Indiana   May 13, 2020

Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. How to use this report.

Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read About this data.

Retail & recreation

-12%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

+1%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

+71%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

Transit stations

−11%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Wed, Apr 1   Wed, Apr 22   Wed, May 13

Workplaces

−36%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of work.

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Wed, Apr 1   Wed, Apr 22   Wed, May 13

Residential

+14%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of residence.

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Wed, Apr 1   Wed, Apr 22   Wed, May 13

Adams County

Retail & recreation ✽

-11% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✽

-29% compared to baseline

Parks ✽

Transit stations ✽

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✽

+8% compared to baseline

Allen County

### Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline

Parks

+153% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-7% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-36% compared to baseline

Residential

+13% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bartholomew County

Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+15% compared to baseline

Transit stations

+3% compared to baseline


Workplaces

-46% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+17% compared to baseline

# Benton County

Retail & recreation ✱


Grocery & pharmacy ✱


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Blackford County

Retail & recreation ✱

**-53%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

### Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Boone County

Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-5% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-43% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+18% compared to baseline

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Brown County

Retail & recreation ✱

**-70%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Carroll County

Retail & recreation ✱

-7% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+5% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cass County

Retail & recreation

-25% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

Clark County

### Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+13% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline

Residential

+16% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Clay County

Retail & recreation ✳

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✳

-29% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

Transit stations

-6% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

Clinton County

Retail & recreation ✳

-26% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✳

-17% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

Transit stations ✳

Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

+12% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Crawford County

Retail & recreation ✱

-47% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations

**-21%** compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Daviess County

Retail & recreation ✱

**-11%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+5% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

DeKalb County

Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+10% compared to baseline

Parks ✱


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

Dearborn County

Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+9% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

Decatur County

Retail & recreation ✱

-17% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-46%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

+2% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Delaware County

Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**+3%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-12% compared to baseline

Transit stations

+13% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-39% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Dubois County

Retail & recreation

-17% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✲

**+12%** compared to baseline

Parks ✲

Transit stations ✲

Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline

Residential ✲

+14% compared to baseline

Elkhart County

## Retail & recreation

+1% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline


Parks ✲

+17% compared to baseline

Transit stations

+12% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fayette County

Retail & recreation ✱

-5% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-14% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Floyd County

Retail & recreation

-12% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-42% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+18% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fountain County

Retail & recreation ✱

-47% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+0% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Franklin County

Retail & recreation ✱

-38% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-32% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fulton County

Retail & recreation ✱

-21% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-10% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline

### Residential ✱

Gibson County

Retail & recreation ✱

+1% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

### Transit stations

-17% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+15% compared to baseline


✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Grant County

## Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline

## Parks✱

Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+9% compared to baseline

Greene County

Retail & recreation✱

-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-15% compared to baseline


Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hamilton County

### Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline

Parks

+83% compared to baseline


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-53% compared to baseline

Residential

+22% compared to baseline

Hancock County

Retail & recreation

-14% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+24% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

+1% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-42% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+16% compared to baseline

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Harrison County

### Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+14% compared to baseline

Hendricks County

Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

-16% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-43% compared to baseline

Residential

+18% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Henry County

Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

**-7%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

Howard County

Retail & recreation

**+0%** compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

+14% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-41% compared to baseline

Residential

+12% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Huntington County

Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-46% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

Jackson County

Retail & recreation

+17% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-22% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-8% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-39% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+15% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jasper County

Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+5% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

## Transit stations

+8% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

Jay County

Retail & recreation ✱

-43% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline

Residential ✱



✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jefferson County

## Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**+10%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

Jennings County

Retail & recreation ✱

-43% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-14% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline


Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Johnson County

### Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+12% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+14% compared to baseline


Transit stations

-4% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-42% compared to baseline

Residential

+16% compared to baseline

Knox County

Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-5% compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kosciusko County

Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline


Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

LaGrange County

Retail & recreation ✱

**-62%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-6% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Transit stations

**-4%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

LaPorte County

Retail & recreation

+5% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+33% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-5% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Lake County

### Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline

Parks

+56% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline

Residential

+14% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lawrence County

Retail & recreation

**−3%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

**−28%** compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

Madison County

Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

−12% compared to baseline

Workplaces

−36% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Marion County

### Retail & recreation

-27% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks

+13% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-31% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-43% compared to baseline

Residential

+15% compared to baseline

Marshall County

Retail & recreation

+5% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+13% compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

Transit stations

-3% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Martin County

Retail & recreation ✱

-53% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-8% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Miami County

Retail & recreation ✱

-26% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+8% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Monroe County

Retail & recreation

-39% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-13% compared to baseline

Parks✱

+25% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-31% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-53% compared to baseline

Residential

+20% compared to baseline

Montgomery County

Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy✱

+2% compared to baseline

Parks✱

### Transit stations✱

-7% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+11% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Morgan County

Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

+6% compared to baseline


Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+12% compared to baseline

# Newton County

Retail & recreation✱

-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱


Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Noble County

Retail & recreation

+3% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

+11% compared to baseline


Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline

Residential✱

**+11%** compared to baseline

Ohio County

Retail & recreation✱

Grocery & pharmacy✱

Parks✱

### Transit stations✱

Workplaces✱

-29% compared to baseline

Residential✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Orange County

Retail & recreation ✳

-48% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✳

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

-53% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✳

Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✳


Owen County

Retail & recreation ✳

-26% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy ✳

-7% compared to baseline

### Parks ✳

Transit stations ✳

Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

✳ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Parke County

### Retail & recreation ✱

**-53%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**+10%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-22% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Perry County

Retail & recreation ✱

-57% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+85% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Pike County

### Retail & recreation ✱

### Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Porter County

Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+162% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline

Residential

+14% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Posey County

Retail & recreation ✱

**-27%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+0% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Pulaski County

Retail & recreation ✱

-7% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-24% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

---

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Putnam County

Retail & recreation ✱

-10% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-14% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Randolph County

Retail & recreation ✱

-26% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-12% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Ripley County

Retail & recreation ✸

-35% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✸

-15% compared to baseline

Parks ✸

Transit stations ✸

Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✸

Rush County

Retail & recreation ✸

-39% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✸

-9% compared to baseline


Parks ✸

Transit stations ✸

Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline

Residential ✸

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Scott County

Retail & recreation ✸

+2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✸

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✸


Transit stations ✸

Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline

Residential ✸

Shelby County

Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline

Parks ✸

Transit stations

-13% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline


Residential ✸

+13% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Spencer County

### Retail & recreation ✱

**-44%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+19% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

St. Joseph County

Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

+24% compared to baseline

### Transit stations

-15% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline

Residential

+12% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Starke County

Retail & recreation✱

-23% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

**+11%** compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential✱

Steuben County

Retail & recreation

**+8%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

+23% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-28% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-4% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+11% compared to baseline

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Sullivan County

## Retail & recreation ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-5% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Switzerland County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-32% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Tippecanoe County

## Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+16% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-17% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-47% compared to baseline

Residential

+17% compared to baseline

Tipton County

Retail & recreation ✱

-35% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

## Workplaces

-37% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Union County

Retail & recreation ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Vanderburgh County

Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+3% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

-42% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline

Residential

+14% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Vermillion County

### Retail & recreation ✱

**-31%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+0% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-5% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline

### Residential ✱

Vigo County

Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**+7%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+4% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-1% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-39% compared to baseline

Residential

+12% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wabash County

## Retail & recreation ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-9% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Warren County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Warrick County

Retail & recreation

-4% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✳

+11% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

Transit stations ✳

Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

+16% compared to baseline

Washington County

Retail & recreation ✳

-40% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✳

-18% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

Transit stations ✳

Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

✳ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wayne County

Retail & recreation
**-1%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-2% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations
+2% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-30% compared to baseline

Residential✱
+9% compared to baseline

Wells County

Retail & recreation✱
-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱
+6% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces
-27% compared to baseline

Residential✱
+8% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

White County

Retail & recreation ✱

-15% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-3% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Whitley County

Retail & recreation

-5% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-12% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

### Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show popular times for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.

- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the Location History setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their Google Account and can always delete Location History data directly from their Timeline.

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use differential privacy, which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit Mobility Reports Help.

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility