The Number of Components in Gaussian Mixture Model

The lower bound and rate-optimal estimator for minimax risk estimation

Chi-Ning Chou¹

¹Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering National Taiwan University

July 15, 2015



Outline

- Problem Setting
 - Previous Work
- 2 Analysis On Estimator
 - Bias
 - Variance
- 3 Appendix
 - Inequalities of Q Function

Outline

- Problem Setting
 - Previous Work
- 2 Analysis On Estimator
 - Bias
 - Variance
- Appendix
 - Inequalities of Q Function

Probability Distribution Family

We consider the following probability distribution family in Gaussian mixture model:

Definition

A Gaussian mixture model family with minimum mass k and minimum central distance δ is

$$\begin{aligned} D_{k,\delta} &= \{g: g = \sum_i \lambda_i f_i, f_i \sim \textit{N}(\mu_i, 1), \\ &\sum_i \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{k}, |\mu_i - \mu_j| \geq \delta \} \end{aligned}$$

 Assume that all the components have the same variance and normalize to 1.

Minimax Risk in $D_{k,\delta}$

As to the error estimation, we choose the minimax scheme:

Definition

The **risk** of an estimator and the **minimax risk** of an estimation on the family $D_{k,\delta}$ is:

$$r_{n,k,\delta}(\hat{S}) := \sup_{\mathbf{X}^n \leftarrow f \in D_{k,\delta}} E[|\hat{S}(\mathbf{X}^n) - S(f)|^2]$$

 $R_{n,k,\delta} := \inf_{\hat{S}} r_{n,k,\delta}(\hat{S})$

, where n is the number of samples.

Histogram

Definition

$$N_{\rho} = \{N_{s} := \sum_{i} \mathbf{1}_{\{(s-\frac{1}{2})\rho \le X_{j} < (s+\frac{1}{2})\rho\}} | s = n\delta, \forall n \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

To construct an estimator, we need to define the **histogram** for convenience.

Intuitively, N_s counts the number of samples around a possible center: $s = n\delta$ of a component with width ρ . Namely, the interval: $[(s - \frac{1}{2})\rho, (s + \frac{1}{2})\rho]$.

Direct Estimator

Thus, we can construct a direct estimator according to the definition of histogram:

Direct Estimator

We construct a direct estimator as

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}} := \sum_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}} \geq rac{n}{k}\Phi(
ho)\}}$$

, where Φ is a scaling function according to the quantization size ρ . Here, we take $\Phi(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2Q(\frac{\rho}{2}))$.

Estimation Error (Risk) Intuition

There are two kinds of error which depend on whether the sample points lying in the histogram or not:

- False Negative
 - There is actually a component on position s, but there are not enough samples contribute to the histogram. Thus, the estimator doesn't recognize it.
- False Positive
 - There isn't a component on position s, but the samples contribute to N_s are so much that the estimator counts it.

Estimation Error (Risk) Formally

The error in the scheme is Mean Square Error (MSE). Consider the MSE for a single distribution $f \in D_{k,\delta}$:

$$MSE = E[|\hat{S}(\mathbf{X}^n) - S(t)|^2]$$

$$= E[|\hat{S}(\mathbf{X}^n) - S(t)|]^2 + Var[|\hat{S}(\mathbf{X}^n) - S(t)|]$$

$$= Bias^2 + Var$$

Outline

- Problem Setting
 - Previous Work
- 2 Analysis On Estimator
 - Bias
 - Variance
- Appendix
 - Inequalities of Q Function

Bias

The bias of the estimator \hat{S} is:

$$\begin{split} |E[\hat{S}(P) - S(P)]| &= |\sum_{s} Pr[N_{s} \geq \frac{n}{k} \Phi(\rho)] - \mathbf{1}_{\{\exists i \ s.t. \ \mu_{i} = s\rho\}}| \\ &= \sum_{s} Pr[N_{s} < \frac{n}{k} \Phi(\rho)] \mathbf{1}_{\{\exists i, \ \mu_{i} = s\rho\}} \\ &+ \sum_{s} Pr[N_{s} \geq \frac{n}{k} \Phi(\rho)] \mathbf{1}_{\{\forall i, \ \mu_{i} \neq s\rho\}} \\ &= \sum_{s} Pr[False \ \textit{Negative at } s] \\ &+ \sum_{s} Pr[False \ \textit{Positive at } s] \end{split}$$

Flase Negative Reduce to Finite Cases

Observation (From Infinite to Finite)

The false negative probability is:

$$Pr[False\ Negative] = \sum_{s} Pr[False\ Negative\ at\ s] = \sum_{i} Pr[False\ Negative\ at\ N_{\mu_i}]$$

That is, we only need to consider finite many cases. Concretely, less than k cases.

Single Realization

Observation (Single Realization in N_{μ_i})

The probability of **a single** sample falling inside $[\mu_i - \frac{\rho}{2}, \mu_i + \frac{\rho}{2}]$ is:

$$P_i := Pr[\ A \ single \ realization \in [\mu_i - rac{
ho}{2}, \mu_i + rac{
ho}{2}] \]$$

$$= [1 - 2\lambda_i Q(rac{
ho}{2})] + \sum_{j \neq i} rac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mu_j - rac{
ho}{2}}^{\mu_j + rac{
ho}{2}} e^{rac{-(x - \mu_j)^2}{2}} dx$$

$$\geq [1 - 2\lambda_i Q(rac{
ho}{2})]$$

, where Q, defined as $Q(\alpha):=rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\alpha}^{\infty}e^{-rac{t^2}{2}}dt$, is the Q function.

Observation (False Negative of *n* Samples)

Finally, let's consider the false negative probability of n inputs on the ith component. We can observe that the number of samples falling in $[\mu_i - \frac{\rho}{2}, \mu_i + \frac{\rho}{2}]$ is obeying a binomial distribution with n samples and probability P_i^{FN} . That is,

$$Pr[N_{\mu_i} \le m] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} {\binom{n}{j}} P_i^j (1 - P_i)^{n-j}$$

Thus, the false negative probability of the *i*th component is:

$$P_i^{FN(n,k,\rho)} := Pr[N_{\mu_i \leq \frac{n}{k}\Phi(\rho)}]$$

Upper Bound For False Negative Probability at N_{μ_i}

Proposition (Upper Bound For False Negative Probability at N_{μ_i})

Let P_i^{FN} denotes the false negative probability at N_{μ_i} , then

$$P_i^{FN} \leq \exp(-\frac{n}{2k^2P_i}\Phi(\rho)^2)$$

Upper Bound For False Negative Probability at N_{μ_i} - Proof

Proof.

By the construction of \hat{S} ,

$$P_i^{FN} = Pr[N_i < \frac{n}{k}\Phi(\rho)]$$

Since

$$\frac{n}{k}\Phi(\rho) = \frac{n(1-2Q(\frac{\rho}{2}))}{2k} \leq \frac{n\lambda_i(1-2Q(\frac{\rho}{2}))}{2} \leq nP_i$$

By Chernoff's inequality [1], when $\frac{n}{k}\Phi(\rho) \leq nP_i$,

Upper Bound For False Negative Probability at N_{μ_i} - Proof

Proof.

$$Pr[N_i < \frac{n}{k}\Phi(\rho)] \le \exp(-\frac{1}{2P_i} \frac{(nP_i - \frac{n}{k}\Phi(\rho))^2}{n})$$
$$= \exp(-\frac{n}{2k^2P_i} (kP_i - \Phi(\rho))^2)$$

Moreover,

$$2\Phi(\rho) = (1 - 2Q(\frac{\rho}{2})) \le k\lambda_i(1 - 2Q(\frac{\rho}{2})) \le kP_i$$

Upper Bound For False Negative Probability at N_{μ_i} - Proof

Proof.

Thus,

$$(kP_i - \Phi(\rho))^2 \ge (2\Phi(\rho) - \Phi(\rho))^2 = \Phi(\rho)^2$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} P_i^{FN} &\leq \exp(-\frac{n}{2k^2P_i}(kP_i - \Phi(\rho))^2) \\ &\leq \exp(-\frac{n}{2k^2P_i}\Phi(\rho)^2) \end{aligned}$$



Upper Bound For False Negative Probability

Proposition (Upper Bound For False Negative Probability)

Let PFN denotes the false negative probability, then

$$P^{FN} \leq k \exp(-\frac{n}{2k}\Phi(\rho)^2)$$

Upper Bound For False Negative Probability - Proof

Proof.

From the previous results,

$$P^{FN} = \sum_{i} P_{i}^{FN} \leq \sum_{i} \exp(-\frac{n}{2k^{2}P_{i}}\Phi(\rho)^{2})$$

Since, $\sum_{i} P_{i} \leq 1$ and $P_{i} \geq \frac{1}{k} \forall i$.

$$P^{FN} \leq \sum_{i} \exp(-rac{n}{2k^2P_i}\Phi(
ho)^2) \leq k \exp(-rac{n}{2k}\Phi(
ho)^2)$$



Outline

- Problem Setting
 - Previous Work
- 2 Analysis On Estimator
 - Bias
 - Variance
- 3 Appendix
 - Inequalities of Q Function

Outline

- Problem Setting
 - Previous Work
- Analysis On Estimator
 - Bias
 - Variance
- 3 Appendix
 - Inequalities of Q Function

The Gaussian Tail Inequality - 1

Theorem (The Gaussian Tail Inequality - 1)

If $X \sim N(0,1)$, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha}e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2}}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}) < Q(\alpha) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha}e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2}}$$

Corollary (False Negative Version)

If $X \sim N(0, 1)$, then

$$4\lambda_i[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\rho}e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{8}}(1-\frac{4}{\rho^2})] < Pr[FN_i]$$

The Gaussian Tail Inequality - 2

Theorem (The Gaussian Tail Inequality - 2)

If $X \sim N(0, 1)$, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha}e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2}}(\frac{\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}) < Q(\alpha)$$

Corollary (False Negative Version)

If $X \sim N(0, 1)$, then

$$4\lambda_i[rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}
ho}e^{-rac{
ho^2}{8}}(rac{
ho^2}{4+
ho^2})]< extit{Pr}[extit{FN}_i]$$

The Gaussian Tail Inequality - 3

Theorem (The Gaussian Tail Inequality - 3)

If $X \sim N(0,1)$, then

$$Q(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2}}$$

Corollary (False Negative Version)

This one doesn't give a lower bound for false negative.

The Gaussian Central Inequality

This inequality gives a tight bound near the center of both Q function and error function.

Theorem (The Gaussian Central Inequality)

If $X \sim N(0, 1)$, then

$$\textit{max}\{1-\frac{1}{2}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha},0\} \leq \textit{Q}(\alpha) \leq \textit{min}\{\frac{1}{2}e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\alpha},1\}$$

Corollary (False Negative Version)

If $X \sim N(0, 1)$, then

$$max\{2\lambda_i - \lambda_i e^{\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi}}}, 0\} \leq Pr[FN_i]$$