

JMeter Analysis Report:

Introduction:

Load/Performance Test with **JMeter** engine. **Mercury Tours** website is being tested.

URL: <http://newtours.demoaut.com/index.php>

Pages being tested:

- Home page
- Sign up
- Sign in
- Booking a flight (3 Pages)

Website is being tested with **four** different thread groups:

1. 1 user
2. 10 users
3. 50 users
4. 100 users

Results:

After executing numerous performance tests on Mercury Tours, here are the results that have been captured by the JMeter engine:

| Browse Home | 1 User | 10 Users | 50 Users | 100 Users |
|----------------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|
| response code | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| min | 637 | 633 | 634 | 656 |
| max | 637 | 633 | 634 | 656 |
| throughput/min | 17.898 | 308.129 | 261.814 | 326.444 |
| throughput/sec | 3.182 | 5.135 | 41.600 | 5.441 |
| | | | | |
| Sign Up | 1 User | 10 Users | 50 Users | 100 Users |
| response code | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| min | 492 | 501 | 507 | 582 |
| max | 526 | 1987 | 33732 | 9024 |
| throughput/min | 39.779 | 316.064 | 309.822 | 279.252 |
| throughput/sec | 11.811 | 5.268 | 39.300 | 4.654 |
| | | | | |
| Login | 1 User | 10 Users | 50 Users | 100 Users |
| response code | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| min | 293 | 303 | 399 | 3512 |
| max | 3501 | 853 | 31946 | 8482 |
| throughput/min | 29.888 | 312.583 | 240.089 | 248.973 |
| throughput/sec | 7.203 | 5.210 | 26.700 | 4.150 |
| | | | | |
| Book Flight 1 | 1 User | 10 Users | 50 Users | 100 Users |
| response code | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| min | 959 | 987 | 958 | 4785 |
| max | 36845 | 16397 | 6867 | 27909 |
| throughput/min | 25.119 | 25.002 | 249.732 | 209.424 |
| throughput/sec | 0.419 | 0.417 | 4.162 | 3.490 |
| | | | | |
| Book Flight 2 | 1 User | 10 Users | 50 Users | 100 Users |
| response code | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| min | 755 | 587 | 1143 | 10997 |
| max | 8049 | 16520 | 7268 | 22794 |
| throughput/min | 23.354 | 34.503 | 198.838 | 101.106 |
| throughput/sec | 0.389 | 0.575 | 3.314 | 1.685 |

| Book Flight 3 | 1 User | 10 Users | 50 Users | 100 Users |
|----------------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|
| response code | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| min | 558 | 707 | 2918 | 999 |
| max | 998 | 25933 | 7554 | 13462 |
| throughput/min | 25.059 | 18.642 | 151.926 | 583.592 |
| throughput/sec | 0.418 | 0.311 | 2.532 | 9.727 |

These results were taken from the Aggregate Report and recorded into a spreadsheet, then uploaded to SpiraTeam.

Browse Home Page:

| Label | # Sampl... | Average | Median | 90% Line | 95% Line | 99% Line | Min | Maximum | Error % | Through... | Rece... | Sent ... |
|----------------------|------------|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|-----|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| Browse Home Page_50 | 216 | 3283 | 2651 | 4434 | 5040 | 20652 | 634 | 32463 | 0.00% | 41.6/min | 10.75 | 0.09 |
| Browse Home Page_100 | 144 | 5565 | 6516 | 7304 | 8088 | 8725 | 656 | 8938 | 0.00% | 5.4/sec | 84.28 | 0.71 |
| Browse Home Page_10 | 105 | 765 | 726 | 864 | 1059 | 1498 | 633 | 1853 | 0.00% | 5.1/sec | 79.55 | 0.67 |
| Browse Home Page_1 | 7 | 2200 | 667 | 1880 | 10275 | 10275 | 637 | 10275 | 0.00% | 17.9/min | 4.62 | 0.04 |

Looking at the results for browsing home page, the minimum for each thread group are almost identical, however the maximum is varied between them. Each thread group's minimum and maximum values are large in terms of range, indicating that the deviation is large. This means that the system isn't very stable, due to the large deviation.

Analysing the number of samples, 1 User, 10 Users, 50 Users, and 100 Users recorded numbers of: 7, 105, 216, 144 respectively. It is understood that 1 thread and 10 threads will not have large number of samples due to the number of threads. However, 50 threads were able to execute 216 samples whereas 100 threads were able to execute 144 samples. This may be caused by the stability of the system maintaining the requests. In this case, it had low stability for 100 users.

The throughput for each thread group is varied. 1 User recorded 17.9/min, 10 Users recorded 5.1/sec, 50 Users recorded 41.6/min, and 100 Users recorded 5.4/sec. 100 threads and 10 threads performed worse than 1 and 50, however this may have been affected by other testers testing the website simultaneously, therefore altering and affecting the results.

Register:

| Label | # Sampl... | Average | Median | 90% Line | 95% Line | 99% Line | Min | Maximum | Error % | Through... | Rece... | Sent ... |
|--------------|------------|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|-----|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| Register_50 | 204 | 7663 | 3408 | 26975 | 30702 | 33333 | 507 | 33732 | 0.00% | 39.3/min | 17.96 | 0.32 |
| Register_100 | 121 | 6363 | 6613 | 8085 | 8442 | 8943 | 582 | 9024 | 0.00% | 4.7/sec | 127.... | 2.25 |
| Register_10 | 103 | 655 | 599 | 794 | 850 | 1899 | 501 | 1987 | 0.00% | 5.3/sec | 144.... | 2.54 |
| Register_1 | 6 | 508 | 505 | 516 | 526 | 526 | 492 | 526 | 0.00% | 39.8/min | 18.17 | 0.32 |

The number of samples in this test were like the results within the home page request, as 100 threads had the least samples in comparison to 50 threads. This may indicate that the system handles 50 threads better than 100 threads.

Furthermore, the minimum time for each thread are similar, however the maximum is varied. Also, 50 threads had a maximum time of 33732ms, yet it recorded 204 samples. But looking at the throughput, 50 threads performed poorly compared to 100 threads (39.3/min and 4.7/sec). Further

looking into throughput, 50 threads performed worse than 1 thread (39.3/min and 39.8/min respectively).

Login:

| Label | # Sampl... | Average | Median | 90% Line | 95% Line | 99% Line | Min | Maximum | Error % | Through... | Rece... | Sent ... |
|-----------|------------|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|------|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| Login_50 | 138 | 4520 | 3275 | 6343 | 7926 | 26556 | 399 | 31946 | 0.00% | 26.7/min | 3.92 | 0.14 |
| Login_100 | 101 | 6505 | 6501 | 7323 | 7971 | 8261 | 3512 | 8482 | 0.00% | 4.1/sec | 36.58 | 1.28 |
| Login_10 | 98 | 412 | 373 | 586 | 652 | 785 | 303 | 853 | 0.00% | 5.2/sec | 45.93 | 1.61 |
| Login_1 | 6 | 833 | 299 | 307 | 3501 | 3501 | 293 | 3501 | 0.00% | 29.9/min | 4.39 | 0.15 |

Looking at the other results and the login results, there is a pattern in the number of samples.

However, the minimum and maximum values show different results. 1, 10 and 50 threads show a similar minimum result, whereas 100 threads show a much larger minimum time taken. This can also be seen in the maximum and none of the threads have a correlation. Maximum times are varied, with 1 thread having a maximum of 3501, which was higher than the maximum for 10 threads.

Similarly, comparing other results, throughputs for 1 and 50 threads show that they perform poorly in comparison to 10 and 100 threads.

Book Flight:

Book Flight (1):

| Label | # Sampl... | Average | Median | 90% Line | 95% Line | 99% Line | Min | Maximum | Error % | Through... | Rece... | Sent ... |
|-------------------|------------|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|------|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| BookFlight1_50-1 | 101 | 2117 | 2219 | 3338 | 3512 | 3722 | 508 | 3939 | 0.00% | 4.2/sec | 65.31 | 2.02 |
| BookFlight1_50-0 | 101 | 1906 | 1809 | 3205 | 3536 | 3915 | 448 | 4095 | 0.00% | 4.4/sec | 2.21 | 2.83 |
| BookFlight1_50 | 101 | 4024 | 4136 | 5747 | 6038 | 6713 | 958 | 6867 | 0.00% | 4.2/sec | 66.19 | 4.66 |
| BookFlight1_1-1 | 11 | 2341 | 538 | 7462 | 7462 | 9357 | 510 | 9357 | 0.00% | .9/hour | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BookFlight1_10-1 | 75 | 911 | 601 | 833 | 3798 | 4525 | 498 | 5065 | 0.00% | 24.5/min | 6.28 | 0.19 |
| BookFlight1_100-1 | 100 | 14568 | 15432 | 21333 | 22109 | 22862 | 2883 | 22918 | 0.00% | 4.2/sec | 64.76 | 2.00 |
| BookFlight1_100-0 | 100 | 3906 | 3702 | 5211 | 5400 | 5666 | 1902 | 5667 | 0.00% | 15.7/sec | 7.89 | 10.11 |
| BookFlight1_10-0 | 75 | 1385 | 566 | 1195 | 11034 | 12592 | 459 | 12661 | 0.00% | 24.9/min | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| BookFlight1_100 | 100 | 18475 | 19454 | 25555 | 26190 | 27647 | 4785 | 27909 | 0.00% | 3.5/sec | 55.50 | 3.91 |
| BookFlight1_1-0 | 11 | 3638 | 477 | 14284 | 14284 | 17676 | 445 | 17676 | 0.00% | .9/hour | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BookFlight1_10 | 75 | 2296 | 1202 | 2123 | 15559 | 16137 | 987 | 16397 | 0.00% | 24.4/min | 6.47 | 0.46 |
| BookFlight1_1 | 12 | 8553 | 1016 | 22406 | 22406 | 36845 | 959 | 36845 | 8.33% | 1.0/hour | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Book Flight (2):

| Label | # Sampl... | Average | Median | 90% Line | 95% Line | 99% Line | Min | Maximum | Error % | Through... | Rece... | Sent ... |
|-------------------|------------|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|-------|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| BookFlight2_50-1 | 77 | 2334 | 2301 | 3435 | 3624 | 4071 | 773 | 4102 | 0.00% | 3.4/sec | 52.00 | 1.61 |
| BookFlight2_50-0 | 77 | 2214 | 2264 | 3321 | 3476 | 3683 | 369 | 4354 | 0.00% | 3.5/sec | 1.75 | 2.47 |
| BookFlight2_50 | 77 | 4548 | 4682 | 5792 | 6220 | 6577 | 1143 | 7268 | 0.00% | 3.3/sec | 52.70 | 3.92 |
| BookFlight2_1-1 | 9 | 774 | 535 | 562 | 2723 | 2723 | 510 | 2723 | 0.00% | .7/hour | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BookFlight2_10-1 | 68 | 1086 | 609 | 828 | 5019 | 9043 | 313 | 10324 | 0.00% | 24.5/min | 6.28 | 0.19 |
| BookFlight2_100-1 | 41 | 5287 | 5220 | 7727 | 8119 | 8660 | 2903 | 8660 | 0.00% | 4.0/sec | 61.90 | 1.92 |
| BookFlight2_100-0 | 41 | 10678 | 9875 | 14624 | 17185 | 18101 | 4474 | 18101 | 0.00% | 2.0/sec | 0.97 | 1.40 |
| BookFlight2_10-0 | 68 | 563 | 379 | 543 | 644 | 5486 | 252 | 7476 | 0.00% | 25.3/min | 0.21 | 0.30 |
| BookFlight2_100 | 41 | 15966 | 15537 | 20761 | 21382 | 22794 | 10997 | 22794 | 0.00% | 1.7/sec | 26.74 | 1.99 |
| BookFlight2_1-0 | 9 | 814 | 256 | 257 | 5325 | 5325 | 243 | 5325 | 0.00% | .7/hour | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BookFlight2_10 | 68 | 1650 | 1002 | 1360 | 8004 | 10766 | 587 | 16520 | 0.00% | 24.4/min | 6.47 | 0.48 |
| BookFlight2_1 | 9 | 1589 | 792 | 806 | 8049 | 8049 | 755 | 8049 | 0.00% | .7/hour | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Book Flight (3):

| Label | # Sampl... | Average | Median | 90% Line | 95% Line | 99% Line | Min | Maximum | Error % | Through... | Rece... | Sent ... |
|-------------------|------------|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|------|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| BookFlight3_50-1 | 56 | 2611 | 2605 | 3641 | 3828 | 4241 | 1240 | 4897 | 0.00% | 2.8/sec | 42.47 | 1.31 |
| BookFlight3_50-0 | 56 | 2340 | 2060 | 3674 | 4313 | 4361 | 852 | 4432 | 0.00% | 2.7/sec | 1.35 | 3.12 |
| BookFlight3_50 | 56 | 4952 | 4897 | 5984 | 6638 | 7122 | 2918 | 7554 | 0.00% | 2.5/sec | 40.26 | 4.13 |
| BookFlight3_1-1 | 8 | 572 | 716 | 729 | 750 | 750 | 314 | 750 | 0.00% | 25.4/min | 6.52 | 0.20 |
| BookFlight3_10-1 | 63 | 1751 | 717 | 5483 | 6065 | 13049 | 417 | 13410 | 0.00% | 20.8/min | 5.34 | 0.16 |
| BookFlight3_100-1 | 276 | 4318 | 4172 | 6717 | 7690 | 8489 | 526 | 8900 | 0.00% | 9.9/sec | 152.... | 4.68 |
| BookFlight3_100-0 | 276 | 3912 | 3917 | 6405 | 6893 | 7975 | 452 | 9334 | 0.00% | 10.1/sec | 5.08 | 11.72 |
| BookFlight3_10-0 | 63 | 2021 | 530 | 9773 | 10286 | 12597 | 249 | 12874 | 0.00% | 21.1/min | 0.18 | 0.41 |
| BookFlight3_100 | 276 | 8231 | 8506 | 11241 | 11803 | 12923 | 999 | 13462 | 0.00% | 9.7/sec | 154.... | 15.85 |
| BookFlight3_1-0 | 8 | 252 | 248 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 244 | 258 | 0.00% | 26.0/min | 0.22 | 0.50 |
| BookFlight3_10 | 63 | 3773 | 1207 | 15839 | 16876 | 21220 | 707 | 25933 | 0.00% | 20.7/min | 5.50 | 0.56 |
| BookFlight3_1 | 8 | 824 | 974 | 987 | 998 | 998 | 558 | 998 | 0.00% | 25.1/min | 6.64 | 0.68 |

All book flight requests showed drastic results. These were conducted during testers finalising their tests. Throughputs for 1 thread performed the worst compared to the other threads, which is an odd result, as generally this would be the best performing thread.

The minimum was varied between all threads, as well as maximum. During the test, pages 1 and 2 would send a request but page 3 did not, which may affect results significantly. Therefore, I isolated the test and ran that request, which showed better performing results. This was executed when traffic was low, hence showing better performing results.