

Texas May 13, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report.](#)


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data.](#)

### Retail & recreation

# -18%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

# -2%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

# +10%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-32%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-39%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+16%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of residence.

# Anderson County

## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+10%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-25%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Andrews County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-7%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Angelina County


## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-52%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



# Aransas County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Archer County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-15% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Atascosa County

## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-32% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+13% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Austin County

## Retail & recreation\*

-5% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-22% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bailey County


## Retail & recreation\*

-24% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-8% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Bandera County

## Retail & recreation\*

-45% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-5% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

-2% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bastrop County

## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

-8% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline



## Residential

+14% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Bee County

## Retail & recreation\*

-16% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


-4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bell County


## Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



## Parks

+63% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline



## Residential

+13% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Bexar County

## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+17%** compared to baseline



# Blanco County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-67%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-20%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-67%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Bosque County

## Retail & recreation\*

-37% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bowie County

## Retail & recreation

+4% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+11% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

-9% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential

+7% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Brazoria County

## Retail & recreation

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+30%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



# Brazos County

## Retail & recreation

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-49%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+16%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Brewster County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-52%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-43%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Brooks County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-55%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

**-46%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Brown County

## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


**+5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



# Burleson County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Burnet County

## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+23%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



# Caldwell County

## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Calhoun County

## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-6%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Callahan County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cameron County

## Retail & recreation

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-52%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



# Camp County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-32%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Carson County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

**+8%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Cass County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-7%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+10%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Castro County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-20% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-13% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Chambers County

## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+10% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-55% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+13% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cherokee County


## Retail & recreation

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy


**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Childress County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-20%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

**+3%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Clay County

## Retail & recreation\*

-51% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

+19% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Coke County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-30% compared to baseline




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Coleman County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-48%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-19%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Collin County

## Retail & recreation

**-26%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+30%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-56%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+22%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Colorado County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-44%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Comal County

## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+41%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Comanche County

## Retail & recreation\*

-28% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-29% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-23% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Cooke County


## Retail & recreation

+0% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-1% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+2% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

-29% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+10% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Coryell County


## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+10%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+12%** compared to baseline



# Crane County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-20%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Crockett County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-50%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-24%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Crosby County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Culberson County

## Retail & recreation\*

-54% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

-21% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Dallam County

## Retail & recreation\*

-38% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-21% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-19% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dallas County

## Retail & recreation

**-23%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+25%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Dawson County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-35%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# DeWitt County

## Retail & recreation\*

-38% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-27% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Deaf Smith County

## Retail & recreation\*

-26% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-14% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-16% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Delta County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Denton County

## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+92%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-50%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+20%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dimmit County


## Retail & recreation\*

-31% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-24% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

-29% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Donley County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*


+0% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Duval County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-46%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-30%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Eastland County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-13%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ector County

## Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



# El Paso County

## Retail & recreation

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-48%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+18%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Ellis County

## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+35%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



# Erath County

## Retail & recreation

**-15%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Falls County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-16%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Fannin County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fayette County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-12%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Floyd County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fort Bend County


## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+36%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-47%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+21%** compared to baseline



# Franklin County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

**-16%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Freestone County

## Retail & recreation\*

-54% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-13% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+1% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Frio County

## Retail & recreation\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-30% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-52% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Gaines County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Galveston County

## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+69%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+54%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+13%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Garza County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-28%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Gillespie County

## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Goliad County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-54%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-54%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Gonzales County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-32%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-24%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Gray County

## Retail & recreation\*

-17% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-27% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Grayson County


## Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline




## Parks

+85% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential

+10% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Gregg County


## Retail & recreation

**-5%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+47%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+10%** compared to baseline



# Grimes County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Guadalupe County

## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+36%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Hale County

## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hall County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations


+9% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Hamilton County

## Retail & recreation\*

-54% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-35% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hardeman County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+16%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Hardin County

## Retail & recreation

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Harris County

## Retail & recreation

**-20%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+16%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**-46%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Harrison County

## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+15%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Hartley County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+6%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Haskell County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-44%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-34%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hays County

## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+17%** compared to baseline



# Henderson County

## Retail & recreation

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Hidalgo County

## Retail & recreation

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



# Hill County

## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-16%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hockley County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Hood County

## Retail & recreation

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+22%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+16%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hopkins County

## Retail & recreation

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-15%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Houston County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Howard County

## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-39%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



# Hunt County

## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+10%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hutchinson County

## Retail & recreation\*

-31% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-17% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Jack County

## Retail & recreation\*

-67% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jackson County


## Retail & recreation\*

-35% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-16% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-20% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Jasper County

## Retail & recreation

+6% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+0% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+22% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+7% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jefferson County

## Retail & recreation

-12% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+45% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-3% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential

+11% compared to baseline



# Jim Hogg County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-47% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jim Wells County

## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


**-1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline



# Johnson County

## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+59%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Jones County

## Retail & recreation\*

-33% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-11% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Karnes County

## Retail & recreation\*

-23% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-22% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-63% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Kaufman County


## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline



# Kendall County

## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-56%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-24%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Kerr County

## Retail & recreation

-5% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-8% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+6% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+9% compared to baseline



# Kimble County

## Retail & recreation\*

-51% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations


-12% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Kleberg County

## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+42%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-23%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+14%** compared to baseline



# La Salle County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations


**-35%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lamar County

## Retail & recreation

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+19%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Lamb County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lampasas County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-31%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Lavaca County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-16%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lee County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-41%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Leon County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-40%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+8%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Liberty County

## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+10%** compared to baseline



# Limestone County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-36%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Live Oak County


## Retail & recreation\*

-73% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-16% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-3% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Llano County


## Retail & recreation\*

-38% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-9% compared to baseline




## Parks

+7% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Loving County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-49%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Lubbock County

## Retail & recreation

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+13%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lynn County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Madison County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-43%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Marion County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Martin County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Matagorda County

## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



# Maverick County

## Retail & recreation

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+12%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# McCulloch County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# McLennan County

## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# McMullen County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

**-60%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Medina County

## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-56%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Midland County

## Retail & recreation

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+17%** compared to baseline



# Milam County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Mitchell County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-50%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-23%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-78%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Montague County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-33%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Montgomery County

## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+33%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+14%** compared to baseline



# Moore County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-20%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Morris County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-32%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Nacogdoches County

## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+32%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Navarro County


## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+23% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-28% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+0% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+8% compared to baseline



# Newton County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Nolan County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-16%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Nueces County

## Retail & recreation

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+58%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ochiltree County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-41%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Oldham County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Orange County

## Retail & recreation

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+10%** compared to baseline



# Palo Pinto County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-34%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Panola County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-15%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Parker County

## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Parmer County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Pecos County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Polk County


## Retail & recreation

**+12%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+19%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Potter County

## Retail & recreation

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+36%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Presidio County

## Retail & recreation\*

-52% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-42% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-35% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Rains County


## Retail & recreation\*

-53% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-25% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Randall County

## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline




# Reagan County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*


**-50%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Real County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-38%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Red River County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-54%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Reeves County

## Retail & recreation\*

-43% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-27% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-33% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Refugio County


## Retail & recreation\*

-69% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-44% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

-14% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Robertson County

## Retail & recreation\*

-52% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-25% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-1% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Rockwall County


## Retail & recreation

-5% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-44% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+18% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Runnels County

## Retail & recreation\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-17% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-17% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Rusk County


## Retail & recreation

+9% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy


+11% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+7% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Sabine County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# San Augustine County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# San Jacinto County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-51%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+3%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# San Patricio County

## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+17%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# San Saba County

## Retail & recreation\*

-32% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Scurry County

## Retail & recreation\*

-26% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Shelby County

## Retail & recreation\*

-46% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-19% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Sherman County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations


-3% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Smith County


## Retail & recreation

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+27%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+10%** compared to baseline



# Somervell County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-59%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Starr County

## Retail & recreation

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+5%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+12%** compared to baseline



# Stephens County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-34%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Sutton County

## Retail & recreation\*

-66% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-27% compared to baseline




## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Swisher County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Tarrant County


## Retail & recreation

**-17%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+65%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-57%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Taylor County

## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+17%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Terry County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-27%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Titus County

## Retail & recreation

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+17%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Tom Green County


## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+13%** compared to baseline



# Travis County

## Retail & recreation

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**-54%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-54%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+23%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Trinity County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-56%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Tyler County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Upshur County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline




# Upton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-25%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-49%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Uvalde County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+14%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Val Verde County

## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-10%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+31%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+14%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Van Zandt County


## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+17%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+26%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



# Victoria County

## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+11%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Walker County

## Retail & recreation

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



# Waller County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+12%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ward County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+34%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Washington County

## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Webb County

## Retail & recreation

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-47%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+18%** compared to baseline



# Wharton County

## Retail & recreation

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-19%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wichita County

## Retail & recreation

-5% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-11% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+4% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential


+9% compared to baseline



# Wilbarger County


## Retail & recreation\*

-23% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-15% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-7% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Willacy County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-58%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-38%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Williamson County

## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+23%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-51%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+21%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wilson County


## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Winkler County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-17%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wise County


## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+26% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+6% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+11% compared to baseline



# Wood County


## Retail & recreation

+6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


+10% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Yoakum County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Young County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-60%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+43%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Zapata County

## Retail & recreation\*

-55% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-30% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-35% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Zavala County

## Retail & recreation\*

-51% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-16% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-35% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)