

Colorado May 21, 2020

Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report](#).


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data](#).

Retail & recreation

-19%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

+1%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

+78%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

Transit stations

-36%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces

-44%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential

+14%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of residence.

Adams County


Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline




Parks

+51% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-40% compared to baseline



Residential


+13% compared to baseline



Alamosa County


Retail & recreation*

-2% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+10% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*



*** Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Arapahoe County


Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline




Parks

+79% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-24% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-47% compared to baseline



Residential

+17% compared to baseline




Archuleta County

Retail & recreation*

+2% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



Residential*




*** Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bent County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-31% compared to baseline



Residential*



Boulder County

Retail & recreation

-31% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-12% compared to baseline



Parks

+89% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-40% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-56% compared to baseline



Residential

+19% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


Broomfield County

Retail & recreation

-28% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*




Parks

+50% compared to baseline




Transit stations*

-39% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-56% compared to baseline



Residential*


+21% compared to baseline



Chaffee County

Retail & recreation*

-5% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*

-39% compared to baseline




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Clear Creek County

Retail & recreation*

-50% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*

+34% compared to baseline




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-39% compared to baseline



Residential*



Conejos County

Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-29% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Delta County


Retail & recreation*

+9% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+6% compared to baseline



Parks*

-14% compared to baseline




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



Residential*



Denver County

Retail & recreation

-37% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline



Parks

+36% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-61% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-51% compared to baseline



Residential

+17% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Douglas County


Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline




Parks

+95% compared to baseline




Transit stations*

-33% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-56% compared to baseline



Residential


+20% compared to baseline



Eagle County


Retail & recreation

-36% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-10% compared to baseline



Parks

+44% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-40% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-48% compared to baseline



Residential*

+13% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

El Paso County

Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline



Parks

+115% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-25% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-42% compared to baseline



Residential

+13% compared to baseline



Elbert County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-43% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fremont County

Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+24% compared to baseline




Parks*

+74% compared to baseline



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



Residential*

+6% compared to baseline



Garfield County

Retail & recreation

-5% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+3% compared to baseline



Parks*

+50% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-4% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*

+8% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Gilpin County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-55% compared to baseline




Residential*



Grand County


Retail & recreation*

-40% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-28% compared to baseline




Parks

-44% compared to baseline



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-36% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Gunnison County

Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*




Parks*

+16% compared to baseline




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-42% compared to baseline




Residential*



Huerfano County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-21% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jefferson County

Retail & recreation

-18% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline



Parks

+75% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-8% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-49% compared to baseline



Residential

+17% compared to baseline




Kiowa County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*

-41% compared to baseline



Workplaces*



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kit Carson County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations

+31% compared to baseline



Workplaces*

-14% compared to baseline



Residential*



La Plata County

Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+22% compared to baseline




Parks*

+33% compared to baseline



Transit stations*

-24% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-41% compared to baseline



Residential*


+13% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lake County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline



Residential*



Larimer County


Retail & recreation

-19% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



Parks

+110% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-19% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-43% compared to baseline



Residential

+14% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


Las Animas County

Retail & recreation*


-1% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*




Lincoln County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*




Parks*




Transit stations

+45% compared to baseline




Workplaces*

-30% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Logan County

Retail & recreation*

+3% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline




Residential*



Mesa County

Retail & recreation

+1% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+18% compared to baseline




Parks

+155% compared to baseline



Transit stations

+6% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



Residential

+6% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Moffat County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline




Residential*



Montezuma County

Retail & recreation*

+17% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Montrose County


Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy


+5% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



Residential*

+6% compared to baseline



Morgan County


Retail & recreation

-4% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+0% compared to baseline




Parks*

-7% compared to baseline




Transit stations

+24% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



Residential*

+8% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Otero County

Retail & recreation*

-5% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



Residential*



Ouray County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-50% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Park County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*




Parks*

+60% compared to baseline



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-39% compared to baseline



Residential*




Phillips County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-32% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Pitkin County

Retail & recreation*

-77% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*




Parks*




Transit stations*

-74% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-55% compared to baseline



Residential*




Prowers County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations

+7% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Pueblo County


Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+13% compared to baseline



Parks

+30% compared to baseline




Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



Residential

+9% compared to baseline




Rio Blanco County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-21% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Rio Grande County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-28% compared to baseline



Residential*



Routt County


Retail & recreation

-49% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

-16% compared to baseline




Parks*

-7% compared to baseline



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Saguache County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-38% compared to baseline



Residential*



San Miguel County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-51% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Summit County


Retail & recreation

-57% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-32% compared to baseline




Parks

-15% compared to baseline




Transit stations*

-54% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-52% compared to baseline




Residential*



Teller County


Retail & recreation*

+17% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+8% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-40% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Washington County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-44% compared to baseline



Residential*



Weld County


Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline



Parks

+76% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-39% compared to baseline



Residential


+12% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Yuma County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-23% compared to baseline



Residential*



About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility