

Illinois May 21, 2020

Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report](#).


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data](#).

Retail & recreation

-29%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

-1%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

+36%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

Transit stations

-42%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces

-45%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential

+17%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of residence.

Adams County


Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline




Parks*



Transit stations*

-15% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline




Alexander County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-24% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bond County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*



Boone County

Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-2% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations

-10% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-40% compared to baseline



Residential*

+13% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bureau County

Retail & recreation*

-22% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



Residential*



Carroll County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cass County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-28% compared to baseline



Residential*



Champaign County

Retail & recreation

-34% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



Parks*

+65% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-25% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-50% compared to baseline



Residential

+18% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Christian County

Retail & recreation*

-12% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*


+8% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*



Clark County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations

+17% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Clay County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-32% compared to baseline




Residential*



Clinton County

Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*

+12% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Coles County

Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+5% compared to baseline




Parks*




Transit stations*

-48% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline



Cook County

Retail & recreation

-35% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline




Parks

+8% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-54% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-51% compared to baseline



Residential

+20% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Crawford County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



Residential*



Cumberland County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations

-18% compared to baseline



Workplaces*

-28% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

De Witt County

Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*

-10% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



Residential*



DeKalb County

Retail & recreation

-27% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline




Parks*

-50% compared to baseline



Transit stations*

-54% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-44% compared to baseline



Residential

+15% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Douglas County

Retail & recreation*


-14% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



Residential*



DuPage County

Retail & recreation

-35% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline




Parks

+33% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-64% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-52% compared to baseline



Residential

+22% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Edgar County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*



Edwards County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Effingham County


Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+18% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-6% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline



Fayette County

Retail & recreation*

-18% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Ford County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*



Franklin County

Retail & recreation*

-12% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+39% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*

-5% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*

+8% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fulton County


Retail & recreation*

-11% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*


+1% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



Residential*

+7% compared to baseline




Gallatin County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-39% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Greene County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-20% compared to baseline



Residential*



Grundy County


Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations

-5% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline



Residential*


+12% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hamilton County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*



Hancock County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Henderson County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-23% compared to baseline




Residential*



Henry County


Retail & recreation*

-25% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+7% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-32% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline



Residential*


+12% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Iroquois County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*




Parks*



Transit stations

-3% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



Residential*



Jackson County


Retail & recreation

-30% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline



Parks

+10% compared to baseline




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-43% compared to baseline



Residential*

+12% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jasper County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-22% compared to baseline



Residential*



Jefferson County

Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

+3% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



Residential*

+10% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jersey County

Retail & recreation*

-17% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



Residential*




Jo Daviess County

Retail & recreation*

-37% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Johnson County

Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*




Parks*

-62% compared to baseline




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-29% compared to baseline



Residential*



Kane County

Retail & recreation

-29% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline



Parks

+108% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-31% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-44% compared to baseline



Residential

+17% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kankakee County


Retail & recreation

-18% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline




Parks*



Transit stations

-3% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



Residential

+11% compared to baseline



Kendall County


Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+10% compared to baseline




Parks*

-11% compared to baseline




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-48% compared to baseline



Residential

+19% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Knox County


Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+2% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations

+8% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*

+10% compared to baseline



LaSalle County


Retail & recreation

-19% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+19% compared to baseline



Parks*

-36% compared to baseline



Transit stations

+8% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



Residential

+11% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lake County

Retail & recreation

-30% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline



Parks

+71% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-34% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-49% compared to baseline



Residential

+19% compared to baseline



Lawrence County

Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-21% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lee County


Retail & recreation*

-21% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*


+26% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



Residential*


+10% compared to baseline



Livingston County

Retail & recreation*

-18% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+19% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Logan County

Retail & recreation*

-18% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+18% compared to baseline




Parks*

-31% compared to baseline




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline




Residential*



Macon County


Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline




Parks*




Transit stations

-1% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline



Residential

+11% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Macoupin County


Retail & recreation*

-28% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-5% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline



Madison County


Retail & recreation

-19% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline



Parks*

+65% compared to baseline




Transit stations

+7% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline



Residential

+13% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Marion County


Retail & recreation*

-22% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+7% compared to baseline




Parks*



Transit stations*

-44% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline



Marshall County

Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-24% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Mason County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline




Residential*




Massac County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*

-6% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

McDonough County


Retail & recreation*

-26% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*


-19% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*



McHenry County


Retail & recreation

-17% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline




Parks

+117% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-44% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-44% compared to baseline



Residential

+17% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

McLean County

Retail & recreation

-31% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline



Parks*

+35% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-17% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-52% compared to baseline



Residential

+19% compared to baseline



Menard County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-33% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Mercer County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*



Monroe County


Retail & recreation*

-27% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-11% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-43% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Montgomery County


Retail & recreation*

-14% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*


+22% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



Residential*



Morgan County


Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+5% compared to baseline




Parks*




Transit stations*

+11% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Moultrie County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-26% compared to baseline



Residential*



Ogle County


Retail & recreation

-33% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+10% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline



Residential*

+12% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Peoria County

Retail & recreation

-27% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline



Parks

+49% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-19% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-40% compared to baseline



Residential

+14% compared to baseline



Perry County

Retail & recreation*

-34% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Piatt County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-36% compared to baseline



Residential*




Pike County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-27% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Pulaski County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-28% compared to baseline



Residential*



Putnam County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-25% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Randolph County

Retail & recreation*

-10% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline




Residential*



Richland County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Rock Island County


Retail & recreation

-36% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline




Parks

-16% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-26% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-37% compared to baseline



Residential

+13% compared to baseline



Saline County


Retail & recreation*

-9% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+14% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Sangamon County


Retail & recreation

-27% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline




Parks*

+54% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-44% compared to baseline



Residential

+15% compared to baseline




Schuyler County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-27% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Scott County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-45% compared to baseline



Residential*



Shelby County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

St. Clair County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline




Parks

+62% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-41% compared to baseline



Residential

+14% compared to baseline




Stark County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-29% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Stephenson County


Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+0% compared to baseline



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*

+12% compared to baseline



Tazewell County


Retail & recreation

-18% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+13% compared to baseline



Parks*

-25% compared to baseline




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline



Residential

+13% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Union County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline




Residential*



Vermilion County


Retail & recreation

-14% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+10% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*


+9% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wabash County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-19% compared to baseline



Residential*



Warren County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*

+15% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Washington County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline



Residential*



Wayne County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

White County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-24% compared to baseline



Residential*



Whiteside County


Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Will County


Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



Parks

+68% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-23% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-44% compared to baseline



Residential

+17% compared to baseline



Williamson County


Retail & recreation

-14% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline




Parks*




Transit stations

-6% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-36% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Winnebago County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline



Parks*

+138% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-39% compared to baseline



Residential

+13% compared to baseline



Woodford County

Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*

+6% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline



Residential*

+16% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility