

Tennessee June 19, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report.](#)


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data.](#)

### Retail & recreation

# -4%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

# +5%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

# +101%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-9%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-30%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+5%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of residence.

# Anderson County

## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

0% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+19% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential


+6% compared to baseline



# Bedford County

## Retail & recreation

+5% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


+7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+5% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Benton County

## Retail & recreation\*

+3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+26% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bledsoe County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Blount County


## Retail & recreation

0% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+165% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-43% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential


+5% compared to baseline



# Bradley County


## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+12% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential

+5% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Campbell County

## Retail & recreation

**+8%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+14%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+144%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+35%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



# Cannon County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Carroll County

## Retail & recreation\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Carter County

## Retail & recreation

+8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


+9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+4% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cheatham County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+43%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Chester County


## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Claiborne County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+34%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Clay County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cocke County


## Retail & recreation

**+10%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+62%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+3%** compared to baseline



# Coffee County

## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Crockett County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Cumberland County

## Retail & recreation

+6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+25% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+4% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Davidson County

## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**0%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+27%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+10%** compared to baseline



# Decatur County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# DeKalb County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Dickson County


## Retail & recreation

+5% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+21% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+5% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dyer County

## Retail & recreation

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+4%** compared to baseline



# Fayette County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fentress County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-17% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Franklin County


## Retail & recreation\*

+16% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+16% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+3% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Gibson County

## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+4%** compared to baseline



# Giles County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Grainger County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Greene County


## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+5%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Grundy County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-15% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Hamblen County


## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential

+4% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hamilton County

## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+93%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+9%** compared to baseline



# Hancock County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hardeman County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Hardin County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hawkins County


## Retail & recreation

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



# Haywood County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Henderson County


## Retail & recreation\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+17% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Henry County


## Retail & recreation

+4% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+13% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+3% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hickman County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Houston County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Humphreys County

## Retail & recreation\*

+16% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Jackson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Jefferson County


## Retail & recreation

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline




# Johnson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Knox County

## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+37%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Lauderdale County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lawrence County


## Retail & recreation

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



# Lewis County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lincoln County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+17%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



# Loudon County

## Retail & recreation

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+13%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Macon County

## Retail & recreation\*

## Grocery & pharmacy\*

## Parks\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

## Workplaces

## Residential\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



# Madison County

## Retail & recreation



## Grocery & pharmacy

## Parks\*

**+0%** compared to baseline

**+4%** compared to baseline

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

## Workplaces

## Residential

**+3%** compared to baseline

**-24%** compared to baseline

**+4%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Marion County

## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+75%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+19%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Marshall County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Maury County


## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+19%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+7%** compared to baseline



# McMinn County

## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# McNairy County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-5% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline




## Residential\*




# Meigs County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Monroe County


## Retail & recreation

**+10%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



# Montgomery County

## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+24%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+30%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Moore County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Morgan County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Obion County


## Retail & recreation

+1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+19% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+3% compared to baseline




# Overton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+15% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Perry County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Polk County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Putnam County


## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+11% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+16% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential


+6% compared to baseline



# Rhea County

## Retail & recreation\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Roane County

## Retail & recreation

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+5%** compared to baseline



# Robertson County


## Retail & recreation

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Rutherford County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+83%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline




## Residential

**+9%** compared to baseline




# Scott County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+17%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Sequatchie County

## Retail & recreation\*

## Grocery & pharmacy\*

## Parks\*

**+17%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

## Workplaces

## Residential\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



# Sevier County

## Retail & recreation


## Grocery & pharmacy

## Parks\*

**+69%** compared to baseline

**+29%** compared to baseline

**+226%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*

## Workplaces

## Residential

**-21%** compared to baseline

**+4%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Shelby County


## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+8%** compared to baseline



# Smith County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+34%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Stewart County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Sullivan County


## Retail & recreation

**+5%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+106%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Sumner County

## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+144% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



## Residential


+7% compared to baseline



# Tipton County

## Retail & recreation

+3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


-2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Trousdale County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Unicoi County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Union County


## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-12% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Van Buren County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Warren County


## Retail & recreation

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+20%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+3%** compared to baseline



# Washington County


## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**0%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wayne County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Weakley County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# White County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Williamson County

## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+90%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-46%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Wilson County

## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+15%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+66%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+8%** compared to baseline



## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)