

Indiana May 25, 2020

Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report.](#)


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data.](#)

Retail & recreation

-10%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

+3%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

+199%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

Transit stations

-21%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces

-73%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential

+19%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of residence.

Adams County


Retail & recreation*

-17% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+7% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-72% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline



Allen County

Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline



Parks

+170% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-27% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential

+19% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bartholomew County

Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



Parks*

+15% compared to baseline



Transit stations*

+24% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-78% compared to baseline



Residential*

+21% compared to baseline




Benton County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-71% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Blackford County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-67% compared to baseline



Residential*



Boone County

Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-26% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-78% compared to baseline



Residential*

+15% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Brown County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*



Carroll County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cass County

Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+17% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-74% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline



Clark County

Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline



Parks*

+108% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-72% compared to baseline



Residential

+20% compared to baseline




*** Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Clay County


Retail & recreation*

+3% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+9% compared to baseline




Parks*



Transit stations

-8% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline




Residential*



Clinton County


Retail & recreation*

-12% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-1% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential*


+10% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Crawford County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations

-32% compared to baseline



Workplaces*

-68% compared to baseline




Residential*



Daviess County


Retail & recreation*

-7% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+16% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-70% compared to baseline



Residential*

+6% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Dearborn County


Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline



Decatur County


Retail & recreation*

-8% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+21% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-15% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-76% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

DeKalb County


Retail & recreation

+3% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+10% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential*

+12% compared to baseline



Delaware County

Retail & recreation

-19% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



Parks*

-12% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-1% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential

+15% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Dubois County


Retail & recreation

-14% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-10% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-82% compared to baseline



Residential*


+11% compared to baseline



Elkhart County

Retail & recreation

+6% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline



Parks*

+50% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-81% compared to baseline



Residential

+22% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fayette County


Retail & recreation*

+16% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+4% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-70% compared to baseline



Residential*



Floyd County

Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-76% compared to baseline



Residential*

+19% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Fountain County

Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*

+18% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*




Franklin County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*

-4% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-77% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


Fulton County

Retail & recreation*

+19% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*




Parks*



Transit stations*

-11% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-74% compared to baseline



Residential*



Gibson County


Retail & recreation

+9% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+22% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-32% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-70% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Grant County

Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-32% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-63% compared to baseline



Residential*


+14% compared to baseline



Greene County


Retail & recreation*

+15% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-4% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Hamilton County


Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-10% compared to baseline



Parks*

+185% compared to baseline



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-81% compared to baseline



Residential

+22% compared to baseline



Hancock County

Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+21% compared to baseline




Parks*



Transit stations

-26% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-78% compared to baseline



Residential*

+20% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Harrison County


Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+19% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-73% compared to baseline



Residential*

+10% compared to baseline



Hendricks County

Retail & recreation

+1% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+11% compared to baseline



Parks*

-16% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-17% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-77% compared to baseline



Residential

+21% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Henry County


Retail & recreation

+9% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+12% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-18% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*

+10% compared to baseline



Howard County

Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential

+16% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Huntington County


Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-4% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline



Jackson County

Retail & recreation

+9% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-19% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*

-21% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-73% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jasper County

Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+22% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-15% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline



Jay County


Retail & recreation*

-19% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-3% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jefferson County


Retail & recreation

+7% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+18% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-66% compared to baseline



Residential*


+8% compared to baseline



Jennings County


Retail & recreation*

+3% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+11% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Johnson County


Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+14% compared to baseline



Parks*

+27% compared to baseline



Transit stations

+21% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-74% compared to baseline



Residential

+20% compared to baseline



Knox County


Retail & recreation

+0% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+14% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-68% compared to baseline



Residential*

+7% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kosciusko County


Retail & recreation

+13% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+11% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-77% compared to baseline



Residential*


+19% compared to baseline



LaGrange County


Retail & recreation*

+22% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+35% compared to baseline



Parks*

-20% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-77% compared to baseline



Residential*

+7% compared to baseline



*** Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lake County

Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline



Parks

+81% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-27% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential

+19% compared to baseline



LaPorte County

Retail & recreation

+21% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+21% compared to baseline



Parks*

+112% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-10% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-68% compared to baseline



Residential

+16% compared to baseline



*** Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lawrence County

Retail & recreation

+6% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-70% compared to baseline



Residential*

+8% compared to baseline



Madison County


Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-33% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-68% compared to baseline



Residential

+16% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Marion County

Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



Parks

+100% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-39% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential

+19% compared to baseline



Marshall County

Retail & recreation

+11% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+12% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations

-28% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-76% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline




*** Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Martin County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-70% compared to baseline




Residential*



Miami County


Retail & recreation*

+2% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+4% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential*

+8% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Monroe County

Retail & recreation

-29% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

-12% compared to baseline



Parks*

+48% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-28% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential

+21% compared to baseline



Montgomery County

Retail & recreation

+14% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+7% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*

-36% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential*

+8% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Morgan County

Retail & recreation

+9% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+14% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-73% compared to baseline



Residential*

+18% compared to baseline



Newton County


Retail & recreation*




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-65% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Noble County

Retail & recreation

+6% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+23% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-76% compared to baseline



Residential*

+10% compared to baseline



Ohio County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-33% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Orange County

Retail & recreation*

-23% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*

-53% compared to baseline



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential*



Owen County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces

-74% compared to baseline




Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Parke County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-67% compared to baseline



Residential*



Perry County

Retail & recreation*

-36% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Pike County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-74% compared to baseline



Residential*



Porter County

Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline



Parks*

+209% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-27% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-72% compared to baseline



Residential

+18% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Posey County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*




Pulaski County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-72% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Putnam County

Retail & recreation*

+7% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

-6% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-73% compared to baseline



Residential*

+10% compared to baseline



Randolph County

Retail & recreation*


-1% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-67% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Ripley County


Retail & recreation*

-17% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+15% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-74% compared to baseline



Residential*



Rush County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-73% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Scott County


Retail & recreation*

+13% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+13% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential*



Shelby County

Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline




Parks*



Transit stations

-19% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Spencer County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*



St. Joseph County


Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



Parks*

+201% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-21% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential


+20% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Starke County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*

+26% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential*



Steuben County


Retail & recreation

+43% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy*

+48% compared to baseline




Parks*

-28% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-16% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-70% compared to baseline



Residential*

+10% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Sullivan County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-65% compared to baseline



Residential*



Switzerland County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-70% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Tippecanoe County

Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline




Parks*

+40% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-33% compared to baseline




Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline




Residential

+22% compared to baseline



Tipton County


Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential*




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Union County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces*

-67% compared to baseline



Residential*



Vanderburgh County


Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline




Parks*

+46% compared to baseline



Transit stations*

-41% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential

+17% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Vermillion County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*

-8% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-70% compared to baseline



Residential*



Vigo County


Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline




Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline



Parks*

+27% compared to baseline



Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-68% compared to baseline



Residential

+16% compared to baseline




* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wabash County

Retail & recreation*

+3% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+9% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*



Warren County

Retail & recreation*



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*




Transit stations*




Workplaces*

-78% compared to baseline



Residential*



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Warrick County


Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+10% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*



Workplaces

-75% compared to baseline



Residential*


+12% compared to baseline



Washington County


Retail & recreation*

+6% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+16% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*

+11% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Wayne County

Retail & recreation

+1% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline




Parks*



Transit stations

-28% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



Residential*


+17% compared to baseline



Wells County


Retail & recreation*

+13% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*

+15% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-74% compared to baseline



Residential*

+9% compared to baseline




*** Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


White County

Retail & recreation*

+26% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*



Parks*



Transit stations*

-22% compared to baseline



Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



Residential*



Whitley County


Retail & recreation

+3% compared to baseline



Grocery & pharmacy*


-2% compared to baseline



Parks*



Transit stations*




Workplaces

-78% compared to baseline



Residential*

+12% compared to baseline



* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility