

North Carolina June 22, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report.](#)


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data.](#)

### Retail & recreation

# -10%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

# +1%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

# +79%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-23%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-35%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+9%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of residence.

# Alamance County


## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+54% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+1% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential


+8% compared to baseline



# Alexander County


## Retail & recreation\*

-6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+8% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Alleghany County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-20% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Anson County

## Retail & recreation\*

+2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ashe County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+30%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Avery County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Beaufort County


## Retail & recreation

-4% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+53% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+5% compared to baseline



# Bertie County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Bladen County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+6%** compared to baseline



# Brunswick County


## Retail & recreation

**+20%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+29%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+141%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+5%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Buncombe County


## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+97%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+9%** compared to baseline



# Burke County


## Retail & recreation

**+4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+132%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+5%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Cabarrus County


## Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-38% compared to baseline



## Residential


+9% compared to baseline



# Caldwell County


## Retail & recreation

+2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


-1% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Camden County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Carteret County

## Retail & recreation

**+41%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+30%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+154%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Caswell County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Catawba County


## Retail & recreation

-5% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+82% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-3% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential

+6% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Chatham County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+11%** compared to baseline



# Cherokee County

## Retail & recreation

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Chowan County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Clay County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-12% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cleveland County


## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+5%** compared to baseline



# Columbus County


## Retail & recreation

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Craven County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+29%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Cumberland County


## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+32%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Currituck County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+103%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Dare County

## Retail & recreation

**+144%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+128%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+258%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+2%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Davidson County


## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-10% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+7% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential


+6% compared to baseline



# Davie County


## Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+8% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+7% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Duplin County


## Retail & recreation

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



# Durham County


## Retail & recreation

**-24%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+31%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-42%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-48%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Edgecombe County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Forsyth County


## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+95%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+9%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Franklin County


## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+7% compared to baseline



# Gaston County


## Retail & recreation

-5% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline




## Parks\*

+76% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

+4% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential

+7% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Gates County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Graham County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Granville County


## Retail & recreation

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline




# Greene County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Guilford County


## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+51%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+10%** compared to baseline



# Halifax County


## Retail & recreation

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Harnett County


## Retail & recreation

**-5%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+25%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+28%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+8%** compared to baseline



# Haywood County


## Retail & recreation

**+11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+63%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Henderson County


## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+79%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Hertford County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hoke County


## Retail & recreation\*

-2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+7% compared to baseline



# Iredell County


## Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline




## Parks

+79% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+21% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential

+7% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jackson County


## Retail & recreation

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+19%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+7%** compared to baseline



# Johnston County


## Retail & recreation

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+23%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+27%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+9%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jones County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Lee County


## Retail & recreation

0% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+8% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lenoir County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+5%** compared to baseline



# Lincoln County


## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Macon County

## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+28%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



# Madison County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Martin County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# McDowell County

## Retail & recreation

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+15%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Mecklenburg County


## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline




## Parks

**+27%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-48%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-46%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+13%** compared to baseline



# Mitchell County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Montgomery County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+16%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-6%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Moore County


## Retail & recreation

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Nash County


## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+25%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline



# New Hanover County


## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+104%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+8%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Northampton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Onslow County


## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+23%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-30%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+5%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Orange County

## Retail & recreation

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+25%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-47%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline



# Pamlico County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Pasquotank County


## Retail & recreation

-7% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-26% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+7% compared to baseline



# Pender County


## Retail & recreation

+25% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+21% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-25% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-25% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Perquimans County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Person County

## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+12% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+7% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Pitt County


## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-6%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Polk County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Randolph County


## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+20%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+5%** compared to baseline



# Richmond County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Robeson County


## Retail & recreation

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+5%** compared to baseline



# Rockingham County


## Retail & recreation

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Rowan County


## Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+38% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-5% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential


+5% compared to baseline



# Rutherford County


## Retail & recreation

+1% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+85% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+4% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Sampson County

## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+6% compared to baseline



# Scotland County

## Retail & recreation

+11% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+10% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Stanly County

## Retail & recreation

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# Stokes County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Surry County


## Retail & recreation

-3% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+48% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

+8% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Swain County

## Retail & recreation\*

+37% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-28% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+152% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

-28% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-28% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

-28% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Transylvania County

## Retail & recreation

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-15%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Union County


## Retail & recreation

**-5%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-41%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+10%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Vance County


## Retail & recreation

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



# Wake County


## Retail & recreation

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+59%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-55%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-51%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Warren County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-19% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Washington County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-17% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Watauga County


## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+9%** compared to baseline



# Wayne County


## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+28%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+6%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wilkes County


## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-56% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Wilson County


## Retail & recreation

-2% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+9% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-27% compared to baseline



## Residential

+6% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Yadkin County


## Retail & recreation\*

+0% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Yancey County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2–3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

We continue to improve our reports as places close and reopen. We updated the way we calculate changes for *Groceries & pharmacy*, *Retail & recreation*, *Transit stations*, and *Parks* categories. For regions published before May 2020, the data may contain a consistent shift either up or down that starts between April 11–18, 2020.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)