

Texas June 23, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report.](#)


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data.](#)

### Retail & recreation

# -15%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

# -6%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

# 0%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-28%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-40%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+14%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of residence.

# Anderson County


## Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+7% compared to baseline



# Andrews County


## Retail & recreation\*

-31% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-15% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

+4% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Angelina County


## Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

-44% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential

+9% compared to baseline



# Aransas County

## Retail & recreation

+7% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+23% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

+5% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+5% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Archer County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Atascosa County


## Retail & recreation

-14% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-22% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-37% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+14% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Austin County


## Retail & recreation\*

-6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bailey County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Bandera County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-31%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Bastrop County


## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+20%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-41%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Bee County

## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-31%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Bell County


## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+46%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Bexar County


## Retail & recreation

**-20%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-44%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



# Blanco County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Bosque County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Bowie County


## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+33%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Brazoria County

## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline



# Brazos County

## Retail & recreation

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-46%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Brewster County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Brooks County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Brown County


## Retail & recreation

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Burleson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Burnet County


## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+64%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+10%** compared to baseline



# Caldwell County


## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+14%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+14%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Calhoun County


## Retail & recreation

-10% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Callahan County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+1% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cameron County


## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-48%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



# Camp County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.




# Carson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Cass County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+33%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+8%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+4%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Castro County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Chambers County

## Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+12% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+12% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cherokee County


## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+9%** compared to baseline



# Childress County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Clay County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+41%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Coleman County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Collin County


## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-54%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+21%** compared to baseline



# Colorado County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+21%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Comal County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+8%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+75%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+13%** compared to baseline



# Comanche County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cooke County


## Retail & recreation

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+24%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+11%** compared to baseline



# Coryell County


## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Crane County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Crosby County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.




# Culberson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-5% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



# Dallam County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dallas County


## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Dawson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Deaf Smith County

## Retail & recreation\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-14% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Delta County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Denton County


## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-10%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+27%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-49%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline



# DeWitt County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-10%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dimmit County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Donley County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Duval County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Eastland County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ector County


## Retail & recreation

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-24%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+11%** compared to baseline



# El Paso County


## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+13%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ellis County


## Retail & recreation

**-10%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-5%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+13%** compared to baseline



# Erath County


## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.




# Falls County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Fannin County

## Retail & recreation\*

-2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+10% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fayette County


## Retail & recreation\*

-7% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+0% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+5% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Floyd County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fort Bend County


## Retail & recreation

**-10%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**0%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+42%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline



# Franklin County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Freestone County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Frio County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Gaines County

## Retail & recreation\*

-13% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Galveston County

## Retail & recreation

+5% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+66% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

+65% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline



## Residential

+12% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Gillespie County

## Retail & recreation

**+9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Goliad County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Gonzales County

## Retail & recreation\*

-16% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

-19% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Gray County

## Retail & recreation\*

+14% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Grayson County


## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+67% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential


+10% compared to baseline



# Gregg County


## Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline



## Parks

+4% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential

+8% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Grimes County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Guadalupe County


## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+24%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hale County


## Retail & recreation

**+10%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Hall County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+26%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hamilton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Hardeman County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+29% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hardin County


## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



# Harris County


## Retail & recreation

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Harrison County


## Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+10% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+9% compared to baseline



# Haskell County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-51% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hays County


## Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-10% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-10% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

+14% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-45% compared to baseline



## Residential


+17% compared to baseline



# Henderson County


## Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-31% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

+8% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential

+8% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hidalgo County


## Retail & recreation

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+14%** compared to baseline



# Hill County

## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+9%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hockley County

## Retail & recreation\*

-2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Hood County


## Retail & recreation

0% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+12% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+11% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Hopkins County

## Retail & recreation

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Houston County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Howard County


## Retail & recreation

**-19%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-33%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+9%** compared to baseline



# Hunt County


## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+11%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Hutchinson County

## Retail & recreation\*


+6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Jack County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-33% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jackson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

-28% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Jasper County

## Retail & recreation

+3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-2% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jefferson County


## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+25% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-8% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential

+10% compared to baseline



# Jim Wells County


## Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+2% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

-31% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+11% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Johnson County


## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline



## Parks

+32% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline



## Residential

+12% compared to baseline



# Jones County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Karnes County

## Retail & recreation\*


-30% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-39% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Kaufman County

## Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-37% compared to baseline



## Residential

+14% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Kendall County


## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+11%** compared to baseline



# Kerr County


## Retail & recreation

**0%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+35%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Kimble County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*




## Residential\*



# Kleberg County


## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+12%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+12%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# La Salle County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*




## Residential\*



# Lamar County

## Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

+6% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+7% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lamb County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Lampasas County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lavaca County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Lee County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Leon County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+16%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Liberty County

## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+13%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**0%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+10%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Limestone County


## Retail & recreation\*

+2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+10% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# Live Oak County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+1% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+4% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Llano County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+17%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Lubbock County


## Retail & recreation

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+132%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+8%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lynn County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Madison County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Marion County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Martin County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Matagorda County


## Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+10% compared to baseline



# Maverick County


## Retail & recreation

-23% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline




## Parks

-15% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+29% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+11% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# McCulloch County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-22% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# McLennan County


## Retail & recreation

-12% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+24% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-14% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline



## Residential


+12% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# McMullen County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*




## Residential\*



# Medina County

## Retail & recreation

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+14%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Midland County

## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+19%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+13%** compared to baseline



# Milam County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Mitchell County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

-62% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Montague County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Montgomery County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+12%** compared to baseline



# Moore County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Morris County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Nacogdoches County


## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Navarro County


## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+15% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+9% compared to baseline



# Newton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Nolan County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Nueces County


## Retail & recreation

**-6%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+66%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+11%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ochiltree County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Oldham County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+31%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Orange County


## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+9%** compared to baseline



# Palo Pinto County

## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Panola County

## Retail & recreation\*


-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-12% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Parker County


## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline



## Parks

+5% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-18% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-34% compared to baseline



## Residential

+13% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Parmer County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Pecos County

## Retail & recreation\*

-9% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+0% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Polk County


## Retail & recreation

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+7%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+12%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Potter County


## Retail & recreation

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+72%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+5%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Presidio County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Rains County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Randall County

## Retail & recreation

**+15%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Reagan County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Red River County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-18% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Reeves County

## Retail & recreation

-47% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-33% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-36% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Refugio County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Robertson County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Rockwall County


## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Runnels County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Rusk County


## Retail & recreation

0% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+8% compared to baseline



# Sabine County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-18% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# San Augustine County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# San Jacinto County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# San Patricio County


## Retail & recreation

-4% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

0% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential


+10% compared to baseline



# Scurry County

## Retail & recreation\*

-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-24% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

0% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+10% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Shelby County

## Retail & recreation\*

-4% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Sherman County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+21% compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Smith County


## Retail & recreation

-4% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline




## Parks

+41% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-3% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential

+9% compared to baseline



# Somervell County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Starr County


## Retail & recreation

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



# Stephens County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Swisher County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Tarrant County


## Retail & recreation

-12% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline



## Parks

+23% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-45% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-40% compared to baseline



## Residential

+15% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Taylor County


## Retail & recreation

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+29%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+8%** compared to baseline



# Terry County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Titus County


## Retail & recreation

-5% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+8% compared to baseline



# Tom Green County


## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-14% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential

+9% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Travis County


## Retail & recreation

**-30%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-54%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-54%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+21%** compared to baseline



# Trinity County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Tyler County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Upshur County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Uvalde County


## Retail & recreation\*

+1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+22% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-31% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Val Verde County


## Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-13% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+18% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

-18% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+10% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Van Zandt County


## Retail & recreation

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+10%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+20%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



# Victoria County


## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+9%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Walker County


## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+7%** compared to baseline



# Waller County


## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+18%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ward County


## Retail & recreation\*

-31% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-36% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+19% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-33% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Washington County


## Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Webb County


## Retail & recreation

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-14%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+13%** compared to baseline



# Wharton County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+2%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wichita County


## Retail & recreation

-9% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-6% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-5% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential

+9% compared to baseline



# Wilbarger County

## Retail & recreation\*

\* Not enough data for this date



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

\* Not enough data for this date



## Parks\*

\* Not enough data for this date



## Transit stations\*

+16% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

\* Not enough data for this date



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Willacy County

## Retail & recreation\*

## Grocery & pharmacy\*

## Parks\*

-23% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

## Workplaces

## Residential\*

-42% compared to baseline



# Williamson County

## Retail & recreation


## Grocery & pharmacy

## Parks

-17% compared to baseline

-9% compared to baseline

+11% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

## Workplaces

## Residential

-18% compared to baseline

-50% compared to baseline

+19% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wilson County


## Retail & recreation

**-20%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+15%** compared to baseline




# Winkler County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-44%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-14%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wise County


## Retail & recreation

0% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+39% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-4% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+12% compared to baseline



# Wood County


## Retail & recreation

+6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.




# Yoakum County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-32% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Young County

## Retail & recreation\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline




## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Zapata County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Zavala County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2–3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

We continue to improve our reports as places close and reopen. We updated the way we calculate changes for *Groceries & pharmacy*, *Retail & recreation*, *Transit stations*, and *Parks* categories. For regions published before May 2020, the data may contain a consistent shift either up or down that starts between April 11–18, 2020.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)