(will be inserted by the editor)

Multi-point Codes from the GGS Curves

Chuangqiang Hu · Shudi Yang

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract This paper is concerned with the construction of algebraic geometric codes defined from GGS curves. It is of significant use to describe bases for the Riemann-Roch spaces associated to some totally ramified places, which enables us to study multi-point AG codes. Along this line, we characterize explicitly the Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps by an exhaustive computation of the basis for Riemann-Roch spaces from GGS curves. Additionally, we determine the floor of a certain type of divisor and investigate the properties of AG codes. Finally, we apply these results to find multi-point codes with excellent parameters. As one of the examples, a presented code with parameters [216, 190, ≥ 18] over \mathbb{F}_{64} yields a new record.

Keywords Algebraic geometric codes · GGS curve · Weierstrass semigroup · Weierstrass pure gap

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 14H55 · 11R58

1 Introduction

In the early 1980s, Goppa [12] constructed algebraic geometric codes (AG codes for short) from algebraic curves. Since then, the study of AG codes becomes an important instrument in the theory of error-correcting codes. Roughly speaking, the parameters of an AG code are good when the underlying curve has many rational points with respect to its genus. For this reason

Yau Mathematical Science Center, Tsinghua University, Peking 100084, P.R. China E-mail: huchq@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Shandong 273165, P.R.China E-mail: yangshudi7902@126.com

C. Hu

S. Yang

maximal curves, that is curves attaining the Hasse-Weil upper bound, have been widely investigated in the literature: for example the Hermitian curve and its quotients, the Suzuki curve, the Klein quartic and the GK curve. In this work we will study multi-point AG codes on the GGS curves.

In order to construct good AG codes we need to study Weierstrass semi-groups and pure gaps. Their use dates back to the theory of one-point codes. For example, the authors in [26,14,28,29] examined one-point codes from Hermitian curves and develop efficient methods to decode them. Korchmáros and Nagy [19] computed the Weierstrass semigroup of a degree-three closed point of the Hermitian curve. Matthews [24] determined the Weierstrass semigroup of any r-tuple rational points on the quotient of the Hermitian curve. As is known, Weierstrass pure gap is also a useful tool in coding theory. Garcia, Kim and Lax improved the Goppa bound using arithmetical structure of the Weierstrass gaps at one place in [9,10]. The concept of pure gaps of a pair of points on a curve was initiated by Homma and Kim [15], and it had been pushed forward by Carvalho and Torres [4] to several points. Maharaj and Matthews [21] extended this construction by introducing the notion of the floor of a divisor and obtained improved bounds on the parameters of AG codes.

We mention that Maharaj [20] showed that Riemann-Roch spaces of divisors from fiber products of Kummer covers of the projective line, can be decomposed as a direct sum of Riemann-Roch spaces of divisors of the projective line. Maharaj, Matthewsa and Pirsic [22] determined explicit bases for large classes of Riemann-Roch spaces of the Hermitian function field. Along this research line, Hu and Yang [16] gave other explicit bases for Riemann-Roch spaces of divisors over Kummer extensions, which makes it convenient to determine the pure gaps.

In this work, we focus our attention on the GGS curves, which are maximal curves constructed by Garcia, Güneri and Stichtenoth [8] over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$ defined by the equations

$$\begin{cases} x^q + x = y^{q+1}, \\ y^{q^2} - y = z^m, \end{cases}$$

where q is a prime power and $m=(q^n+1)/(q+1)$ with n>1 to be an odd integer. Obviously the GGS curve is a generalization of the GK curve initiated by Giulietti and Korchmáros [11] where we take n=3. Recall that Fanali and Giulietti [7] have investigated one-point AG codes over the GK curves and obtained linear codes with better parameters with respect to those known previously. Two-point and multi-point AG codes on the GK maximal curves have been studied in [6] and [3], respectively. Bartoli, Montanucci and Zini [2] examined one-point AG codes from the GGS curves. Inspired by the above work and [16,5], here we will examine multi-point AG codes arising from GGS curves. To be precise, an explicit basis for the corresponding Riemann-Roch space is determined by constructing a related set of lattice points. The properties of AG codes from GGS curves are also considered. Then the basis is

utilized to characterize the Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps with respect to several totally ramified places. In addition, we give an effective algorithm to compute the floor of divisors. Finally, our results will lead us to find new codes with better parameters in comparison with the existing codes in MinT's Tables [25]. A new record-giving [216, 190, \geq 18]-code over \mathbb{F}_{64} is presented as one of the examples.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 focuses on the construction of bases for the Riemann-Roch space from GGS curves. Section 3 studies the properties of the related AG codes. In Section 4 we determine the Weierstrass semigroups and the pure gaps. Section 5 devotes to the floor of divisors from GGS curves. Finally, in Section 6 we employ the results in the previous sections to construct multi-point codes with excellent parameters.

2 Bases for Riemann-Roch spaces from GGS curves

Throughout this paper, we always let q be a prime power and n > 1 be an odd integer. The GGS curve GGS(q,n) over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$ is defined by the equations

$$\begin{cases} x^q + x = y^{q+1}, \\ y^{q^2} - y = z^m, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where $m=(q^n+1)/(q+1)$. The genus of $\mathrm{GGS}(q,n)$ is $(q-1)(q^{n+1}+q^n-q^2)/2$ and there are $q^{2n+2}-q^{n+3}+q^{n+2}+1$ rational places, see [8] for more details. Especially when n = 3, the equation (1) gives the well-known maximal curve introduced by Giulietti and Korchmáros [11], the so-called GK curve, which is not a subcover of the corresponding Hermitian curve.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ the rational place of this curve except for the one centered at infinity P_{∞} . Take $Q_{\beta} := \sum_{\alpha^q + \alpha = \beta^{q+1}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta,0}$ where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then $\deg(Q_{\beta}) = q$. For later use, we write $P_0 := \mathcal{P}_{0,0,0}$ and $Q_0 := P_0 + P_1 + \cdots + P_{q-1}$.

The following proposition describes some principle divisors from GGS curves.

Proposition 1 Let the curve GGS(q, n) be given in (1) and assume that α_{μ} with $0 \le \mu < q$ are the solutions of $x^q + x = 0$. Then we obtain

- (1) $\operatorname{div}(x \alpha_{\mu}) = m(q+1)P_{\mu} m(q+1)P_{\infty},$ (2) $\operatorname{div}(y \beta) = mQ_{\beta} mqP_{\infty} \text{ for } \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2},$ (3) $\operatorname{div}(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} Q_{\beta} q^3P_{\infty}.$

For convenience, we use Q_{ν} $(0 \le \nu \le q^2 - 1)$ to represent the divisors Q_{β} $(\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2})$. In particular, $Q_{\nu}|_{\nu=0} = Q_{\beta}|_{\beta=0}$.

For a function field F, the Riemann-Roch vector space with respect to a divisor G is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \left\{ f \in F \middle| \operatorname{div}(f) + G \geqslant 0 \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Let $\ell(G)$ be the dimension of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. From the famous Riemann-Roch Theorem, we know that

$$\ell(G) - \ell(W - G) = 1 - g + \deg(G),$$

where W is a canonical divisor and g is the genus of the associated curve. In this section, we consider a divisor $G := \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$ from the GGS curve GGS(q, n). Actually, we can show that the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is generated by some elements, say $E_{i,j,k}$ for some i, j, k, and the number of such elements equals $\ell(G)$. To see this, we proceed as follows.

Let $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{q-1})$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{q^2-1})$. For $(i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{q^2+q-1}$, we define

$$E_{i,j,k} := z^i \prod_{\mu=1}^{q-1} (x - \alpha_\mu)^{j_\mu} \prod_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} (y - \beta_\nu)^{k_\nu}.$$
 (2)

Set $|j| = \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} j_{\mu}$ and $|k| = \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} k_{\nu}$. Here and thereafter, we denote |v| to be the sum of all the coordinates of a given vector v. By Proposition 1, one can compute the divisor of $E_{i,j,k}$:

$$\operatorname{div}(E_{i,j,k}) = iP_0 + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} (i + m(q+1)j_{\mu})P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} (i + mk_{\nu})Q_{\nu} - (q^3i + m(q+1)|j| + mq|k|)P_{\infty}.$$
(3)

For later use, we denote by $\lfloor x \rfloor$ the largest integer not greater than x and by $\lceil x \rceil$ the smallest integer not less than x. It is easy to show that $j = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right\rceil$ if and only if $0 \leq \beta j - \alpha < \beta$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Put $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{q-1})$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{q^2-1})$. Let us define a set of lattice points for $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^{q^2+q}$,

$$\Omega_{r,s,t} := \left\{ (i, j, k) \middle| i + r_0 \geqslant 0, \\
0 \leqslant i + m(q+1)j_{\mu} + r_{\mu} < m(q+1) \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
0 \leqslant i + mk_{\nu} + s_{\nu} < m \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1, \\
q^3 i + m(q+1)|j| + mq|k| \leqslant t \right\},$$

or equivalently.

$$\Omega_{r,s,t} := \left\{ (i, j, k) \middle| i + r_0 \geqslant 0, \right.$$

$$j_{\mu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1,$$

$$k_{\nu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i - s_{\nu}}{m} \right\rceil \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1,$$

$$q^{3}i + m(q+1)|\mathbf{j}| + mq|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant t$$
 (4)

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of our key result. However, the proof of this lemma is technical, and will be completed later.

Lemma 1 The number of lattice points in $\Omega_{r,s,t}$ can be expressed as:

$$\#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t} = 1 - g + t + |\boldsymbol{r}| + q|\boldsymbol{s}|,$$

for
$$t \ge 2g - 1 - q^3 w$$
, where $w = \min_{\substack{0 \le \mu \le q^{-1} \\ 1 \le \nu \le q^2 - 1}} \left\{ r_{\mu}, s_{\nu} \right\}$.

Let $G := \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$. It is trivial that $\deg(G) = |r| + q|s| + t$. Now we can easily prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 1 Let $G := \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$. The elements $E_{i,j,k}$ with $(i,j,k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t}$ constitute a basis for the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$. In particular $\ell(G) = \#\Omega_{r,s,t}$.

Proof Let $(i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t}$. It follows from the definition that $E_{i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, where $G = \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$. From Equation (3), we have $v_{P_0}(E_{i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}) = i$, which indicates that the valuation of $E_{i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}$ at the rational place P_0 uniquely depends on i. Since lattice points in $\Omega_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t}$ provide distinct values of i, the elements $E_{i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}$ are linearly independent of each other, with $(i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t}$. In order to indicate that they constitute a basis for $\mathcal{L}(G)$, the only thing is to prove that

$$\ell(G) = \#\Omega_{r,s,t}.$$

For the case of r_0 sufficiently large, it follows from the Riemann-Roch Theorem and Lemma 1 that

$$\ell(G) = 1 - g + \deg(G)$$

= 1 - g + |r| + q|s| + t = #\Omega_{r,s,t}.

This implies that $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is spanned by elements $E_{i,j,k}$ with (i,j,k) in the set $\Omega_{r,s,t}$.

For the general case, we choose $r'_0 > r_0$ large enough and set $G' := r'_0 P_0 + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} r_\mu P_\mu + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_\nu Q_\nu + t P_\infty$, $\mathbf{r'} = (r'_0, r_1, \dots, r_{q-1})$. From above argument, we know that the elements $E_{i,\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}$ with $(i,\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{r'},\mathbf{s},t}$ span the whole space of $\mathcal{L}(G')$. Remember that $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(G')$, which can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \Big\{ f \in \mathcal{L}(G') \Big| v_{P_0}(f) \geqslant -r_0 \Big\}.$$

Thus, we choose $f \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ and suppose that

$$f = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \Omega_{r',s,t}} a_i E_{i,j,k},$$

since $f \in \mathcal{L}(G')$. The valuation of f at P_0 is $v_{P_0}(f) = \min_{a_i \neq 0} \{i\}$. Then the inequality $v_{P_0}(f) \geq -r_0$ gives that, if $a_i \neq 0$, then $i \geq -r_0$. Equivalently, if $i < -r_0$, then $a_i = 0$. From the definition of $\Omega_{r,s,t}$ and $\Omega_{r',s,t}$, we get that

$$f = \sum_{i,j,k \in \Omega_{r,s,t}} a_i E_{i,j,k}.$$

Then the theorem follows.

We now turn to prove Lemma 1 which requires a series of results listed as follows.

Definition 1 Let (a_1, \dots, a_k) be a sequence of positive integers such that the greatest common divisor is 1. Define $d_i = \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_i)$ and $A_i = \{a_1/d_i, \dots, a_i/d_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $d_0 = 0$. Let S_i be the semigroup generated by A_i . If $a_i/d_i \in S_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$, we call the sequence (a_1, \dots, a_k) telescopic. A semigroup is called telescopic if it is generated by a telescopic sequence.

Lemma 2 (Lemma 6.4, [18]) If (a_1, \dots, a_k) is telescopic and $M \in S_k$, then there exist uniquely determined non-negative integers $0 \le x_i < d_{i-1}/d_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$, such that

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i a_i.$$

We call this representation the normal representation of M.

Lemma 3 (Lemma 6.5, [18]) For the semigroup generated by the telescopic sequence (a_1, \dots, a_k) we have

$$l_g(S_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k (d_{i-1}/d_i - 1)a_i,$$

$$g(S_k) = (l_g(S_k) + 1)/2,$$

where $l_g(S_k)$ and $g(S_k)$ denote the largest gap and the number of gaps of S_k , respectively.

Lemma 4 Let $m=(q^n+1)/(q+1)$, $g=(q-1)(q^{n+1}+q^n-q^2)/2$ for an odd integer n>1. Let $t\in\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the lattice point set $\Psi(t)$ defined by

$$\Big\{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\Big| 0\leqslant \alpha < m, 0\leqslant \beta \leqslant q, \gamma\geqslant 0, q^3\alpha + mq\beta + m(q+1)\gamma\leqslant t\Big\},$$

If $t \ge 2g - 1$, then $\Psi(t)$ has cardinality

$$\#\Psi(t) = 1 - g + t.$$

Proof Let $a_1 = q^3, a_2 = mq, a_3 = m(q+1)$. It is easily verified that the sequence (a_1, a_2, a_3) is telescopic. By Lemma 2 every element M in S_3 has a unique representation $M = a_1\alpha + a_2\beta + a_3\gamma$, where S_3 is the semigroup generated by (a_1, a_2, a_3) . One obtains from Lemma 3 that

$$l_g(S_3) = (q-1)(q^n+1)(q+1) - q^3,$$

$$g(S_3) = \frac{1}{2}(l_g(S_3) + 1) = \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(q^{n+1} + q^n - q^2) = g.$$

It follows that the set $\Psi(t)$ has cardinality 1-g+t provided that $t \ge 2g-1 = l_g(S_3)$, which finishes the proof.

From Lemma 4, we get the number of lattice points in $\Omega_{0,0,t}$.

Lemma 5 If $t \ge 2g - 1$, then the number of lattice points in $\Omega_{0,0,t}$ is

$$\#\Omega_{0,0,t} = 1 - g + t.$$

Proof Note that

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},t} := \left\{ (i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \middle| i \geqslant 0, \\
j_{\mu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
k_{\nu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i}{m} \right\rceil \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1, \\
q^3 i + m(q+1) |\mathbf{j}| + mq |\mathbf{k}| \leqslant t \right\}.$$
(5)

Set $i := \alpha + m(\beta + (q+1)\gamma)$ with $0 \le \alpha < m$, $0 \le \beta \le q$ and $\gamma \ge 0$. Then Equation (5) gives that

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},t} \cong \Big\{ (\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \Big| 0 \leqslant \alpha < m, 0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant q, \gamma \geqslant 0, q^3\alpha + mq\beta + (q^n+1)\gamma \leqslant t \Big\}.$$

Here and thereafter, the notation $A \cong B$ means that two lattice point sets A, B are bijective. Thus the assertion $\#\Omega_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},t} = 1 - g + t$ is derived from Lemma 4.

Lemma 6 The lattice point set $\Omega_{r,s,t}$ as defined above is symmetric with respect to $r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_{q-1}$ and s_1, \cdots, s_{q^2-1} , respectively. In other words, we have $\#\Omega_{r,s,t} = \#\Omega_{r',s',t}$, where the sequences $(r_i)_{i=0}^{q-1}$ and $(s_i)_{i=1}^{q^2-1}$ are equal to $(r_i')_{i=0}^{q-1}$ and $(s_i')_{i=1}^{q^2-1}$ up to permutation, respectively.

Proof Recall that $\Omega_{r,s,t}$ is defined by

$$\Omega_{r,s,t} := \left\{ (i', j', k') \middle| i' + r_0 \geqslant 0, \right.$$
$$j'_{\mu} = \left[\frac{-i' - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right] \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1,$$

$$k'_{\nu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i' - s_{\nu}}{m} \right\rceil \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1,$$
$$q^3 i' + m(q+1)|\mathbf{j'}| + mq|\mathbf{k'}| \leqslant t \right\},$$

where $j' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_{q-1})$ and $k' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_{q^2-1})$. It is important to write i' = i + m(q+1)l with $0 \le i < m(q+1)$. Let $j'_{\mu} = j_{\mu} - l$ for $\mu \ge 1$, $k'_{\nu} = k_{\nu} - (q+1)l$ for $\nu \ge 1$. Then

$$\Omega_{r,s,t} \cong \left\{ (i,l,\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}) \middle| i + m(q+1)l \geqslant -r_0, 0 \leqslant i < m(q+1), \\
j_{\mu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
k_{\nu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i - s_{\nu}}{m} \right\rceil \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1, \\
q^3 i + m(q+1) \left(l + |\boldsymbol{j}| \right) + mq|\boldsymbol{k}| \leqslant t \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{q-1})$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{q^2-1})$. The first inequality in $\Omega_{r,s,t}$ gives that $l \geqslant j_0 := \left\lceil \frac{-i - r_0}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil$. So we write $l = j_0 + \iota$ with $\iota \geqslant 0$. Then

$$\Omega_{r,s,t} \cong \left\{ (i, \iota, j_0, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}) \middle| 0 \leqslant i < m(q+1), \iota \geqslant 0, \\
j_{\mu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 0, 1, \dots, q-1, \\
k_{\nu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i - s_{\nu}}{m} \right\rceil \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1, \\
q^3 i + m(q+1) (j_0 + \iota + |\boldsymbol{j}|) + mq|\boldsymbol{k}| \leqslant t \right\}.$$

The right hand side means that the number of the lattice points does not depend on the order of r_{μ} , $0 \leq \mu \leq q-1$, and the order of s_{ν} , $1 \leq \nu \leq q^2-1$, which concludes the desired assertion.

Lemma 7 Let $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{q-1})$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{q^2-1})$. The following equality holds:

$$\#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t} = \#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{s},t} + |\boldsymbol{r}|,$$

where $r_{\mu} \geqslant 0$ for $\mu \geqslant 0$, $s_{\nu} \geqslant 0$ for $\nu \geqslant 1$, and $t \geqslant 2g - 1$.

Proof Let us take the sets $\Omega_{r,s,t}$ and $\Omega_{r',s,t}$ into consideration, where $r' = (0, r_1, \dots, r_{q-1})$. It follows from the definition that the complement set $\Delta := \Omega_{r,s,t} \setminus \Omega_{r',s,t}$ is given by

$$\left\{ (i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \middle| -r_0 \leqslant i < 0, \\ j_{\mu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \right.$$

$$k_{\nu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i - s_{\nu}}{m} \right\rceil \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1,$$

 $q^3 i + m(q+1)|\mathbf{j}| + mq|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant t$.

It is trivial that $\Delta = \emptyset$ if $r_0 = 0$. To determine the cardinality of Δ with $r_0 > 0$, we denote $i := \alpha + m(\beta + (q+1)\gamma)$ with α, β, γ satisfying $0 \le \alpha < m$, $0 \le \beta \le q$ and $\gamma \le -1$. Then $j_{\mu} \le -\gamma$ for $\mu \ge 1$, $k_{\nu} \le -\beta - (q+1)\gamma$ for $\nu \ge 1$. A straightforward computation shows

$$q^{3}i + m(q+1)|\mathbf{j}| + mq|\mathbf{k}| \le q^{3}\alpha + mq\beta + m(q+1)\gamma$$

 $\le q^{3}(m-1) + mq^{2} - m(q+1) = 2q - 1.$

So the last inequality in Δ always holds for all $t \ge 2g-1$, which means that the cardinality of Δ is determined by the first inequality, that is $\#\Delta = r_0$. Then we must have

$$\#\Omega_{r,s,t} = \#\Omega_{r',s,t} + r_0,$$

whenever $r_0 \ge 0$. Repeating the above routine and using Lemma 6, we get

$$\#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t} = \#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{s},t} + |\boldsymbol{r}|,$$

where
$$\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_{q-1}).$$

Lemma 8 Let $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{q^2-1})$. The following identity holds:

$$\#\Omega_{\mathbf{0},s,t} = \#\Omega_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},t} + q|s|,$$

where
$$s_i \ge 0$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, q^2 - 1$ and $t \ge 2g - 1$.

Proof For convenience, let us denote $\mathbf{r} := (s_0, s_0, \cdots, s_0)$ to be the q-tuple with all entries equal s_0 , where $s_0 \ge 0$, and write $\Omega_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t}$ as $\Gamma_{s_0, (s_1, \cdots, s_{q^2-1}), t}$. To get the desired conclusion, we first claim that

$$\#\Gamma_{s_0,(s_1,\dots,s_{q^2-1}),t} = \#\Gamma_{s'_0,(s'_1,\dots,s'_{q^2-1}),t},\tag{6}$$

where the sequence $(s_i)_{i=0}^{q^2-1}$ is equal to $(s_i')_{i=0}^{q^2-1}$ up to permutation. Note that $\Gamma_{s_0,(s_1,\cdots,s_{q^2-1}),t}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}
(i', j', k'_1, \dots, k'_{q^2 - 1}) \middle| i' + s_0 \ge 0, \\
0 \le i' + m(q + 1)j' + s_0 < m(q + 1), \\
0 \le i' + mk'_{\nu} + s_{\nu} < m \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1, \\
q^3 i' + m(q + 1)(q - 1)j' + mq|\mathbf{k}'| \le t
\end{cases},$$

where $|\mathbf{k}'| = \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} k'_{\nu}$. By setting $i' := i + m\kappa$ where $0 \le i < m$ and $k'_{\nu} := k_{\nu} - \kappa$ for $\nu \ge 1$, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{s_0,(s_1,\cdots,s_{q^2-1}),t} \cong \left\{ (i,\kappa,j',k_1,\cdots,k_{q^2-1}) \middle| 0 \leqslant i < m, i+m\kappa+s_0 \geqslant 0, \right.$$

$$0 \leq i + m\kappa + m(q+1)j' + s_0 < m(q+1),$$

$$0 \leq i + mk_{\nu} + s_{\nu} < m \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1,$$

$$q^3i + m(q+1)(q-1)j' + mq(\kappa + |\mathbf{k}|) \leq t$$

Put $\kappa := k_0 + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon := -(q+1)j_0 + \eta$, $0 \leqslant \eta < q+1$ and $k_0 := \left\lceil \frac{-i - s_0}{m} \right\rceil$.

One gets that $0 \le i + mk_0 + s_0 < m$, which leads to $0 \le i + m\kappa + m(q+1)j_0 - m\eta + s_0 < m$. So the inequality $0 \le i + m\kappa + m(q+1)j_0 + s_0 < m + m\eta \le m(q+1)$ holds because $\varepsilon = -(q+1)j_0 + \eta$. Thus we must have $j_0 = j' \le 0$. Therefore

$$\Gamma_{s_0,(s_1,\dots,s_{q^2-1}),t} \cong \left\{ (i,j_0,\eta,k_0,k_1,\dots,k_{q^2-1}) \middle| 0 \leqslant i < m, j_0 \leqslant 0, \\ 0 \leqslant \eta < q+1, \\ k_{\nu} = \left\lceil \frac{-i-s_{\nu}}{m} \right\rceil \text{ for } \nu = 0, 1, \dots, q^2 - 1, \\ q^3 i - m(q+1)j_0 + mq(k_0 + \eta + |\mathbf{k}|) \leqslant t \right\}.$$

The right hand side means that the lattice points do not depend on the order of s_{ν} with $0 \leq \nu \leq q^2 - 1$, by observing that k_{ν} is determined by s_{ν} . In other words, we have shown that the number of lattice points in $\Gamma_{s_0,(s_1,\dots,s_{q^2-1}),t}$ does not depend on the order of s_{ν} with $0 \leq \nu \leq q^2 - 1$, concluding the claim we presented by (6). So it follows from (6) and Lemma 7 that

$$\begin{split} \# \varGamma_{0,(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_{q^2-1}),t} &= \# \varGamma_{s_1,(0,s_2,\cdots,s_{q^2-1}),t} \\ &= \# \varGamma_{0,(0,s_2,\cdots,s_{q^2-1}),t} + q s_1. \end{split}$$

By repeatedly using Lemma 7, we get

$$\#\Gamma_{0,(s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_{q^2-1}),t} = \#\Gamma_{0,(0,0,\cdots,0),t} + q(s_1+s_2+\cdots+s_{q^2-1}),$$

concluding the desired formula $\#\Omega_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{s},t} = \#\Omega_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},t} + q|\mathbf{s}|$.

With the above preparations, we are now in a position to give the proof of Lemma 1.

Proof [Proof of Lemma 1] By taking $w := \min_{\substack{0 \le \mu \le q^{-1} \\ 1 \le \nu \le q^2 - 1}} \left\{ r_{\mu}, s_{\nu} \right\}$, we obtain from the definition that $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t}$ is equivalent to $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r}', \boldsymbol{s}', t'}$, where $\boldsymbol{r}' = (r_0 - w, \cdots, r_{q-1} - w)$, $\boldsymbol{s}' = (s_1 - w, \cdots, s_{q^2-1} - w)$ and $t' = t + q^3 w$. Hence $\#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t} = \#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r}', \boldsymbol{s}', t'}$. On the other hand, by observing that $r_{\mu} - w \ge 0$, $s_{\nu} - w \ge 0$ and $t' \ge 2g - 1$, we establish from Lemmas 5, 7 and 8 that

$$\begin{split} \#\Omega_{\mathbf{r'},\mathbf{s'},t'} &= \#\Omega_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{s'},t'} + |\mathbf{r'}| \\ &= \#\Omega_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},t'} + q|\mathbf{s'}| + |\mathbf{r'}| \\ &= 1 - g + t' + q|\mathbf{s'}| + |\mathbf{r'}| \\ &= 1 - q + t + q|\mathbf{s}| + |\mathbf{r}|. \end{split}$$

It then follows that

$$\#\Omega_{r,s,t} = 1 - g + t + q|s| + |r|,$$

completing the proof of Lemma 1.

We finish this section with a result that allows us to give a new form of the basis for our Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$ with $G = \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$. Denote $\boldsymbol{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{q-1})$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma} := (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{q^2-1})$. For $(u, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{q^2+q-1}$, we define

$$\Lambda_{u,\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\gamma}} := \tau^u \prod_{\mu=1}^{q-1} f_{\mu}^{\lambda_{\mu}} \prod_{\mu=1}^{q^2-1} h_{\nu}^{\gamma_{\nu}},$$

where $\tau:=\frac{z^{q^{n-3}}}{x-\alpha_0}, f_{\mu}:=\frac{x-\alpha_{\mu}}{x-\alpha_0}$ for $\mu\geqslant 1$, and $h_{\nu}:=\frac{y-\beta_{\nu}}{y-\beta_0}$ for $\nu\geqslant 1$. The divisor of $\Lambda_{u,\lambda,\gamma}$ is computed from Proposition 1 that

$$\operatorname{div}(\Lambda_{u,\lambda,\gamma}) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} \left(q^{n-3}u + m(q+1)\lambda_{\mu} - m|\gamma| \right) P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} (q^{n-3}u + m\gamma_{\nu}) Q_{\nu} - \left((m(q+1) - q^{n-3})u + m(q+1)|\lambda| + m|\gamma| \right) P_0 + u P_{\infty}.$$

There is a close relationship between the elements $\Lambda_{u,\lambda,\gamma}$ and $E_{i,j,k}$ explored as follows.

Corollary 1 Let $G := \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$. Then the elements $\Lambda_{u,\lambda,\gamma}$ with $(u,\lambda,\gamma) \in \Theta_{r,s,t}$ form a basis for the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$, where the set $\Theta_{r,s,t}$ is given by

$$\begin{cases}
(u, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \middle| u \geqslant -t, \\
0 \leqslant q^{n-3}u + m\gamma_{\nu} + s_{\nu} < m \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^{2} - 1 \\
0 \leqslant q^{n-3}u + m(q+1)\lambda_{\mu} - m|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| + r_{\mu} < m(q+1) \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
(m(q+1) - q^{n-3})u + m(q+1)|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| + m|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| \leqslant r_{0}
\end{cases}.$$
(7)

In addition we have $\#\Theta_{r,s,t} = \#\Omega_{r,s,t}$.

Proof It suffices to prove that the set

$$\left\{ \Lambda_{u, \lambda, \gamma} \middle| (u, \lambda, \gamma) \in \Theta_{r, s, t} \right\}$$

equals the set

$$\Big\{E_{i,\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}}\Big|(i,\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k})\in\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t}\Big\}.$$

In fact, for fixed $(u, \lambda, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^{q^2+q-1}$, we obtain $\Lambda_{u,\lambda,\gamma}$ equals $E_{i,j,k}$ with

$$i = -(m(q+1) - q^{n-3})u - m(q+1)|\lambda| - m|\gamma|$$

$$j_{\mu} = u + |\lambda| + \lambda_{\mu} \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q - 1,$$

 $k_{\nu} = (q+1)(u+|\lambda|) + |\gamma| + \gamma_{\nu} \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1.$

On the contrary, if we set

$$u = -q^{3}i - m(q+1)|\mathbf{j}| - mq|\mathbf{k}|,$$

$$\lambda_{\mu} = q^{2}i + q^{n-1}|\mathbf{j}| + m|\mathbf{k}| + j_{\mu} \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1,$$

$$\gamma_{\nu} = (q+1)(i+q^{n-3}|\mathbf{j}|) + q^{n-2}|\mathbf{k}| + k_{\nu} \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^{2}-1,$$

then $E_{i,j,k}$ is exactly the element $\Lambda_{u,\lambda,\gamma}$. Therefore, if we restrict (i,j,k) in $\Omega_{r,s,t}$, then we must have (u,λ,γ) is in $\Theta_{r,s,t}$ and vice versa. This completes the proof of the claim and hence of this corollary.

In the following, we will demonstrate an interesting property of $\#\Omega_{r,s,t}$ for GK curves with a specific vector s.

Corollary 2 Let n = 3 and the vectors r, s be given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{r} := (r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_{q-1}), \\ & \boldsymbol{s} := (s'_1, s'_2, \cdots, s'_{q^2-1}) \\ & = (\underbrace{s_1, s_1, \cdots, s_1}_{q+1}, \underbrace{s_2, s_2, \cdots, s_2}_{q+1}, \cdots, \underbrace{s_{q-1}, s_{q-1}, \cdots, s_{q-1}}_{q+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then the lattice point set $\Omega_{r,s,t}$ is symmetric with respect to $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{q-1}, t$. In other words, we have $\#\Omega_{r,s,t} = \#\Omega_{r',s,t'}$, where the sequence $(r_i)_{i=0}^q$ is equal to $(r'_i)_{i=0}^q$ up to permutation by putting $r_q := t$ and $r'_q := t'$.

Proof Denote $\mathbf{r}:=(r_0,\dot{\mathbf{r}})=(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1})$ and $\Omega_{(r_0,\dot{\mathbf{r}}),\mathbf{s},t}:=\Omega_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},t}$. By Lemma 6, it suffices to prove that

$$\#\Omega_{(r_0,\dot{r}),s,t} = \#\Theta_{(r_0,\dot{r}),s,t} = \#\Omega_{(t,\dot{r}),s,r_0}.$$

The first identity follows directly from Corollary 1. Applying Corollary 1 again gives the set $\Theta_{(r_0,\dot{r}),s,t}$ as

$$\begin{cases}
(u, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \middle| u + t \geqslant 0, \\
0 \leqslant u + m\gamma_{\nu} + s'_{\nu} < m \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^{2} - 1, \\
0 \leqslant u + m(q+1)\lambda_{\mu} - m|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| + r_{\mu} < m(q+1) \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q - 1, \\
q^{3}u + m(q+1)|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| + m|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| \leqslant r_{0}
\end{cases}.$$

From our assumption, it is obvious that $|\gamma|$ is divisible by q+1. So if we take i := u, $j_{\mu} := \lambda_{\mu} - \frac{|\gamma|}{q+1}$ for $\mu \geqslant 1$ and $k_{\nu} := \gamma_{\nu}$ for $\nu \geqslant 1$, then $\Theta_{(r_0, \dot{r}), s, t}$ is equivalent to

$$\{(i, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}) | i + t \geqslant 0,$$

$$0 \leq i + mk_{\nu} + s'_{\nu} < m \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^{2} - 1,$$

$$0 \leq i + m(q+1)j_{\mu} + r_{\mu} < m(q+1) \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q - 1,$$

$$q^{3}i + m(q+1)|\mathbf{j}| + m|\mathbf{k}| \leq r_{0}$$

The last set is exactly $\Omega_{(t,\dot{r}),s,r_0}$ by definition. Hence the second identity is just shown, completing the whole proof.

3 The AG codes from GGS curves

This section settles the properties of AG codes from GGS curves. Generally speaking, there are two classical ways of constructing AG codes associated with divisors D and G, where G is a divisor of arbitrary function field F and $D := Q_1 + \cdots + Q_N$ is another divisor of F such that Q_1, \cdots, Q_N are pairwise distinct rational places, each not belonging to the support of G. One construction is based on the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$,

$$C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G) := \left\{ (f(Q_1), \cdots, f(Q_N)) \middle| f \in \mathcal{L}(G) \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^N.$$

The other one depends on the space of differentials $\Omega(G-D)$,

$$C_{\Omega}(D,G) := \left\{ (\operatorname{res}_{Q_1}(\eta), \cdots, \operatorname{res}_{Q_N}(\eta)) \middle| \eta \in \Omega(G-D) \right\}.$$

It is well-known the codes $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ and $C_{\Omega}(D,G)$ are dual to each other. Further $C_{\Omega}(D,G)$ has parameters $[N,k_{\Omega},d_{\Omega}]$ with $k_{\Omega}=N-k$ and $d_{\Omega}\geqslant \deg(G)-(2g-2)$, where $k=\ell(G)-\ell(G-D)$ is the dimension of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$. If moreover $2g-2<\deg(G)< N$ then

$$k_{\Omega} = N + g - 1 - \deg(G).$$

We refer the reader to [26] for more information.

In this section, we will study the linear code $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ with $D:=\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$

and
$$G := \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$$
. The length of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is

$$N := \deg(D) = q^{n+2}(q^n - q + 1) - q^3.$$

It is well known that the dimension of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ is given by

$$\dim C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G) = \ell(G) - \ell(G-D). \tag{8}$$

Set R := N + 2g - 2. Since $\deg(G) > R$, we deduce from the Riemann-Roch Theorem and (8) that

$$\dim C_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G) = (1 - g + \deg(G)) - (1 - g + \deg(G - D))$$

= \deg(G) - \deg(G - D) = N,

which implies that $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ is trivial. So we only consider the case $0 \le \deg(G) \le R$.

Now, we use the following lemmas to calculate the dual of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$.

Lemma 9 (Proposition 2.2.10, [27]) Let τ be an element of the function field of the curve \mathcal{X} such that $v_{P_i}(\tau) = 1$ for all rational places P_i contained in the divisor D. Then the dual of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ is

$$C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)^{\perp} = C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,D-G+\operatorname{div}(d\tau)-\operatorname{div}(\tau)).$$

Lemma 10 (Proposition 2.2.14, [27]) Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are divisors with $G_1 = G_2 + \operatorname{div}(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in F \setminus \{0\}$ and supp $G_1 \cap \operatorname{supp} D = \operatorname{supp} G_2 \cap \operatorname{sup$ $\operatorname{supp} D = \varnothing$. Let $N := \operatorname{deg}(D)$ and $\varrho := (\rho(P_1), \cdots, \rho(P_N))$ with $P_i \in D$. Then the codes $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G_1)$ and $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G_2)$ are equivalent and

$$C_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G_2) = \varrho \cdot C_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G_1).$$

Theorem 2 Let $A := (q^n+1)(q-1)-1$, $B := mq^2(q^n-q^3)+(q^n+1)(q^2-1)-1$ and $\rho := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} z^{(q^n+1)(q-1)\sum_{j=1}^i q^{2j}}$. Then the dual code of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ is given as follows.

(1) The dual of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ is represented as

$$C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)^{\perp} = \varrho \cdot C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,\sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} (A - r_{\mu})P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} (A - s_{\nu})Q_{\nu} + (B - t)P_{\infty}),$$

where $\varrho := (\rho(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1,\beta_1,\gamma_1}), \cdots, \rho(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_N,\beta_N,\gamma_N}))$ with $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_i,\beta_i,\gamma_i} \in D$. (2) In particular, for n = 3, we have $\rho = 1$ and

$$C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)^{\perp} = C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,\sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1}(A-r_{\mu})P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1}(A-s_{\nu})Q_{\nu} + (B-t)P_{\infty}).$$

Proof Define

$$H := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}^* \middle| \exists y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}} \text{ with } y^{q^2} - y = z^m \right\}.$$

Consider the element

$$\tau := \prod_{\gamma \in H} (z - \gamma).$$

Then τ is a prime element for all places $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ in D and its divisor is

$$\operatorname{div}(\tau) = \sum_{\gamma \in H} \operatorname{div}(z - \gamma) = D - \operatorname{deg}(D) P_{\infty},$$

where $D = \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta,\gamma \\ \gamma \neq 0}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ and $N = \deg(D) = q^{n+2}(q^n - q + 1) - q^3$. Moreover by a same discussion as in the proof of Lemma 2 in [1], we have

$$\tau = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w^{\sum_{j=0}^{i} q^{2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} q^{2j+1}} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w^{\sum_{j=0}^{i} q^{2j+1}},$$

where n = 2k + 1 (note that n > 1 is odd) and $w = z^{(q^n + 1)(q - 1)}$. Then a straightforward computation shows

$$d\tau = w^{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} q^{2j+1}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w^{\sum_{j=1}^{i} q^{2j}} \right) dw$$
$$= w^{\frac{q^n - q}{q^2 - 1}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w^{\sum_{j=1}^{i} q^{2j}} \right) dw,$$
$$dw = -z^{(q^n + 1)(q - 1) - 1} dz.$$

Let $\rho := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w^{\sum_{j=1}^{i} q^{2j}}$ and denote its divisor by $\operatorname{div}(\rho)$. Set

$$A := m(q^n - q) + (q^n + 1)(q - 1) - 1,$$

$$S := q^3 A - 2g + 2.$$

Since $\operatorname{div}(dz) = (2g-2)P_{\infty}$ (see Lemma 3.8 of [13]), it follows from Proposition 1 that

$$\operatorname{div}(d\tau) = A \cdot \operatorname{div}(z) + \operatorname{div}(dz) + \operatorname{div}(\rho)$$

$$= A \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} Q_{\beta} - \left(q^3 A - 2g + 2\right) P_{\infty} + \operatorname{div}(\rho)$$

$$= A \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} P_{\mu} + A \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2 - 1} Q_{\nu} - SP_{\infty} + \operatorname{div}(\rho).$$

Let $\eta := d\tau/\tau$ be a Weil differential. The divisor of η is

$$div(\eta) = div(d\tau) - div(\tau)$$

$$= A \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} P_{\mu} + A \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2 - 1} Q_{\nu} - D + \left(deg(D) - S \right) P_{\infty} + div(\rho).$$

By writing $B:=\deg(D)-S=mq^2(q^n-q^3)+(q^n+1)(q^2-1)-1$, we establish from Lemma 9 that the dual of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ is

$$C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)^{\perp} = C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,D-G+\operatorname{div}(\eta))$$

$$= C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,\sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1}(A-r_{\mu})P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^{2}-1}(A-s_{\nu})Q_{\nu} + (B-t)P_{\infty} + \operatorname{div}(\rho)).$$

Denote $\varrho := (\rho(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1,\beta_1,\gamma_1}), \cdots, \rho(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_N,\beta_N,\gamma_N}))$ with $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_i,\beta_i,\gamma_i} \in D$. Then we deduce the first statement from Lemma 10. The second statement then follows immediately.

Theorem 3 Suppose that $0 \leq \deg(G) \leq R$. Then the dimension of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ is given by

$$\dim C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G) = \begin{cases} \#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t} & \text{if } 0 \leqslant \deg(G) < N, \\ N - \#\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t}^{\perp} & \text{if } N \leqslant \deg(G) \leqslant R, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_{r,s,t}^{\perp} := \Omega_{r',s',B-t}$ with $r' = (A - r_0, \dots, A - r_{q-1})$ and $s' = (A - s_1, \dots, A - s_{q^2-1})$.

Proof For $0 \leq \deg(G) < N$, we have by Theorem 1 and Equation (8) that

$$\dim C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G) = \ell(G) = \#\Omega_{r,s,t}.$$

For $N \leq \deg(G) \leq R$, Theorem 2 yields that

$$\dim C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G) = N - \dim C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)^{\perp} = N - \#\Omega_{r,s,t}^{\perp}.$$

So the proof is completed.

We mention that the minimum distance of $C_{\mathcal{L}}(D,G)$ follows from Goppa bounds and Theorem 3. Techniques for improving the Goppa bounds will be dealt with in the next two sections.

4 Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps

In this section, we will characterize the Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps over GGS curves, which will enables us to obtain improved bounds on the parameters of AG codes.

We first briefly introduce some corresponding definitions and notations [23]. For an arbitrary function field F, let Q_1, \dots, Q_l be distinct rational places of F, then the Weierstrass semigroup $H(Q_1, \dots, Q_l)$ is defined by

$$\left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in \mathbb{N}_0^l \middle| \exists f \in F \text{ with } (f)_\infty = \sum_{i=1}^l s_i Q_i \right\},$$

and the Weierstrass gap set $G(Q_1, \dots, Q_l)$ is defined by $\mathbb{N}_0^l \setminus H(Q_1, \dots, Q_l)$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers.

Homma and Kim [15] introduced the concept of pure gap set with respect to a pair of rational places. This was generalized by Carvalho and Torres [4] to several rational places, denoted by $G_0(Q_1, \dots, Q_l)$, which is given by

$$\{(s_1, \dots, s_l) \in \mathbb{N}^l \middle| \ell(G) = \ell(G - Q_j) \text{ for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant l, \text{ where } G = \sum_{i=1}^l s_i Q_i \}.$$

In addition, they showed that (s_1, \dots, s_l) is a pure gap at (Q_1, \dots, Q_l) if and only if

$$\ell(s_1Q_1 + \dots + s_lQ_l) = \ell((s_1 - 1)Q_1 + \dots + (s_l - 1)Q_l).$$

A useful way to calculate the Weierstrass semigroups is given as follows, which can be regarded as an easy generalization of a result due to Kim [17]

Lemma 11 For rational places Q_1, \dots, Q_l with $1 \le l \le r$, the set $H(Q_1, \dots, Q_l)$ is given by

$$\left\{ (s_1, \cdots, s_l) \in \mathbb{N}_0^l \middle| \ell(G) \neq \ell(G - Q_j) \text{ for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant l, \text{ where } G = \sum_{i=1}^l s_i Q_i \right\}.$$

In the rest of this section, we will restrict our study to the divisor $G:=\sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1}r_{\mu}P_{\mu}+tP_{\infty}$ and denote $\boldsymbol{r}=(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1})$. Our main task is to determine the Weierstrass semigroups and the pure gaps at totally ramified places $P_0,P_1,\cdots,P_{q-1},P_{\infty}$. Before we proceed, some auxiliary results are presented in the following. Denote $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{0},t}$ by $\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t}$ for the clarity of description.

Lemma 12 For the lattice point set $\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t}$, we have the following assertions.

(1) $\#\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t} = \#\Omega_{(r_0-1,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t} + 1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} \left[\frac{r_0 - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right] + q(q-1) \left[\frac{r_0}{m} \right] \leqslant \frac{t + q^3 r_0}{m(q+1)}.$$

(2) $\#\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t} = \#\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t-1} + 1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} \left\lceil \frac{q^{n-3}t - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil + q(q-1) \left\lceil \frac{q^{n-3}t}{m} \right\rceil \leqslant t + \frac{r_0 - q^{n-3}t}{m(q+1)}.$$

Proof Consider two lattice point sets $\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t}$ and $\Omega_{(r_0-1,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t}$, which are given in Equation (4). Clearly, the latter one is a subset of the former one, and the complement set Φ of $\Omega_{(r_0-1,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t}$ in $\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\cdots,r_{q-1}),t}$ is given by

$$\Phi := \left\{ (i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) \middle| i + r_0 = 0, \right.$$

$$j_{\mu} = \left[\frac{-i - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right] \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1,$$

$$k_{\nu} = \left[\frac{-i}{m} \right] \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1,$$

$$q^3 i + m(q+1) |\mathbf{j}| + mq |\mathbf{k}| \leqslant t \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{q-1})$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{q^2-1})$. It follows immediately that the set Φ is not empty if and only if

$$-q^{3}r_{0} + m(q+1)\sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} \left\lceil \frac{r_{0} - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil + mq(q^{2} - 1) \left\lceil \frac{r_{0}}{m} \right\rceil \leqslant t,$$

which concludes the first assertion.

For the second assertion, we obtain from Corollary 1 that the difference between $\#\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\dots,r_{q-1}),t}$ and $\#\Omega_{(r_0,r_1,\dots,r_{q-1}),t-1}$ is exactly the same as the

one between $\#\Theta_{r,0,t}$ and $\#\Theta_{r,0,t-1}$. In an analogous way, we define Ψ as the complementary set of $\Theta_{r,0,t-1}$ in $\Theta_{r,0,t}$, namely

$$\Psi := \left\{ (u, \lambda, \gamma) \middle| u = -t, \\ 0 \leqslant q^{n-3}u + m\gamma_{\nu} < m \text{ for } \nu = 1, \dots, q^2 - 1, \\ 0 \leqslant q^{n-3}u + m(q+1)\lambda_{\mu} - m|\gamma| + r_{\mu} < m(q+1) \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\ -\left((m(q+1) - q^{n-3})u + m(q+1)|\lambda| + m|\gamma| \right) + r_0 \geqslant 0 \right\}.$$

The set Ψ is not empty if and only if

$$m(q+1)\sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} \left\lceil \frac{q^{n-3}t - r_{\mu}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil + mq(q^2 - 1) \left\lceil \frac{q^{n-3}t}{m} \right\rceil \leqslant r_0 + (q^n + 1 - q^{n-3})t,$$

completing the proof of the second assertion.

We are now ready for the main results of this section dealing with Weierstrass semigroups and pure gap sets, which play an interesting role in finding codes with good parameters. For simplicity, we define

$$W_{j}(\mathbf{r}_{l}, t, l) := \sum_{\substack{i=0\\i\neq j}}^{l} \left\lceil \frac{r_{j} - r_{i}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil + (q-1-l) \left\lceil \frac{r_{j}}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil + q(q-1) \left\lceil \frac{r_{j}}{m} \right\rceil - \frac{t + q^{3}r_{j}}{m(q+1)},$$

for $j = 1, \dots, l$, and

$$W_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}_{l}, t, l) := \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left[\frac{q^{n-3}t - r_{i}}{m(q+1)} \right] + (q-1-l) \left[\frac{q^{n-3}t}{m(q+1)} \right] + q(q-1) \left[\frac{q^{n-3}t}{m} \right] - t - \frac{r_{0} - q^{n-3}t}{m(q+1)},$$

where $r_l = (r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_l)$.

Theorem 4 Let P_0, P_1, \dots, P_l be rational places as defined previously. For $0 \le l < q$, the following assertions hold.

(1) The Weierstrass semigroup $H(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_l)$ is given by

$$\left\{ (r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_l) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{l+1} \middle| W_j(\mathbf{r}_l, 0, l) \leqslant 0 \text{ for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant l \right\}.$$

(2) The Weierstrass semigroup $H(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_l, P_{\infty})$ is given by

$$\left\{ (r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_l, t) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{l+2} \middle| W_j(\mathbf{r}_l, t, l) \leqslant 0 \text{ for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant l \text{ and } j = \infty \right\}.$$

(3) The pure gap set $G_0(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_l)$ is given by

$$\Big\{(r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_l) \in \mathbb{N}^{l+1} \Big| W_j(\boldsymbol{r}_l, 0, l) > 0 \text{ for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant l \Big\}.$$

(4) The pure gap set $G_0(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_l, P_{\infty})$ is given by

$$\left\{ (r_0, r_1, \cdots, r_l, t) \in \mathbb{N}^{l+2} \middle| W_j(\mathbf{r}_l, t, l) > 0 \text{ for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant l \text{ and } j = \infty \right\}.$$

Proof The desired conclusions follow from Theorem 1, Lemmas 6, 11 and 12. \Box

The following corollary states the characterizations of Weierstrass semigroup and gaps at only one point.

Corollary 3 With notation as before, we have the following statements.

(1)
$$H(P_0) = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \middle| (q-1) \middle\lceil \frac{k}{m(q+1)} \middle\rceil + q(q-1) \middle\lceil \frac{k}{m} \middle\rceil \leqslant \frac{q^3k}{m(q+1)} \right\}.$$

(2) Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\alpha + m(\beta + (q+1)\gamma) \in \mathbb{N}$ is a gap at P_0 if and only

(2) Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\alpha + m(\beta + (q+1)\gamma) \in \mathbb{N}$ is a gap at P_0 if and only if exactly one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

(i)
$$\alpha = 0, 0 < \beta \leq q - 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq q - 1 - \beta$$
.

(ii)
$$0 < \alpha < m, 0 \le \beta \le q, 0 \le \gamma \le q^2 - 1 - \beta + \left\lfloor \frac{\beta}{q+1} - \frac{q^3 \alpha}{m(q+1)} \right\rfloor$$
 and

$$\beta - \left\lfloor \frac{\beta}{q+1} - \frac{q^3 \alpha}{m(q+1)} \right\rfloor \leqslant q^2 - 1.$$

Proof The first statement is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4 (1).

We now focus on the second statement. It follows from Theorem 4 (3) that the Weierstrass gap set at P_0 is

$$G(P_0) = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} \middle| (q-1) \left\lceil \frac{k}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil + q(q-1) \left\lceil \frac{k}{m} \right\rceil > \frac{q^3 k}{m(q+1)} \right\}.$$

Let $k \in G(P_0)$ and write $k = \alpha + m(\beta + (q+1)\gamma)$, where $0 \le \alpha < m$, $0 \le \beta \le q$ and $\gamma \ge 0$. We find that the case $\alpha + m\beta = 0$ does not occur, since otherwise we have $k = m(q+1)\gamma$ and

$$(q-1)\left\lceil \frac{k}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil + q(q-1)\left\lceil \frac{k}{m} \right\rceil - \frac{q^3k}{m(q+1)}$$
$$= (q-1)\gamma + q(q-1)(q+1)\gamma - q^3\gamma$$
$$= -\gamma \leqslant 0,$$

which contradicts to the fact $k \in G(P_0)$. So $\alpha + m\beta \neq 0$. There are two possibilities.

(i) If $\alpha = 0$, then $0 < \beta \leq q$. In this case, $k = m\beta + m(q+1)\gamma$ is a gap at P_0 if and only if

$$(q-1)\left\lceil \frac{k}{m(q+1)} \right\rceil + q(q-1)\left\lceil \frac{k}{m} \right\rceil > \frac{q^3k}{m(q+1)},$$

or equivalently,

$$(q-1)(\gamma+1) + q(q-1)(\beta+(q+1)\gamma) > q^3\gamma + \frac{q^3\beta}{q+1},$$

leading to the first condition $0 \le \gamma \le q - 1 - \beta$ and $0 < \beta \le q - 1$.

(ii) If $0 < \alpha < m$, then $0 \le \beta \le q$. In this case, we have similarly that $k = \alpha + m\beta + m(q+1)\gamma$ is a gap at P_0 if and only if

$$(q-1)(\gamma+1) + q(q-1)(1+\beta+(q+1)\gamma) > q^3\gamma + \frac{q^3\alpha}{m(q+1)} + \frac{q^3\beta}{q+1}$$

which gives the second condition $0 \le \gamma \le q^2 - 1 - \beta + \left\lfloor \frac{\beta}{q+1} - \frac{q^3 \alpha}{m(q+1)} \right\rfloor$. Note that

$$q^2 - 1 - \beta + \left\lfloor \frac{\beta}{q+1} - \frac{q^3 \alpha}{m(q+1)} \right\rfloor \geqslant q^2 - 1 - q - 1 \geqslant 0.$$

The proof is finished.

5 The floor of divisors

In this section, we investigate the floor of divisors from GGS curves. The significance of this concept is that it provides a useful tool for evaluating parameters of AG codes. We begin with general function fields.

Definition 2 ([22]) Given a divisor G of a function field F/\mathbb{F}_q with $\ell(G) > 0$, the floor of G is the unique divisor G' of F of minimum degree such that $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$. The floor of G will be denoted by |G|.

The floor of a divisor can be used to characterize Weierstrass semigroups and pure gap sets. Let $G = s_1Q_1 + \cdots + s_lQ_l$. It is not hard to see that $(s_1, \dots, s_l) \in H(Q_1, \dots, Q_l)$ if and only if $\lfloor G \rfloor = G$. Moreover, (s_1, \dots, s_l) is a pure gap at (Q_1, \dots, Q_l) if and only if

$$|G| = |(s_1 - 1)Q_1 + \dots + (s_l - 1)Q_l|.$$

Maharaj, Matthews and Pirsic in [22] defined the floor of a divisor and characterized it by the basis of the Riemann-Roch space.

Theorem 5 ([22]) Let G be a divisor of a function field F/\mathbb{F}_q and let $b_1, \dots, b_t \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ be a spanning set for $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Then

$$\lfloor G \rfloor = -\gcd \left\{ \operatorname{div}(b_i) \middle| i = 1, \dots, t \right\}.$$

The next theorem extends Theorem 3.4 of [4] by determining the lower bound of minimum distance in a more general situation.

Theorem 6 ([22]) Assume that F/\mathbb{F}_q is a function field with genus g. Let $D:=Q_1+\cdots+Q_N$ where Q_1,\cdots,Q_N are distinct rational places of F, and let $G:=H+\lfloor H\rfloor$ be a divisor of F such that H is an effective divisor whose support does not contain any of the places Q_1,\cdots,Q_N . Then the minimum distance of $C_\Omega(D,G)$ satisfies

$$d_{\Omega} \geqslant 2 \deg(H) - (2g - 2).$$

The following theorem provides a characterization of the floor over GGS curves, which can be viewed as a generalization of Theorem 3.9 in [22] related to Hermitian function fields.

Theorem 7 Let $H := \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$ be a divisor of GGS curve given by (1). Then the floor of H is given by

$$[H] = \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r'_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s'_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t' P_{\infty},$$

where

$$\begin{split} r_0' &= \max \left\{ -i \left| (i, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}) \in \Omega_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t} \right\}, \\ r_\mu' &= \max \left\{ -i - m(q+1) j_\mu \middle| (i, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}) \in \Omega_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t} \right\} \text{ for } \mu = 1, \cdots, q-1, \\ s_\nu' &= \max \left\{ -i - m k_\nu \middle| (i, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}) \in \Omega_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t} \right\} \text{ for } \nu = 1, \cdots, q^2 - 1, \\ t' &= \max \left\{ q^3 i + m(q+1) |\boldsymbol{j}| + m q |\boldsymbol{k}| \middle| (i, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}) \in \Omega_{\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Proof Let $H = \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} r_{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} s_{\nu} Q_{\nu} + t P_{\infty}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that the elements $E_{i,j,k}$ of Equation (2) with $(i,j,k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t}$ form a basis for the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(H)$. Note that the divisor of $E_{i,j,k}$ is

$$iP_0 + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} (i + m(q+1)j_{\mu})P_{\mu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{q^2-1} (i + mk_{\nu})Q_{\nu}$$
$$- (q^3i + m(q+1)|\mathbf{j}| + mq|\mathbf{k}|)P_{\infty}$$

By Theorem 5, we get that

$$\lfloor H \rfloor = -\gcd \Big\{ \operatorname{div}(E_{i,j,k}) \Big| (i, j, k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t} \Big\}.$$

The desired conclusion then follows.

6 Examples of codes on GGS curves

In this section we treat several examples of codes to illustrate our results. The codes in the next example will give new records of better parameters than the corresponding ones in MinT's tables [25].

Example 1 Now, we study codes arising from GK curves, that is, we let q=2 and n=3 in (1) given at the beginning of Section 2. This curve has 225 \mathbb{F}_{64} -rational points and its genus is g=10. Here we will study multi-point AG codes from this curve by employing our previous results. Let us take $H=3P_0+4P_1+11P_\infty$ for example. Then it can be computed from Equation (4) that the elements $(-i,-i-m(q+1)j_1,-i-mk_1,-i-mk_2,-i-mk_3,q^3i+m(q+1)j_1+mq(k_1+k_2+k_3))$, with $(i,j_1,k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\Omega_{3,4,0,0,0,11}$, are as follows

So we obtain from Theorem 7 that $\lfloor H \rfloor = 3P_0 + 3P_1 + 11P_\infty$. Let D be a divisor consisting of N=216 rational places away from the places P_0, P_1, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 and P_∞ . According to Theorem 6, if we let $G=H+\lfloor H \rfloor=6P_0+7P_1+22P_\infty$, then the code $C_\Omega(D,G)$ has minimum distance at least $2\deg(H)-(2g-2)=18$. Since $2g-2<\deg(G)< N$, the dimension of $C_\Omega(D,G)$ is $k_\Omega=N+g-1-\deg(G)=190$. In other words, the code $C_\Omega(D,G)$ has parameters $[216,190,\geqslant 18]$. One can verify that our resulting code improve the minimum distance with respect to MinT's Tables. Moreover $C_\Omega(D,G)$ is equivalent to $C_\mathcal{L}(D,G')$, where $G'=2P_0+P_1+8Q_1+8Q_2+8Q_3+4P_\infty$, and its generating matrix can be determined by Theorem 2.

Additionally, we remark that more AG codes with excellent parameters can be found by taking $H=aP_0+bP_1+7P_\infty$, where $a,b\in\{4,5,6\}$ and $9\leqslant a+b\leqslant 12$. The floor of such H is computed to be $\lfloor H\rfloor=aP_0+bP_1+6P_\infty$. Let D be as before. If we take $G=H+\lfloor H\rfloor=2aP_0+2bP_1+13P_\infty$, then we can produce AG codes $C_\Omega(D,G)$ with parameters $[216,212-2a-2b,\geqslant 2a+2b-4]$. All of these codes improve the records of the corresponding ones found on MinT's Tables.

Example 2 Consider the curve GGS(q, n) of (1) with q = 2 and n = 5. This curve has 3969 $\mathbb{F}_{2^{10}}$ -rational points and its genus is g = 46. It follows from

Theorem 4 that

$$\{(57, j, 3) | 1 \le j \le 3\} \subseteq G_0(P_0, P_1, P_\infty).$$

Let D be a divisor consisting of N=3960 rational places except P_0 , P_1 , Q_1 , Q_2 , Q_3 and P_{∞} . Applying Theorem 3.4 of [4] (see also Theorem 1, [16]), if we take $G=113P_0+3P_1+5P_{\infty}$, then the three-point code $C_{\Omega}(D,G)$ has length N=3960, dimension $N+g-1-\deg(G)=3884$ and minimum distance at least 36. Thus we produce an AG code with parameters [3960,3884, \geqslant 36]. Unfortunately, this $\mathbb{F}_{2^{10}}$ -code cannot be compared with the one on MinT's Tables because the alphabet size given is at most 256.

Acknowledgements This work is partially supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Funded Project (Project No. 2017M611801). This work is also partially supported by Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 2014A030313161) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China (ZR2016AM04).

References

- 1. Abdón, M., Bezerra, J., Quoos, L.: Further examples of maximal curves. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **213**, 1192–1196 (2009)
- Bartoli, D., Montanucci, M., Zini, G.: AG codes and AG quantum codes from the GGS curve. arXiv:1703.03178 (2017)
- Bartoli, D., Montanucci, M., Zini, G.: Multi point AG codes on the GK maximal curve. Des. Codes Cryptogr (2017). DOI 10.1007/s10623-017-0333-9
- Carvalho, C., Torres, F.: On Goppa codes and Weierstrass gaps at several points. Designs, Codes and Cryptography 35, 211–225 (2005)
- Castellanos, A.S., Masuda, A.M., Quoos, L.: One-and two-point codes over Kummer extensions. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 62(9), 4867–4872 (2016)
- Castellanos, A.S., Tizziotti, G.C.: Two-Point AG Codes on the GK Maximal Curves. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 62(2), 681–686 (2016)
- Fanali, S., Giulietti, M.: One-Point AG Codes on the GK Maximal Curves. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 56(1), 202–210 (2010)
- Garcia, A., Güneri, C., Stichtenoth, H.: A generalization of the Giulietti-Korchmáros maximal curve. Advances in Geometry 10(3), 427–434 (2010)
- Garcia, A., Kim, S.J., Lax, R.F.: Consecutive Weierstrass gaps and minimum distance of Goppa codes. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 84, 199–207 (1993)
- 10. Garcia, A., Lax, R.F.: Goppa codes and Weierstrass gaps. In: Coding Theory and Algebraic Geometry, pp. 33–42. Springer Berlin (1992)
- Giulietti, M., Korchmáros, G.: A new family of maximal curves over a finite field. Mathematische Annalen 343, 229–245 (2008)
- Goppa, V.D.: Codes associated with divisors. Problemy Peredachi Informatsii 13, 33–39 (1977)
- Güneri, C., Özdemiry, M., Stichtenoth, H.: The automorphism group of the generalized Giulietti-Korchmáros function field. Advances in Geometry 13, 369–380 (2013)
- 14. Guruswami, V., Sudan, M.: Improved decoding of Reed-Solomon and algebraic-geometric codes. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 45(6), 1757–1768 (1999)
- Homma, M., Kim, S.J.: Goppa codes with Weierstrass pairs. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 162, 273–290 (2001)
- Hu, C., Yang, S.: Multi-point codes over Kummer extensions. Des. Codes Cryptogr (2017). DOI 10.1007/s10623-017-0335-7
- 17. Kim, S.J.: On the index of the Weierstrass semigroup of a pair of points on a curve. Archiv der Mathematik **62**, 73–82 (1994)

- Kirfel, C., Pellikaan, R.: The minimum distance of codes in an array coming from telescopic semigroups. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 41(6), 1720–1732 (1995)
- Korchmáros, G., Nagy, G.: Hermitian codes from higher degree places. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 217, 2371–2381 (2013)
- 20. Maharaj, H.: Code construction on fiber products of Kummer covers. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 50(9), 2169-2173 (2004)
- 21. Maharaj, H., Matthews, G.L.: On the floor and the ceiling of a divisor. Finite Fields and Their Applications 12, 38-55 (2006)
- 22. Maharaj, H., Matthews, G.L., Pirsic, G.: Riemann-Roch spaces of the Hermitian function field with applications to algebraic geometry codes and low-discrepancy sequences. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 195, 261–280 (2005)
- 23. Matthews, G.L.: The Weierstrass semigroup of an m-tuple of collinear points on a Hermitian curve. Finite Fields and Their Applications pp. 12–24 (2004)
- 24. Matthews, G.L.: Weierstrass semigroups and codes from a quotient of the Hermitian curve. Designs, Codes and Cryptography 37, 473–492 (2005)
- 25. MinT: Online database for optimal parameters of (t, m, s)-nets, (t, s)-sequences, orthogonal arrays, and linear codes. (Accessed on 2017-06-13.). URL http://mint.sbg.ac.at.
- 26. Stichtenoth, H.: Algebraic Function Fields and Codes, vol. 254. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg (2009)
- 27. Stichtenoth, H.: Algebraic function fields and codes, vol. 254. Springer Science & Business Media (2009)
- 28. Yang, K., Kumar, P.V.: On the true minimum distance of Hermitian codes. In: Coding Theory and Algebraic Geometry, pp. 99–107. Springer (1992)
- 29. Yang, K., Kumar, P.V., Stichtenoth, H.: On the weight hierarchy of geometric Goppa codes. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 40(3), 913–920 (1994)

