Operational Semantics of Cool

Lecture 13

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Lecture Outline

- COOL operational semantics
- Motivation
- Notation
- The rules

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Motivation

- We must specify for every Cool expression what happens when it is evaluated
 - This is the "meaning" of an expression
- The definition of a programming language:
 - The tokens \Rightarrow lexical analysis
 - The grammar \Rightarrow syntactic analysis
 - The typing rules \Rightarrow semantic analysis
 - The evaluation rules
 - \Rightarrow code generation and optimization

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Evaluation Rules So Far

- We have specified evaluation rules indirectly
 - The compilation of Cool to a stack machine
 - The evaluation rules of the stack machine
- This is a complete description
 - Why isn't it good enough?

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Assembly Language Description of Semantics

- Assembly-language descriptions of language implementation have irrelevant detail
 - Whether to use a stack machine or not
 - Which way the stack grows
 - How integers are represented
 - The particular instruction set of the architecture
- We need a complete description
 - But not an overly restrictive specification

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Programming Language Semantics

- A multitude of ways to specify semantics
 - All equally powerful
 - Some more suitable to various tasks than others
- · Operational semantics
 - Describes program evaluation via execution rules
 - · on an abstract machine
 - Most useful for specifying implementations
 - This is what we use for Cool

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

n CS 143 Lecture 13

Other Kinds of Semantics

- Denotational semantics
 - Program's meaning is a mathematical function
 - Elegant, but introduces complications
 - Need to define a suitable space of functions
- Axiomatic semantics
 - Program behavior described via logical formulae
 - If execution begins in state satisfying X, then it ends in state satisfying Y
 - X, Y formulas
 - Foundation of many program verification systems

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Introduction to Operational Semantics

- Once again we introduce a formal notation
- · Logical rules of inference, as in type checking

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Inference Rules

Recall the typing judgment

Context ⊢ e : C

(in the given context, expression e has type C)

• We try something similar for evaluation

Context ⊢ e : v

(in the given context, expression \boldsymbol{e} evaluates to value \boldsymbol{v})

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Example Operational Semantics Rule

• Example:

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Context} \vdash e_1 : 5 \\ \text{Context} \vdash e_2 : 7 \\ \hline \text{Context} \vdash e_1 + e_2 : 12 \end{array}$

- The result of evaluating an expression can depend on the result of evaluating its subexpressions
- The rules specify everything that is needed to evaluate an expression

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Contexts are Needed for Variables

- Consider the evaluation of $y \leftarrow x + 1$
 - We need to keep track of values of variables
 - We need to allow variables to change their values during evaluation
- · We track variables and their values with:
 - An <u>environment</u>: tells us *where* in memory a variable is stored
 - A store : tells us what is in memory

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

11

 A variable environment is a map from variable names to locations

- Tells in what memory location the value of a variable is stored
- Keeps track of which variables are in scope
- Example:

Variable Environments

 $E = [a : I_1, b : I_2]$

- E(a) looks up variable a in environment E

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Stores

- A store maps memory locations to values
- Example:

$$S = [I_1 \rightarrow 5, I_2 \rightarrow 7]$$

- S(I₁) is the contents of a location I₁ in store S
- $S' = S[12/I_1]$ defines a store S' such that $S'(I_1) = 12$ and S'(I) = S(I) if $I \neq I_1$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Cool Values

- Cool values are objects
 - All objects are instances of some class
- $X(a_1 = l_1, ..., a_n = l_n)$ is a Cool object where
 - X is the class of the object
 - a_i are the attributes (including inherited ones)
 - I_i is the location where the value of a_i is stored

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Cool Values (Cont.)

Special cases (classes without attributes)

Int(5) the integer 5
Bool(true) the boolean true
String(4, "Cool") the string "Cool" of length 4

- There is a special value void of type Object
 - No operations can be performed on it
 - Except for the test isvoid
 - Concrete implementations might use NULL here

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Rules of Cool

The evaluation judgment is

so, E, S ⊢ e : v, S'

read:

- Given so the current value of self
- And $\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}$ the current variable environment
- And S the current store
- If the evaluation of e terminates then
- The return value is v
- And the new store is S'

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Notes

- "Result" of evaluation is a value and a store
 - New store models the side-effects
- · Some things don't change
 - The variable environment
 - The value of self
 - The operational semantics allows for nonterminating evaluations

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics for Base Values

so, E, S \vdash true : Bool(true), S so, E, S \vdash false : Bool(false), S

i is an integer literal so, E, S ⊢ i : Int(i), S $\frac{s \text{ is a string literal}}{n \text{ is the length of s}}$ so, E, S \vdash s : String(n,s), S

• No side effects in these cases (the store does not change)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of Variable References

$$E(id) = I_{id}$$

$$S(I_{id}) = V$$
so, E, S \vdash id : v, S

- Note the double lookup of variables
 - First from name to location
 - Then from location to value
- · The store does not change

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

19

Operational Semantics for Self

· A special case:

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

S 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of Assignment

so, E, S
$$\vdash$$
 e : v, S₁

$$E(id) = I_{id}$$

$$S_2 = S_1[v/I_{id}]$$
so, E, S \vdash id \leftarrow e : v, S₂

- Three step process
 - Evaluate the right hand side \Rightarrow a value v and new store S_1
 - Fetch the location of the assigned variable
 - The result is the value \boldsymbol{v} and an updated store

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of Conditionals

$$\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{c} \text{so, E, S} \vdash e_1 : \text{Bool(true), S}_1 \\ \text{so, E, S}_1 \vdash e_2 : v, S_2 \\ \\ \hline \text{so, E, S} \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : v, S_2 \\ \end{array}$$

- The "threading" of the store enforces an evaluation sequence
 - e₁ must be evaluated first to produce S₁
 - Then e₂ can be evaluated
- The result of evaluating \boldsymbol{e}_1 is a Bool. Why?

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

22

Operational Semantics of Sequences

so, E, S
$$\vdash$$
 e₁ : v₁, S₁
so, E, S₁ \vdash e₂ : v₂, S₂
...
so, E, S_{n-1} \vdash e_n : v_n , S_n
so, E, S \vdash { e₁; ...; e_n; } : v_n, S_n

- Again the threading of the store expresses the intended evaluation sequence
- · Only the last value is used
- But all the side-effects are collected

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

23

Operational Semantics of while (I)

so, E, S
$$\vdash$$
 e₁: Bool(false), S₁
so, E, S \vdash while e₁ loop e₂ pool: void, S₁

- If e₁ evaluates to false the loop terminates
 - With the side-effects from the evaluation of e_1
 - And with result value void
- Type checking ensures e_1 evaluates to a Bool

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of while (II)

```
\begin{aligned} \text{so, E, S} &\vdash \text{e}_1 : \text{Bool(true), S}_1 \\ \text{so, E, S}_1 &\vdash \text{e}_2 : \text{v, S}_2 \\ \\ \underline{\text{so, E, S}_2} &\vdash \text{while e}_1 \text{ loop e}_2 \text{ pool : void, S}_3 \\ \\ \underline{\text{so, E, S}} &\vdash \text{while e}_1 \text{ loop e}_2 \text{ pool : void, S}_3 \end{aligned}
```

- Note the sequencing $(S \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_3)$
- · Note how looping is expressed
 - Evaluation of "while ..." is expressed in terms of the evaluation of itself in another state
- The result of evaluating e2 is discarded
 - Only the side-effect is preserved

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

25

Operational Semantics of let Expressions (I)

```
so, E, S \vdash e<sub>1</sub>: v<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>
so, ?, ? \vdash e<sub>2</sub>: v, S<sub>2</sub>
so, E, S \vdash let id: T \leftarrow e<sub>1</sub> in e<sub>2</sub>: v<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>
```

- In what context should e₂ be evaluated?
 - Environment like E but with a new binding of id to a fresh location I_{new}
 - Store like S_{1} but with I_{new} mapped to ν_{1}

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of let Expressions (II)

- We write $I_{\text{new}} = \text{newloc}(S)$ to say that I_{new} is a location not already used in S
 - newloc is like the memory allocation function
- The operational rule for let:

```
\begin{split} &so, \, E, \, S \, \vdash \, e_1 : \, v_1, \, S_1 \\ &I_{new} = newloc(S_1) \\ &so, \, E[I_{new}/id] \, , \, S_1[v_1/I_{new}] \, \vdash \, e_2 : v_2, \, S_2 \\ &so, \, E, \, S \, \vdash \, let \, id : \, T \leftarrow e_1 \, ln \, e_2 : \, v_2, \, S_2 \end{split}
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of new

- Informal semantics of new T
 - Allocate locations to hold all attributes of an object of class $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$
 - Essentially, allocate a new object
 - Initialize attributes with their default values
 - Evaluate the initializers and set the resulting attribute values
 - Return the newly allocated object

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

28

Default Values

- For each class A there is a default value denoted by D_A
 - $-D_{int} = Int(0)$
 - D_{bool} = Bool(false)
 - D_{string} = String(0, "")
 - $-D_A = void$ (for any other class A)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

29

More Notation

• For a class A we write

class(A) = $(a_1 : T_1 \leftarrow e_1, ..., a_n : T_n \leftarrow e_n)$ where

- a_i are the attributes (including the inherited ones)
- T_i are their declared types
- \mathbf{e}_{i} are the initializers

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of new

 new SELF_TYPE allocates an object with the same dynamic type as self

```
\begin{array}{l} T_0 = \text{if } (T == \text{SELF\_TYPE and so} = X(...)) \text{ then } X \text{ else } T \\ \text{class}(T_0) = (a_1 : T_1 \leftarrow e_1,..., a_n : T_n \leftarrow e_n) \\ I_i = \text{newloc}(S) \text{ for } i = 1,...,n \\ v = T_0(a_1 = I_1,...,a_n = I_n) \\ S_1 = S[D_{T_1}/I_1,...,D_{T_n}/I_n] \\ E' = [a_1 : I_1,...,a_n : I_n] \\ v, E', S_1 \vdash \{\ a_1 \leftarrow e_1; ...; \ a_n \leftarrow e_n; \ \} : v_n, \ S_2 \\ \text{so, E, S} \vdash \text{new } T : v, \ S_2 \end{array}
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Notes on Operational Semantics of new.

- The first three steps allocate the object
- · The remaining steps initialize it
 - By evaluating a sequence of assignments
- · State in which the initializers are evaluated
 - Self is the current object
 - Only the attributes are in scope (same as in typing)
 - Initial values of attributes are the defaults

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of Method Dispatch

- Informal semantics of e_0 . $f(e_1,...,e_p)$
 - Evaluate the arguments in order $e_1,...,e_n$
 - Evaluate e_0 to the target object
 - Let X be the <u>dynamic</u> type of the target object
 - Fetch from \boldsymbol{X} the definition of \boldsymbol{f} (with \boldsymbol{n} args.)
 - Create n new locations and an environment that maps f's formal arguments to those locations
 - I nitialize the locations with the actual arguments
 - Set self to the target object and evaluate f's body

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

33

31

More Notation

 For a class A and a method f of A (possibly inherited) we write:

 $impI(A, f) = (x_1, ..., x_n, e_{body})$ where

- \boldsymbol{x}_{i} are the names of the formal arguments
- e_{body} is the body of the method

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Operational Semantics of Dispatch

```
so, E, S \vdash e<sub>1</sub> : V<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>

so, E, S<sub>1</sub> \vdash e<sub>2</sub> : V<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>

...

so, E, S<sub>n-1</sub> \vdash e<sub>n</sub> : V<sub>n</sub>, S<sub>n</sub>

so, E, S<sub>n</sub> \vdash e<sub>0</sub> : V<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>n+1</sub>

v<sub>0</sub> = X(a<sub>1</sub> = I<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>m</sub> = I<sub>m</sub>)

impl(X, f) = (x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub>, e<sub>body</sub>)

I<sub>xi</sub> = newloc(S<sub>n+1</sub>) for i = 1,...,n

E' = [a<sub>1</sub> : I<sub>1</sub>,...,a<sub>m</sub> : I<sub>m</sub>][x<sub>1</sub>/I<sub>x<sub>1</sub></sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>/I<sub>x<sub>n</sub></sub>]

S<sub>n+2</sub> = S<sub>n+1</sub>[V<sub>1</sub>/I<sub>x<sub>1</sub></sub>,...,v<sub>n</sub>/I<sub>x<sub>n</sub></sub>]

v<sub>0</sub>, E', S<sub>n+2</sub> \vdash e<sub>body</sub> : v, S<sub>n+3</sub>

so, E, S \vdash e<sub>0</sub>-f(e<sub>1</sub>,...,e<sub>n</sub>) : v, S<sub>n+3</sub>
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Notes on Operational Semantics of Dispatch

- The body of the method is invoked with
 - E mapping formal arguments and self's attributes
 - S like the caller's except with actual arguments bound to the locations allocated for formals
- The notion of the frame is implicit
 - New locations are allocated for actual arguments
- · The semantics of static dispatch is similar

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Runtime Errors

Operational rules do not cover all cases Consider the dispatch example:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{so, E, } S_n \vdash e_0 : v_0, S_{n+1} \\ v_0 = X(a_1 = I_1, ..., \ a_m = I_m) \\ \text{Impl}(X, f) = (x_1, ..., \ x_n, e_{body}) \\ \dots \\ \text{so, E, S} \vdash e_0.f(e_1, ..., e_n) : v, \ S_{n+3} \end{array}$$

What happens if impl(X, f) is not defined? Cannot happen in a well-typed program

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

37

Runtime Errors (Cont.)

- There are some runtime errors that the type checker does not prevent
 - A dispatch on void
 - Division by zero
 - Substring out of range
 - Heap overflow
- In such cases execution must abort gracefully
 - With an error message, not with segfault

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13

Conclusions

- · Operational rules are very precise & detailed
 - Nothing is left unspecified
 - Read them carefully
- Most languages do not have a well specified operational semantics
- When portability is important an operational semantics becomes essential

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 13