

Virginia May 25, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report.](#)


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data.](#)

### Retail & recreation

# -28%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

# -8%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

# +103%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-48%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-73%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+22%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of residence.

# Accomack County

## Retail & recreation\*

-13% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-66% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+11% compared to baseline



# Albemarle County

## Retail & recreation

-41% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-19% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+15% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-42% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+21% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Alexandria

## Retail & recreation

-36% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-19% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+82% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-70% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-78% compared to baseline



## Residential

+27% compared to baseline



# Allegheny County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-10% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-61% compared to baseline




## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Amelia County


## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-69%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Amherst County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Appomattox County

## Retail & recreation\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-68% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Arlington County


## Retail & recreation

-59% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-26% compared to baseline




## Parks

+33% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-81% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-84% compared to baseline



## Residential

+28% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Augusta County


## Retail & recreation

**-33%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+18%** compared to baseline



# Bath County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

**-60%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Bedford County


## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-71%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*

**+20%** compared to baseline



# Bland County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

**+42%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Botetourt County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-73%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Bristol

## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-61%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Brunswick County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-73% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

-57% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Buchanan County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-60% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Buckingham County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-70%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Buena Vista


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Campbell County


## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+11%** compared to baseline



# Caroline County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-24%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+12%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-61%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Carroll County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-60%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Charles City County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-73%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Charlotte County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Charlottesville

## Retail & recreation

**-55%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-74%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Chesapeake


## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+94%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-44%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-75%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+22%** compared to baseline



# Chesterfield County

## Retail & recreation

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+109%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-76%** compared to baseline




## Residential

**+22%** compared to baseline




# Clarke County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-76%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Colonial Heights

## Retail & recreation

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.




# Covington

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-48%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Craig County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Culpeper County

## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Cumberland County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-69%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Danville


## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-57%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline




# Dickenson County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Dinwiddie County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-69%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+12%** compared to baseline




# Emporia

## Retail & recreation\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-53%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Essex County

## Retail & recreation\*


**+2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Fairfax

## Retail & recreation

**-47%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-81%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fairfax County

## Retail & recreation

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+95%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-68%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-80%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+27%** compared to baseline



# Falls Church

## Retail & recreation

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-88%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fauquier County

## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-76%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+19%** compared to baseline



# Floyd County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Fluvanna County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-6% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-74% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Franklin

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-19% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

-62% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Franklin County


## Retail & recreation

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+12%** compared to baseline



# Frederick County

## Retail & recreation

**-17%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+21%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fredericksburg

## Retail & recreation

**-20%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-5%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Galax

## Retail & recreation\*

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+44%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-61%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Giles County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Gloucester County

## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+26%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+12%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Goochland County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-75%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# Grayson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-56%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Greene County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-73%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Greensville County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-61%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Halifax County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-62%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline



# Hampton

## Retail & recreation

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+100%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+21%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hanover County


## Retail & recreation

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-78%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+20%** compared to baseline



# Harrisonburg

## Retail & recreation

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-69%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Henrico County

## Retail & recreation

**-33%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+43%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-62%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-74%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+22%** compared to baseline



# Henry County


## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Hopewell

## Retail & recreation\*

-18% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-63% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+11% compared to baseline



# Isle of Wight County

## Retail & recreation\*

-10% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-74% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+15% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# James City County

## Retail & recreation

**-32%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+19%** compared to baseline



# King and Queen County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# King George County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-37%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-73%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# King William County

## Retail & recreation\*


**-4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-75%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lancaster County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-58%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Lee County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Lexington

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Loudoun County

## Retail & recreation

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+32%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-81%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-82%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+27%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Louisa County

## Retail & recreation\*

+9% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+11% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-70% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+14% compared to baseline



# Lunenburg County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-63% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lynchburg

## Retail & recreation

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+17%** compared to baseline



# Madison County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Manassas

## Retail & recreation

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-58%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-74%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



# Manassas Park

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-70%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Martinsville


## Retail & recreation\*

-29% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-66% compared to baseline




## Residential\*




# Mathews County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-63% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Mecklenburg County

## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+17%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-61%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Middlesex County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Montgomery County

## Retail & recreation

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-28%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-71%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*

**+23%** compared to baseline



# Nelson County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# New Kent County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-78%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Newport News

## Retail & recreation

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+103%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+20%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Norfolk


## Retail & recreation

**-31%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+35%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+20%** compared to baseline



# Northampton County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-58%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Northumberland County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-60% compared to baseline




## Residential\*




# Norton

## Retail & recreation\*

-16% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Nottoway County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-59%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Orange County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Page County

## Retail & recreation\*

+5% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

-14% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-67% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Patrick County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-62% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Petersburg


## Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-60%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+12%** compared to baseline



# Pittsylvania County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+17%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Poquoson

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-79%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Portsmouth


## Retail & recreation

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+33%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Powhatan County


## Retail & recreation\*

-15% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-8% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-78% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Prince Edward County

## Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-66% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Prince George County

## Retail & recreation

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



# Prince William County

## Retail & recreation

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+75%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-47%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-76%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+27%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Pulaski County


## Retail & recreation\*

-5% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+15% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-66% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+13% compared to baseline



# Radford

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-67% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Rappahannock County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Richmond

## Retail & recreation

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+110%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-69%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Richmond County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-61% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Roanoke

## Retail & recreation

-32% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+4% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-44% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-66% compared to baseline



## Residential

+18% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Roanoke County


## Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-72% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+21% compared to baseline



# Rockbridge County

## Retail & recreation\*

-14% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-64% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Rockingham County


## Retail & recreation

**-17%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+54%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+19%** compared to baseline



# Russell County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Salem

## Retail & recreation

-29% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-13% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-72% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Scott County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-62% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Shenandoah County


## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+13%** compared to baseline



# Smyth County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+15%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-61%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Southampton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Spotsylvania County

## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-73%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+23%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Stafford County

## Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+79%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-60%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-75%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+24%** compared to baseline



# Staunton

## Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Suffolk


## Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-31% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-73% compared to baseline



## Residential

+22% compared to baseline



# Surry County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-62% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Sussex County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-59%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Tazewell County

## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-62%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Virginia Beach


## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+121%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-43%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-73%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+22%** compared to baseline



# Warren County

## Retail & recreation

**+13%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-70%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Washington County


## Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-33% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-7% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-61% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+10% compared to baseline



# Waynesboro


## Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-61% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Westmoreland County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Williamsburg

## Retail & recreation

**-30%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-60%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-62%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Winchester

## Retail & recreation

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-67%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Wise County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wythe County


## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-62%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# York County

## Retail & recreation

**-10%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+57%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-79%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+19%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)