

Mississippi June 14, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report.](#)


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data.](#)

### Retail & recreation

**-1%**

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%**

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

**+66%**

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

+6%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-13%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+2%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of residence.

# Adams County


## Retail & recreation\*

-4% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Alcorn County

## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-8% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Amite County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-18% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Attala County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-18% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Benton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bolivar County


## Retail & recreation\*

-9% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+20% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Calhoun County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-19% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Carroll County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Chickasaw County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Claiborne County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Clarke County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Clay County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+26%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Coahoma County

## Retail & recreation\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-15% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Copiah County

## Retail & recreation\*

-5% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Covington County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-31%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# DeSoto County

## Retail & recreation

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+71%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+2%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Forrest County


## Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-11% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+7% compared to baseline



# George County

## Retail & recreation\*

+6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-12% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Greene County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-16% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Grenada County

## Retail & recreation\*

+8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-18% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hancock County

## Retail & recreation

**+20%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Harrison County

## Retail & recreation

**+17%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+119%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+2%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hinds County


## Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

0% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+41% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+8% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline



## Residential

+3% compared to baseline



# Holmes County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Humphreys County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Itawamba County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-12% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jackson County


## Retail & recreation

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+74%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+30%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Residential

**+2%** compared to baseline



# Jasper County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Jefferson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-59%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Jefferson Davis County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jones County

## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-31% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Kemper County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lafayette County

## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Lamar County

## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lauderdale County

## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**0%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+25%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline




# Lawrence County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Leake County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-9% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Lee County

## Retail & recreation

+1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+18% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+21% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+1% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Leflore County

## Retail & recreation\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Lincoln County

## Retail & recreation\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+12% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lowndes County


## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+6%** compared to baseline



# Madison County


## Retail & recreation

**0%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+24%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Marion County


## Retail & recreation\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+0% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-7% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Marshall County

## Retail & recreation\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-17% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Monroe County


## Retail & recreation\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+11% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-16% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Montgomery County

## Retail & recreation\*

+3% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-23% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

## Residential\*

\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Neshoba County

## Retail & recreation\*

-14% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Newton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-12% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Noxubee County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-19% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Oktibbeha County

## Retail & recreation

-24% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-17% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Panola County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+16%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Pearl River County

## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Perry County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Pike County

## Retail & recreation

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**0%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Pontotoc County


## Retail & recreation\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-10% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Prentiss County

## Retail & recreation\*

+6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-9% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Quitman County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-29%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Rankin County

## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+75%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+2%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Scott County

## Retail & recreation\*

-7% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-13% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Simpson County

## Retail & recreation\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-6% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+22% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-10% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Smith County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Stone County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

+1% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Sunflower County

## Retail & recreation\*

## Grocery & pharmacy\*

## Parks\*

**+12%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*

## Workplaces

## Residential\*

**-4%** compared to baseline

**-1%** compared to baseline



# Tallahatchie County

## Retail & recreation\*

## Grocery & pharmacy\*

## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

## Workplaces\*

## Residential\*

**-19%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Tate County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+36%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Tippah County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Tishomingo County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Tunica County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Union County

## Retail & recreation\*

+8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+16% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-2% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Waltham County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Warren County


## Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+12% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+10% compared to baseline



# Washington County

## Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+20% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-10% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wayne County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Webster County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wilkinson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Winston County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Yalobusha County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-22% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Yazoo County

## Retail & recreation\*

+0% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-5% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)