

Iowa May 13, 2020

## Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. [How to use this report](#).

Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read [About this data](#).

### Retail & recreation

# -24%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

### Grocery & pharmacy

# +11%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

### Parks

# +58%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

## Transit stations

-9%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

## Workplaces

-35%

compared to baseline




Mobility trends for places of work.

## Residential

+15%

compared to baseline



Mobility trends for places of residence.

# Adair County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-44%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Allamakee County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-75%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Appanoose County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+52%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Audubon County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Benton County

## Retail & recreation\*

-16% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+25% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-32% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Black Hawk County

## Retail & recreation

-28% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

-9% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

-16% compared to baseline



## Workplaces

-36% compared to baseline



## Residential

+14% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Boone County

## Retail & recreation\*

-28% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-21% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Bremer County


## Retail & recreation\*

-32% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-12% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-35% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Buchanan County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Buena Vista County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-15%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.


# Butler County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+2%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Calhoun County


## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Carroll County

## Retail & recreation\*

-29% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+13% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Cass County

## Retail & recreation\*

-32% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+13% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-23% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cedar County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*

**+10%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Cerro Gordo County

## Retail & recreation

**-11%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+18%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+22%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Cherokee County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-76%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Chickasaw County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-18%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Clarke County

## Retail & recreation\*

-27% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

-18% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-30% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Clay County

## Retail & recreation\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-12% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Clayton County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-59%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Clinton County

## Retail & recreation

**-3%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+21%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Crawford County

## Retail & recreation\*


**-38%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Dallas County

## Retail & recreation

**-46%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy


**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-52%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+23%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Davis County

## Retail & recreation\*


**-44%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Decatur County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Delaware County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+19%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Des Moines County


## Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+14%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+39%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Dickinson County

## Retail & recreation\*

-33% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+12% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+44% compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-26% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Dubuque County

## Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy

+20% compared to baseline



## Parks\*

+35% compared to baseline



## Transit stations

-23% compared to baseline




## Workplaces

-41% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+16% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Emmet County

## Retail & recreation\*


-17% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-30% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Fayette County

## Retail & recreation\*

-15% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Floyd County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Franklin County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-39%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Fremont County

## Retail & recreation\*


**-45%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+16%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Greene County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Grundy County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-64%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Guthrie County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hamilton County

## Retail & recreation\*

-23% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-3% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations

+1% compared to baseline




## Workplaces\*

-19% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Hancock County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-2% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Hardin County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+26%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Harrison County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-30%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Henry County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+12%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+8%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Howard County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-19%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Humboldt County

## Retail & recreation\*

-39% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

-7% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-24% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Ida County

## Retail & recreation\*

-64% compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-21% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Iowa County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-71%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Jackson County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-26%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+16%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Jasper County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+97%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**+11%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+12%** compared to baseline




# Jefferson County

## Retail & recreation\*


**-39%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Johnson County

## Retail & recreation

**-37%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-2%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*

**-25%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-48%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+20%** compared to baseline



# Jones County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+14%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-34%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Keokuk County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-28%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Kossuth County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-62%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+60%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lee County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-11%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+10%** compared to baseline



# Linn County


## Retail & recreation

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+16%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+65%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-29%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-44%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+18%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Louisa County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Lucas County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+8%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Lyon County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-70%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Madison County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-46%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+26%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-38%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Mahaska County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-32%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Marion County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+43%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-37%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Marshall County


## Retail & recreation

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+19%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*


**+13%** compared to baseline



# Mills County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-8%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Mitchell County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-67%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Monona County

## Retail & recreation\*


**-25%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-25%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Monroe County

## Retail & recreation\*


**-51%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Montgomery County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-3%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-20%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Muscatine County


## Retail & recreation

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+41%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+14%** compared to baseline



# O'Brien County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-17%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-16%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Osceola County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Page County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Palo Alto County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-18%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-24%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Plymouth County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+16%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-27%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Pocahontas County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Polk County

## Retail & recreation

**-30%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+6%** compared to baseline



## Parks

**+27%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-46%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+19%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Pottawattamie County

## Retail & recreation

**-22%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+6%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**+99%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**+7%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-35%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+14%** compared to baseline



# Poweshiek County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-23%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**-5%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations

**-34%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-40%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Sac County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-21%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Scott County


## Retail & recreation

**-17%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+13%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**+93%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations\*

**-2%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-39%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+15%** compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Shelby County

## Retail & recreation\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


-14% compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-26% compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Sioux County

## Retail & recreation\*

-14% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


+11% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+13% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Story County


## Retail & recreation

**-38%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**-6%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*

**-58%** compared to baseline




## Transit stations\*

**-28%** compared to baseline




## Workplaces

**-49%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+19%** compared to baseline



# Tama County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-42%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-7%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-36%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



**\* Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Taylor County


## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-18% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Union County

## Retail & recreation\*


-22% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

-29% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Van Buren County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Wapello County

## Retail & recreation

**-8%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+13%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-28%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+11%** compared to baseline




\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Warren County

## Retail & recreation\*

**+5%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**+0%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-43%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*

**+18%** compared to baseline



# Washington County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-23%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-9%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-33%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wayne County

## Retail & recreation\*




## Grocery & pharmacy\*



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*



## Workplaces\*

-20% compared to baseline



## Residential\*



# Webster County

## Retail & recreation

-11% compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*

+44% compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

-24% compared to baseline



## Residential\*

+10% compared to baseline



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.



# Winnebago County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-45%** compared to baseline



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-16%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-31%** compared to baseline




## Residential\*



# Winneshiek County


## Retail & recreation\*

**-56%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-17%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces

**-31%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Woodbury County

## Retail & recreation

**-18%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy

**+4%** compared to baseline




## Parks\*

**-59%** compared to baseline



## Transit stations

**-10%** compared to baseline



## Workplaces

**-32%** compared to baseline



## Residential

**+16%** compared to baseline




# Worth County

## Retail & recreation\*



## Grocery & pharmacy\*


**-31%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*




## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



\* **Not enough data for this date:** Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Wright County

## Retail & recreation\*

**-27%** compared to baseline




## Grocery & pharmacy\*

**+4%** compared to baseline



## Parks\*



## Transit stations\*




## Workplaces\*

**-22%** compared to baseline



## Residential\*



## About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show [popular times](#) for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

## Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the [Location History](#) setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their [Google Account](#) and can always delete Location History data directly from their [Timeline](#).

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use [differential privacy](#), which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

## Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit [Mobility Reports Help](#).

To get the latest report, visit [google.com/covid19/mobility](https://google.com/covid19/mobility)