type Hinting

"far too few, and when it is there, it is often flacky"

Why static type checking is important ?

- catch errors early
- good for libraries users and for team developpers

Type Hinting: An Example

```
def foo(data : memoryview | bytes = None):  
    ...
```

- Either the default value is not of the right type
- Or the type hinting is just wrong

Wrong type hinting better than no type hinting ?

How to Address It ?

Part 3

Diagnose the issues

- is the code widely used ?
- is it behaving as expected ? (aka is it well tested ?)
- how large is the code ?
- is there still people who understand it ?
- how complex is the code ?
- how well is it documented ?
- which parts is affected and how large is it compared to the rest ?

Possible strategies

- refactor it incrementally

Notes

- We lost controls, nobody understand this code, if the code was large or if a lot of people were depending on it, reimplementation would not be possible
- what are the possible engineering strategy when you face such a problem ? reimplementation is reasonable. it is a small project for now, the base we got is fragile and doesn't do much. keeping it as a base will slow down progress.

Conclusion

- better catch it early