

Missouri May 25, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report.](#)


Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data.](#)

### Retail & recreation

# -16%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

# +1%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

# +90%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-31%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-72%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+19%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of residence.


# Adair County

## Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Andrew County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-72% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Audrain County


## Retail & recreation\*

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+13% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-67% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Barry County


## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-59% compared to baseline



## Residential\*


+5% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Barton County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# Bates County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Benton County

## Retail & recreation\*

+20% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-55% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bollinger County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-66% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Boone County


## Retail & recreation

**-26%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+90%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-46%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-73%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline



# Buchanan County

## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+17%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+17%** compared to baseline




**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Butler County

## Retail & recreation

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+5%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+24%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-62%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



# Caldwell County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-73%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Callaway County


## Retail & recreation\*

-4% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+16% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

+21% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-70% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+8% compared to baseline



# Camden County


## Retail & recreation

+51% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+22% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-57% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+4% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cape Girardeau County


## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+70%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+16%** compared to baseline



# Carroll County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-70%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cass County


## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-74%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline



# Cedar County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-60%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Chariton County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Christian County

## Retail & recreation

**-5%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+1%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+19%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Clark County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-56%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-70%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Clay County

## Retail & recreation

**-16%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+131%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-76%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+21%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Clinton County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-69%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Cole County

## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-76%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+18%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cooper County

## Retail & recreation\*

-10% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+2% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-68% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Crawford County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-5% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-64% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dade County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Dallas County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Daviess County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# DeKalb County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dent County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-52%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Douglas County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-60%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Dunklin County


## Retail & recreation\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-5% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-58% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Franklin County

## Retail & recreation

-1% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-2% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-73% compared to baseline



## Residential

+18% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Gasconade County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-74%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# Gentry County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Greene County


## Retail & recreation

**-13%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-32%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-70%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+18%** compared to baseline



# Grundy County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-69%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Harrison County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-13%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-64%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Henry County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+12%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+20%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hickory County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-48%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Howard County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-74%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Howell County

## Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-13%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+31%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



# Iron County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*

**+30%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-58%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Jackson County


## Retail & recreation

**-23%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+38%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-33%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline



# Jasper County


## Retail & recreation

**+0%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+10%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+7%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Residential


**+17%** compared to baseline



# Jefferson County


## Retail & recreation

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+74%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-76%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline



# Johnson County

## Retail & recreation

**-12%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-9%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Laclede County


## Retail & recreation

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+26%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-74%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+8%** compared to baseline



# Lafayette County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+2%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-69%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+5%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lawrence County

## Retail & recreation\*

+7% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-14% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-64% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Lewis County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-70% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lincoln County


## Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-76% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+19% compared to baseline



# Linn County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-59% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Livingston County

## Retail & recreation\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-60% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Macon County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

-20% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-65% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Madison County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-61%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# Maries County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Marion County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+22%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+33%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+6%** compared to baseline



# McDonald County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+34%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-65%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Miller County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+38%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-60%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Mississippi County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-54%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Moniteau County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-73%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# Monroe County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-66%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Montgomery County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Morgan County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-57%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# New Madrid County

## Retail & recreation\*


**+9%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces\*

**-55%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Newton County

## Retail & recreation

**-1%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+15%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+58%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+16%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Nodaway County

## Retail & recreation\*

-6% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-68% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Oregon County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-54% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Osage County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-82%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Ozark County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Pemiscot County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-14% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-49% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Perry County


## Retail & recreation\*

+8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+13% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-71% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Pettis County

## Retail & recreation

**+5%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



# Phelps County

## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-62%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+9%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.




# Pike County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Platte County

## Retail & recreation

**-26%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-74%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-78%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+22%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Polk County


## Retail & recreation\*

-11% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+17% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-62% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-63% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+6% compared to baseline



# Pulaski County

## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-26% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-66% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+11% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Putnam County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# Ralls County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**-4%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-68%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Randolph County


## Retail & recreation\*

-4% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+24% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-64% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Ray County

## Retail & recreation\*


+0% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Ripley County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Saline County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-70%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Schuyler County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-30% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Scott County

## Retail & recreation\*

+19% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+7% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-41% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-65% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+5% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Shannon County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-55%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




# Shelby County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# St. Charles County

## Retail & recreation

-17% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline



## Parks

+92% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-22% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-80% compared to baseline




## Residential

+22% compared to baseline




# St. Clair County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-23% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-61% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# St. Francois County

## Retail & recreation

**+3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**+21%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-61%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



# St. Louis

## Retail & recreation

**-41%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

**-8%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+30%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-72%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# St. Louis County

## Retail & recreation

**-34%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+107%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-58%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-76%** compared to baseline




## Residential

**+22%** compared to baseline




# Ste. Genevieve County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-4%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Stoddard County


## Retail & recreation\*

**+36%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+25%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-60%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Stone County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+9%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-57%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+3%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Sullivan County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-13% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Taney County

## Retail & recreation

+31% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

+31% compared to baseline



## Parks

+21% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-54% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+12% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Texas County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-59%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*




# Vernon County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+11%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-63%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Warren County


## Retail & recreation\*

-8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+2% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

+0% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-72% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+7% compared to baseline



# Washington County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-65% compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wayne County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-61% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Webster County


## Retail & recreation\*

+8% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+7% compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

-44% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-69% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+9% compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wright County


Retail & recreation\*



Grocery & pharmacy\*



Parks\*



Transit stations\*




Workplaces

-57% compared to baseline



Residential\*





## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)