CSCI C200 Introduction to Computers and Programming

Spring 2020 Grade Report

Schneider, Jeremy

Computer Science School of Informatics, Computing, and Engineering

Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

June 2, 2020

Assignment 1

Assigned: 2020-05-12

Due: 2020-05-16

Structuring

30 points total

10/10 Assignment1 folder setup correctly

20/20 In folder: problems.py, myMath.py, thecost.py, myBall.py (5 each)

0/0 Any over comments that are in general

Great Work!

Score: 30/30

Problems

problems.py

65 points total

5/5 Code runs

20/20 Comments out the error line for each problem or fixed the error (preferablly commented the error out) (2 pts each)

40/40 Reasonable description for the error (4 pts each) (0/4 if exact copy of the error)

Nice job!

Score: 65/65

Math Head

myMath.py

50 points total

10/10 Code runs

20/20 Completed the math statements

20/20 Has 2 print statements (with the correct values)

Great!

Score: 50/50

The Cost

thecost.py

30 points total

10/10 Code runs

20/20 Completed the TODO statement

Great!

Score: 30/30

Bouncing Ball

myBall.py

55 points total

20/20 Code runs

10/10 Asks for input

15/15 Completes the calculation

10/10 Output matches pre-made situation

Nice job!

Score: 55/55

Total Score: 230/230

Assignment 2

Assigned: 2020-05-14

Due: 2020-05-20

Structuring

30 points total

10/10 Assignment2 folder setup correctly

20/20 In folder: myCalc.py, old.py, warping.py, condprac.py (5 each)

0/0 Any over comments that are in general

Nice job!

Score: 30/30

Equations

myCalc.py

115 points total

10/10 Code runs

61/63 Functions look correct (calculates (2 pts), returns (1pt))

38/42 Test Cases (2 pts per function)

The following functions failed test cases: $parsecs2kilometer\ and\ kilometer2parsecs\ (-4\ points\)\ .$

(A quick explanation on test cases: The test cases that we used to test your functions were different from what was used in the base code. The goal of this was to ensure that your code works on lots of different numbers. We always encourage students to create their own test cases to find potential

errors in the code. This means that getting the correct output from the provided test cases does not guarantee a perfect score on the homework.)

For the parsecs 2kilometer and kilometer 2parsecs functions, you have the arithmetic reversed (-2points).

Score: 109/115

Old

old.py

40 points total

10/10 Code runs

8/10 Created function 'themath' with 1 parameter and returns one value (38....)

10/10 Created function 'the cost' with 2 parameters and returns one value 10/10 Created function 'bouncing' with 1 parameter and returns the volume

In themath, you should have taken the square root of Themath before returning it (-2 points).

Score: 38/40

Warp Factor

warping.py

50 points total

10/10 Code runs

10/10 Returns a warp speed for all 10 warp factors

8/10 Conditions are correct (lower bounds)

20/20 Test values

For warp factor 0, the lower bound should be less than 1. For warp factor 1, the lower bound should be if the value is greater than or equal to 1. (-2 points)

Score: 48/50

Conditional Practice

condprac.py

52 points total

10/10 Code runs

8/8 Outputs match at the bottom for fun1 and fun1reworked

9/9 Outputs match at the bottom for fun2 and fun2reworked

10/10 fun1: Adjusted the conditions (2 pts each) to use ifs (no elses or elifare allowed). If condition contains elif or else, 0 point for condition

0/5 fun2: boolean condition looks equivalent to original statement

10/10 Output matches pre-made situation

For $fun2_reworked$, you were supposed to write a boolean condition similar to what was in fun2, not with if/else statements (-5 points).

Score: 47/52

Total Score: 272/287

Assignment 3

Assigned: 2020-05-18

Due: 2020-05-23

Structuring

35 points total

10/10 Assignment3 folder setup correctly

25/25 In folder: looping.py, stringStuff.py, whiling.py, whileString.py, complexing.py (5 each)

0/0 Any over comments that are in general

Nice job!

Score: 35/35

Looping FOR

looping.py

73 points total

10/10 Code runs off the bat

42/42 Each function uses a for loop, returns at the correct place (not too early), and body looks correct (2 pts for each 3 sub parts), 6pts per function (7 Functions)

21/21 Test Cases (1 pts per test per function (3pts for each function))

Nice job!

Score: 73/73

String Stuff

stringStuff.py

76 points total

10/10 Code runs

20/20 Each function uses the input parameter(s) correctly, has a loop, uses the loop correctly, returns, and looks correct (5pts per function)

14/14 Test Cases for Palindrome (14 pts, 2pts per test case)

24/24 Test cases for getCount and getIndex (4pts per test case for each function, 12 pts for each function)

8/8 Test cases for areEqual (2 pts for each test case)

Nice job!

Score: 76/76

Looping WHILE

whiling.py

87 points total

10/10 Code runs off the bat

56/56 Each function uses a while loop, condition is proper, returns at the correct place (not too early), and body looks correct (2 pts for each 4 sub parts), 8 pts per function (7 Functions)

21/21 Test Cases (1 pts per test per function (3pts for each function))

 $Nice\ job!$

Score: 87/87

String Stuff WHILE

whileString.py

80 points total

10/10 Code runs

24/24 Each function uses the input parameter(s) correctly, has a loop, loop condition proper, uses the loop correctly, returns, and looks correct (6pts per function)

14/14 Test Cases for Palindrome (14 pts, 2pts per test case)

24/24 Test cases for getCount and getIndex (4pts per test case for each function, 12 pts for each function)

8/8 Test cases for areEqual (2 pts for each test case)

Nice job!

Score: 80/80

Complexing

complexing.py

66 points total

10/10 Code runs

24/24 Each function uses the input parameter(s) correctly, has a loop, loop condition proper, uses the loop correctly, returns, and looks correct (6pts per function)

32/32 Test Cases (8 pts for each function, 2pts per test case, 4 functions)

Nice job!

Score: 66/66

Total Score: 417/417