Appendix

Proof of Theorem 1

Considering a stationary energy trading policy π of MG i, the state transition probability can be expressed as follows.

$$\Upsilon_{oo'}^a = \Upsilon(o_{i,t+1} = o' | o_{i,t} = o, a_{i,t} = a)$$
 (1)

where o' is the next observation of o. The $V(o,\pi)$ and Q(o,a) denote the state value and state-action value functions, respectively. At each training slot, following the strategy π and selecting action a under observation o, the expected cumulative discounted reward can be expressed as:

$$V(o,\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[r(o,\pi(o)) + \gamma \cdot \sum_{o'} \Upsilon^{a}_{oo'} \cdot V(o',\pi) \right]$$
 (2)

where $r(o,\pi(o))$ represents the overall reward for selecting action $\pi(o)$ under observation o, γ is the discount factor. Then the maximum state value can be expressed as follows by decomposing Eq. (2) into the Bellman equation.

$$V^{*}(o,\pi) = \max_{a} \sum_{o'} \Upsilon^{a}_{oo'} \cdot (r(o,\pi(o)) + \gamma \cdot V^{*}(o',\pi))$$
(3)

Similar to the Eq. (3), the optimal value function of state-action pair can be denoted as:

$$Q^*(o,a) = \sum_{o'} \Upsilon^a_{oo'} \cdot \left(r(o,a) + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q^*(o',a') \right) \tag{4}$$

where o' denotes the next observation of o, a' denotes the trading action that performed under observation o'.

The state transition probability $\Upsilon_{oo'}^a$ in Eq. (1) is stationary due to the state space and action space are limited. Considering the given (o_t, a_t, r_t, o_{t+1}) , the updating rule of the target network is:

$$Q(o_t, a_t) = Q(o_t, a_t) + \alpha \left[r_t + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(o_{t+1}, a') - Q(o_t, a_t) \right]$$
 (5)

where o_{t+1} is the next observation of o_t , a' denotes the performing action under observation o_{t+1} , α is the learning rate and γ is the discount factor. Then subtract $Q^*(o_t, a_t)$ from both sides, which obtaining

$$\Delta(o_t, a_t) = Q(o_t, a_t) - Q^*(o_t, a_t)$$
(6)

thus yields

$$\Delta(o_t, a_t) = (1 - \alpha)\Delta(o_t, a_t) + \alpha H(o_t, a_t)$$
(7)

$$H(o_{t}, a_{t}) = \left[r_{t} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(o_{t+1}, a') - Q^{*}(o_{t}, a_{t})\right]$$
(8)

According to [1], given $0 \le \alpha < 1$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n^{i}(o,a)} = \infty, \ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_{n^{i}(o,a)}]^{2} < \infty$$
 (9)

where $n^i(o,a)$ denotes the index of the ith time that action a is performed under observation o. Therefore, the $\Delta(o_t,a_t)\to 0$ with probability 1 if:

(1)
$$\|\mathbb{E}[H(o_t, a_t)|H]\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \|\Delta(o_t, a_t)\|_{\infty}$$
, with $\gamma < 1$.

(2)
$$\operatorname{var}[H(o_t, a_t)|H] \leq \xi (1 + ||\Delta(o_t, a_t)||_{\infty}^2)$$
, with $\xi > 0$.

First, we can derive the following equation.

$$\|\mathbb{E}[H(o_{t}, a_{t})|H]\|_{\infty} = \Upsilon_{oo'}^{a} H(o_{t}, a_{t})$$

$$\leq \gamma \|Q(o_{t}, a_{t}) - Q^{*}(o_{t}, a_{t})\|_{\infty}$$

$$= \gamma \|\Delta(o_{t}, a_{t})\|_{\infty}$$
(10)

Then, the following can be obtained.

$$var[H(o_{t}, a_{t}) | H] = var \left[r_{t} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(o_{t+1}, a') | H \right]$$
(11)

The following is true, due to the r_t is bounded.

$$\operatorname{var}\left[H\left(o_{t}, a_{t}\right) | H\right] \leq \xi\left(1 + \left\|\Delta\left(o_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\right) \tag{12}$$

where ξ is a positive constant. Thus, $\Delta(o_t, a_t) \to 0$ with probability 1, which means the target network of h-MADQN algorithm converges to $Q^*(o_t, a_t)$.

References

[1] C. J. Watkins and P. Dayan, "Q-learning," Machine learning, vol. 8, no. 3-4, pp. 279–292, 1992.