Google

Texas   May 16, 2020

Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. How to use this report.

Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read About this data.

Retail & recreation

-29%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

-8%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

-30%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-35%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces

-24%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential

+11%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of residence.

Anderson County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks✱

## Transit stations✱

-25% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+8% compared to baseline

Andrews County

Retail & recreation✱

-24% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

**-40%** compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

**-15%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Angelina County

### Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-44% compared to baseline

Transit stations

**-23%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+9% compared to baseline

Aransas County

Retail & recreation✱

-6% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

+12% compared to baseline

Parks✱

+39% compared to baseline

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+8% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Archer County

Retail & recreation ✱


Grocery & pharmacy ✱


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Atascosa County

Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-27% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline

### Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Austin County

Retail & recreation ✱

-17% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-14% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Bailey County

## Retail & recreation ✱

-24% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-8%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-22% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bandera County

## Retail & recreation✱

-45% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-5% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-2% compared to baseline


Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline

Residential✱

Bastrop County

Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-39% compared to baseline


Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+9% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bee County

Retail & recreation ✱

-20% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Bell County

Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline

Parks

+26% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-21% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential

+8% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bexar County

### Retail & recreation

-28% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks

**-43%** compared to baseline

Transit stations

-33% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Blanco County

### Retail & recreation ✱

-67% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-44% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-34% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bosque County

Retail & recreation ✽

-37% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✽

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✽

Transit stations ✽

Workplaces

-11% compared to baseline

Residential ✽

Bowie County

Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline

Parks ✽

-10% compared to baseline

### Transit stations

-21% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-10% compared to baseline

Residential

+8% compared to baseline

✽ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Brazoria County

### Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline

Parks

+2% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

Brazos County

### Retail & recreation

-35% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-16% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

**-30%** compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

-47% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Brewster County

Retail & recreation ✱

-52% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-43%** compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-24% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Brooks County

Retail & recreation ✱

-55% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-9% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations

-56% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Brown County

Retail & recreation

-4% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+11% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-10% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+8% compared to baseline

Burleson County

Retail & recreation✱

-34% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

**-10%** compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Burnet County

### Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-5% compared to baseline


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

Caldwell County

Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-17% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

### Transit stations

-25% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+14% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Calhoun County

Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-6% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-38% compared to baseline

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline

Residential✱

Callahan County

Retail & recreation✱

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-15% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations

-10% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-7% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cameron County

Retail & recreation

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline

Parks

-30% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-54% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential

+12% compared to baseline

Camp County

Retail & recreation✱

-32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-9% compared to baseline

Parks✱


Transit stations✱

Workplaces✱

-11% compared to baseline

Residential✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Carson County

### Retail & recreation✳

Grocery & pharmacy✳

-24% compared to baseline

Parks✳

Transit stations✳

+16% compared to baseline

Workplaces✳

-30% compared to baseline

Residential✳

Cass County

Retail & recreation✳

-4% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✳

+26% compared to baseline

Parks✳

Transit stations

-19% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-2% compared to baseline

Residential✳

✳ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Castro County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-15% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Chambers County

Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-55% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-25% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+16% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cherokee County

## Retail & recreation

-14% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

Childress County

Retail & recreation ✱

-36% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-3% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Clay County

Retail & recreation ✱

-51% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+8% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

-22% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Coke County

Retail & recreation ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-30% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Coleman County

Retail & recreation✱

-48% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-19% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces✱

-22% compared to baseline

Residential✱

Collin County

### Retail & recreation

-43% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-25% compared to baseline

Parks

-54% compared to baseline


Transit stations

-43% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline

Residential

+15% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Colorado County

Retail & recreation ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-11% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-31% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-2% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

# Comal County

Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+10% compared to baseline

### Parks

+87% compared to baseline

### Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential

+8% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Comanche County

Retail & recreation ✱

-28% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-29% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Cooke County

Retail & recreation

-31% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-13% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-51% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

-29% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Coryell County

Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline

Parks

-14% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+8% compared to baseline

Crane County

Retail & recreation ✱

-63% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Crockett County

Retail & recreation ✱

-50% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-24%** compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

Crosby County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-24% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-32% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Culberson County

Retail & recreation ✱

-54% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-22% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

Dallam County

Retail & recreation ✱

-38% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-21% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Dallas County

Retail & recreation

-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-20% compared to baseline

## Parks

-62% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-49% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline

Residential

+15% compared to baseline

Dawson County

## Retail & recreation ✱

-35% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-9% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

## Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-14% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

DeWitt County

Retail & recreation ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-27% compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Deaf Smith County

Retail & recreation ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-14% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-8% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-8% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Delta County

Retail & recreation✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces✱

-28% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Denton County

Retail & recreation

-37% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy

-23% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks

-56% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations

-31% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential

+15% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

---

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Dimmit County

Retail & recreation ✱

-31% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-24% compared to baseline

Parks ✱


Transit stations

-39% compared to baseline


Workplaces ✱

-36% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Donley County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

+0% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Duval County

Retail & recreation ✱

-46% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱


Workplaces ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Eastland County

Retail & recreation ✱

-42% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-12% compared to baseline

## Parks ✱

Transit stations

-8% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

---

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Ector County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-34% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-20% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential

+8% compared to baseline

El Paso County

Retail & recreation

-33% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks

-59% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-26% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential

+12% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Ellis County

Retail & recreation

**-30%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-22% compared to baseline

Parks

-63% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-27% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential

+13% compared to baseline

Erath County

Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✶

-11% compared to baseline

Parks ✶

Transit stations ✶

Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline

Residential ✶

+7% compared to baseline

✶ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Falls County

Retail & recreation ✱

**-16%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-10% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-4% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Fannin County

Retail & recreation ✱

-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+2% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline


✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fayette County

Retail & recreation ✸

−32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✸

**−17%** compared to baseline

Parks ✸


Transit stations

−18% compared to baseline

Workplaces

−13% compared to baseline

Residential ✸

Floyd County

Retail & recreation ✸

Grocery & pharmacy ✸

Parks ✸

Transit stations ✸

Workplaces ✸

−26% compared to baseline

Residential ✸

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fort Bend County

Retail & recreation

-27% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks

-13% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential

+13% compared to baseline

Franklin County

Retail & recreation ✸

-43% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✸

-2% compared to baseline

### Parks ✸

Transit stations

-19% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✸

**-25%** compared to baseline

Residential ✸

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Freestone County

Retail & recreation ✱

-54% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-13% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-32% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline


Residential ✱

Frio County

Retail & recreation ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-52% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-3% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Gaines County

Retail & recreation✱

-33% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-8% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-4% compared to baseline

Residential✱

Galveston County

Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**+14%** compared to baseline

Parks

-8% compared to baseline

Transit stations

+44% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Garza County

Retail & recreation✱

-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-28% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces✱

Residential✱

Gillespie County

Retail & recreation

-25% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

+2% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-44% compared to baseline


Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Goliad County

Retail & recreation ✱

-54% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-54% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Gonzales County

Retail & recreation ✱

-20% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-24% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

-52% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

*✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.*

Gray County

Retail & recreation ✱

-5% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-27%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-8% compared to baseline


Residential ✱

Grayson County

Retail & recreation

**-23%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-11% compared to baseline

Parks

-19% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline


Residential

+10% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Gregg County

Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks

-24% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Grimes County

Retail & recreation ✱

-3% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-5%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Guadalupe County

Retail & recreation

-19% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline

Parks✳

-1% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-26% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Hale County

Retail & recreation

-4% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+16% compared to baseline


Parks✳

Transit stations✳

Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline

Residential✳

+9% compared to baseline

✳ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hall County

Retail & recreation ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
     Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
     Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Parks ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
     Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Transit stations

+14% compared to baseline

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
  Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Workplaces ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
     Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Residential ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
     Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Hamilton County

Retail & recreation ✱

-54% compared to baseline

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
  Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-35% compared to baseline

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
  Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Parks ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
     Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Transit stations ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
     Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Workplaces ✱

-25% compared to baseline

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
  Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

Residential ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
     Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16
```

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hardeman County

Retail & recreation ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

−24% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations

+13% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Hardin County

Retail & recreation

−12% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy

−3% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces

−15% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Harris County

Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks

-34% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-46% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

Harrison County

Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline

Parks

-27% compared to baseline


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+11% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hartley County

Retail & recreation ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+6% compared to baseline

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline   ·
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Parks ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Transit stations ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Workplaces ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Residential ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Haskell County

Retail & recreation ✱

-44% compared to baseline

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-34% compared to baseline

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Parks ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Transit stations ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Workplaces ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

Residential ✱

```
+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
    Sat, Apr 4    Sat, Apr 25    Sat, May 16
```

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hays County

Retail & recreation

-25% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

### Parks

-19% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-8% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

Henderson County

Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-31% compared to baseline


Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline

Residential

+8% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hidalgo County

Retail & recreation

**-32%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-11% compared to baseline

Parks

-48% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-42% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

Hill County

Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-6% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-42% compared to baseline


Transit stations

-22% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

**+9%** compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hockley County

Retail & recreation ✱

-5% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-22% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-8% compared to baseline

Residential ✱


Hood County

Retail & recreation

-12% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+10% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hopkins County

Retail & recreation

**-10%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-57% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-14% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-7% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

Houston County

Retail & recreation ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Howard County

Retail & recreation
-17% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy
+6% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

### Transit stations
-42% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-22% compared to baseline

Residential ✱
+16% compared to baseline

Hunt County

Retail & recreation
-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱
-36% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-25% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-17% compared to baseline

Residential
+9% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hutchinson County

### Retail & recreation ✱

+5% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-17% compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Jack County

Retail & recreation ✱

-67% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jackson County

Retail & recreation ✱

-35% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-16%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-30% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

-14% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Jasper County

Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline

## Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-9% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-7% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+7% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jefferson County

Retail & recreation

**-22%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-18% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-15% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

Jim Hogg County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-47% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jim Wells County

Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+12% compared to baseline

Johnson County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-11% compared to baseline

Parks

-51% compared to baseline

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jones County

Retail & recreation ✱

-33% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-11% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Karnes County

Retail & recreation ✱

-34% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-22% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-65% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kaufman County

Retail & recreation
-31% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-13% compared to baseline

Parks✻
-6% compared to baseline

Transit stations
**-7%** compared to baseline

Workplaces
-20% compared to baseline

Residential
+13% compared to baseline

Kendall County

Retail & recreation
-19% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-5% compared to baseline

Parks✻
-56% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-22% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-16% compared to baseline

Residential✻

✻ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kerr County

Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

-8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+32% compared to baseline


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-12% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

Kimble County

Retail & recreation ✱

-51% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations

+2% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kleberg County

Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline

Parks✱

+51% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-18% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+14% compared to baseline

La Salle County

Retail & recreation✱

-65% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

**-26%** compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations

-43% compared to baseline

Workplaces✱

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lamar County

Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

-20% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+10% compared to baseline

Lamb County

Retail & recreation✱

-19% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

**-11%** compared to baseline

Parks✱


Transit stations✱

Workplaces

+1% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lampasas County

Retail & recreation ✱

-10% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-31% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-7% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Lavaca County

Retail & recreation ✱

-35% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-16% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-17% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lee County

Retail & recreation✱

-28% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy✱

-41% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline

Residential✱

Leon County

Retail & recreation✱

-71% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-40% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-33% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-13% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-11% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Liberty County

Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Limestone County

Retail & recreation✱

-23% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy✱

+5% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-11% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Live Oak County

Retail & recreation ✳

-73% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✳

-17% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

Transit stations

-8% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-37% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

Llano County

Retail & recreation ✳

+2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✳

-9% compared to baseline

Parks

+43% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✳

Workplaces

-10% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Loving County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-49% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

Lubbock County

Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

**+92%** compared to baseline

Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

Residential

+4% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lynn County

Retail & recreation ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

-27% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Madison County

Retail & recreation ✱

-43% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-44% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

-13% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Marion County

Retail & recreation ✱

-45% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-21% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Martin County

Retail & recreation ✱

**-33%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-14%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Matagorda County

Retail & recreation

-12% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+13% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

Maverick County

Retail & recreation

-42% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-14% compared to baseline

Parks

-69% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-42% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+16% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

McCulloch County

Retail & recreation ✱

-37% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-22% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-17% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

McLennan County

## Retail & recreation

-28% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-40% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-24% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline


Residential

+10% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

McMullen County

Retail & recreation✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations✱

-61% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Medina County

Retail & recreation

+1% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Parks✱

-56% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Transit stations

-24% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

Residential✱

+15% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4   Sat, Apr 25   Sat, May 16

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Midland County

Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**-14%** compared to baseline

Parks

-15% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-37% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Milam County

Retail & recreation✱

-19% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy✱

+1% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Mitchell County

Retail & recreation ✱

-50% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-23% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-66% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Montague County

Retail & recreation ✱

-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-33% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Montgomery County

Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline

## Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks

-28% compared to baseline


Transit stations

-20% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

Moore County

Retail & recreation*

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy*

-6% compared to baseline

Parks*



Transit stations

-14% compared to baseline

Workplaces

+23% compared to baseline


Residential*

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Morris County

Retail & recreation ✽

-32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✽

-10% compared to baseline

Parks ✽

Transit stations ✽

Workplaces ✽

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✽

Nacogdoches County

Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✽

-24% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-30% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential ✽

+13% compared to baseline

✽ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Navarro County

Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline

## Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-28% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-27% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

Newton County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Nolan County

Retail & recreation ✱

-11% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-12%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-22% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-8% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Nueces County

Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline

Parks

+65% compared to baseline


Transit stations

-19% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Ochiltree County

Retail & recreation ✱

-41% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-14% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Oldham County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations

+25% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Orange County

Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline


Parks

-33% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-30% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Palo Pinto County

Retail & recreation ✱

-8% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-34% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+8% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Panola County

Retail & recreation ✱

-14% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-19% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

**-20%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Parker County

Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline

Parks

-46% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-26% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Parmer County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-21% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Pecos County

Retail & recreation ✱

-16% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-28% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Polk County

Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-23% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-29% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+8% compared to baseline

Potter County

### Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline

Parks

+17% compared to baseline


Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential

+6% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Presidio County

Retail & recreation ✱

-52% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-42% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

-36% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Rains County

Retail & recreation ✱

-53% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-25% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

-17% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sat, Apr 4 · Sat, Apr 25 · Sat, May 16

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Randall County

Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-37% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential

+6% compared to baseline

Reagan County

Retail & recreation ✱

### Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-22%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-60% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

*✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.*

Real County

Retail & recreation ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

−38% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Red River County

Retail & recreation ✱

−54% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+4% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Parks ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Transit stations ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Workplaces ✱

−21% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

Residential ✱

+80%
+40%
Baseline
−40%
−80%
Sat, Apr 4 ————— Sat, Apr 25 ————— Sat, May 16

✱ Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Reeves County

Retail & recreation ✱

-38% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy

-18% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-40% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Refugio County

Retail & recreation ✱

-69% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-44%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-24% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

-24% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Robertson County

Retail & recreation ✱

-52% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-25% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations

-24% compared to baseline

Workplaces ✱

-8% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Rockwall County

Retail & recreation

-33% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-16% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations

-20% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+14% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Runnels County

Retail & recreation ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-17% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-21% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Rusk County

Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline

### Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-4% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Sabine County

Retail & recreation ✷

**-35%** compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✷

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✷

Transit stations ✷

Workplaces ✷

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✷

San Augustine County

Retail & recreation ✷

-64% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✷

**-14%** compared to baseline

Parks ✷


Transit stations ✷

Workplaces ✷

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✷

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

San Jacinto County

Retail & recreation ✱

-51% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+3% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-40% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

San Patricio County

Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline

Parks

-4% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-16% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline


Residential ✱

+8% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

San Saba County

Retail & recreation ✱

-32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

Scurry County

Retail & recreation ✱

-9% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-5% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Shelby County

Retail & recreation✱

-6% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-19% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline

Residential✱

Sherman County

Retail & recreation✱

Grocery & pharmacy✱

Parks✱

Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline

Workplaces✱

Residential✱

---

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Smith County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline

Parks

-35% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-18% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline


Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Somervell County

Retail & recreation✱

-59% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

-22% compared to baseline

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces✱

**-21%** compared to baseline

Residential✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Starr County

Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy✱

-3% compared to baseline


Parks✱

-11% compared to baseline

Transit stations✱

Workplaces

-7% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+14% compared to baseline

Stephens County

## Retail & recreation✱

-34% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy✱

Parks✱

Transit stations✱

Workplaces✱

-16% compared to baseline

Residential✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Sutton County

Retail & recreation ✱

-66% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-27% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

Residential ✱

Swisher County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-32% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Tarrant County

Retail & recreation

-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-18% compared to baseline

Parks

-59% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-60% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline

Residential

+14% compared to baseline

Taylor County

Retail & recreation

-18% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline

Parks✱

-4% compared to baseline

Transit stations

+12% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-14% compared to baseline

Residential

+6% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Terry County

Retail & recreation ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-27% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-12% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Titus County

Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-11% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Tom Green County

Retail & recreation

-19% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+28% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-14% compared to baseline


Residential

+6% compared to baseline

Travis County

Retail & recreation

-39% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-17% compared to baseline

Parks

-7% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-52% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline

Residential

+12% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Trinity County

Retail & recreation ✱

−56% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

−10% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

## Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

−22% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Tyler County

Retail & recreation ✱

−32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+5% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

−11% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Upshur County

Retail & recreation ✱

-7% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+14% compared to baseline


Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

# Upton County

Retail & recreation ✱

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-49% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Uvalde County

Retail & recreation ✱

+7% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

**-63%** compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-8% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Val Verde County

Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-23% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

-24% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-11% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+16% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Van Zandt County

### Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+10% compared to baseline

Parks✳

-24% compared to baseline

Transit stations

**-15%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline

Residential✳

+10% compared to baseline

Victoria County

Retail & recreation

-23% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks✳

-26% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-27% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential

+7% compared to baseline

✳ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Walker County

Retail & recreation

-27% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks

-44% compared to baseline

Transit stations

**-27%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline

Residential✱

+11% compared to baseline

Waller County

### Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

-8% compared to baseline

Parks✱


Transit stations

-21% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline


Residential✱

+15% compared to baseline

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Ward County

Retail & recreation ✱

-34% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-27% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

+41% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Washington County

Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-1% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Webb County

### Retail & recreation

-34% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-15% compared to baseline

Parks

-56% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-32% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential

+12% compared to baseline

Wharton County

### Retail & recreation

-14% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-8% compared to baseline

Parks✽


Transit stations

-40% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline

Residential✽

+12% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wichita County

### Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-34% compared to baseline

Transit stations

**-5%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline

Wilbarger County

Retail & recreation ✱

-23% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-15% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

-22% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-3% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Willacy County

Retail & recreation ✱

-58% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-38%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱


Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Williamson County

Retail & recreation

**-31%** compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-11% compared to baseline

Parks

+6% compared to baseline

Transit stations

**-18%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wilson County

### Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-1% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+15% compared to baseline

Winkler County

### Retail & recreation ✱

-30% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

### Transit stations

-26% compared to baseline

Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wise County

### Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-13% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-25% compared to baseline

Transit stations

**-16%** compared to baseline

Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

Wood County

Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-10% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+8% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Yoakum County

Retail & recreation ✱

-34% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-12% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Young County

Retail & recreation ✱

-36% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+43% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Zapata County

Retail & recreation ✱

-55% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

**-30%** compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-32% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Zavala County

Retail & recreation ✱

-51% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-16% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Transit stations ✱

Workplaces ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show popular times for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

● The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.

● The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the Location History setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their Google Account and can always delete Location History data directly from their Timeline.

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use differential privacy, which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit Mobility Reports Help.

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility