

Session Types

Logical Foundations of Concurrent Computation

Jorge A. Pérez

University of Groningen, The Netherlands

j.a.perez [[at]] rug.nl

Dutch Winter School 2026

(Part 1.2)

Outline

Motivation

From Intuitionistic to Linear Logic

Examples

Linear Logic

- ▶ Introduced by Jean-Yves Girard in 1987 (famous paper in the TCS journal)
- ▶ Interest/applications in proof theory and computer science
- ▶ A “resource-aware” logic:
 - ▶ $A \vdash B$: given A -resources, a way to obtain B -resources
 - ▶ Proof theoretically: substructural logic
- ▶ In this course: intuitionistic variant, dubbed ILL

Linear Logic: Propositions

‘ A is true’ vs ‘I have A -resources’

$A \wedge B$

$A \rightarrow B$

both A and B are true

if A is true, then B is true

Linear Logic: Propositions

‘ A is true’ vs ‘I have A -resources’

$$A \wedge B$$

$$A \rightarrow B$$

both A and B are true
if A is true, then B is true

$$A \otimes B$$

I have both A and B

Linear Logic: Propositions

‘ A is true’ vs ‘I have A -resources’

$$A \wedge B$$

both A and B are true

$$A \rightarrow B$$

if A is true, then B is true

$$A \otimes B$$

I have both A and B

$$A \multimap B$$

if you give me A , then I can produce B

Linear Logic: Propositions

' A is true' vs 'I have A -resources'

$$A \wedge B$$

both A and B are true

$$A \rightarrow B$$

if A is true, then B is true

$$A \otimes B$$

I have both A and B

$$A \multimap B$$

if you give me A , then I can produce B

E.g. $euro \otimes euro \multimap pizza$, $dough \otimes (sauce \otimes toppings) \multimap pizza$

Linear Logic: Linearity

$$A \rightarrow A \wedge A$$

$$A \wedge B \rightarrow A$$

$$A \not\multimap A \otimes A$$

$$A \otimes B \not\multimap A$$

Linear Logic: Linearity

$$A \rightarrow A \wedge A$$

$$A \wedge B \rightarrow A$$

$$A \wedge (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$$

$$A \not\multimap A \otimes A$$

$$A \otimes B \not\multimap A$$

$$A \otimes (A \multimap B) \multimap B$$

Linear Logic: Linearity

$$A \rightarrow A \wedge A$$

$$A \wedge B \rightarrow A$$

$$A \wedge (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$$

$$A \wedge (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \wedge B$$

$$A \not\multimap A \otimes A$$

$$A \otimes B \not\multimap A$$

$$A \otimes (A \multimap B) \multimap B$$

$$A \otimes (A \multimap B) \not\multimap A \otimes B$$

Linear Logic is **substructural**, resource-aware.

Proof Theory of \wedge

Sequent calculus: $\Gamma \vdash A$

$$\begin{array}{c} A \vdash A \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \wedge R \\ \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \wedge L \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Weakn} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Contr} \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{eXchg} \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Gamma' \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Gamma' \vdash C} \end{array}$$

Proof Theory of \wedge

Assertion A holds under
the list of hypotheses Γ .
Intuitively, $\wedge \Gamma \implies A$

Sequent calculus: $\Gamma \vdash A$

$$\begin{array}{c} A \vdash A \\[10pt] \text{Weakn} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} \\[10pt] \text{Contr} \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} \\[10pt] \text{eXchg} \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Gamma' \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Gamma' \vdash C} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \wedge R \\ \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B} \\[10pt] \wedge L \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C} \end{array}$$

Proof Theory of \wedge

Axiom rule

Sequent calculus: $\Gamma \vdash A$

$$A \vdash A$$

Right and left rules for \wedge

$$\frac{\wedge R \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B}$$

$$\frac{\wedge L \quad \Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{\text{Weakn} \quad \Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{\text{Contr} \quad \Gamma, A, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{\text{eXchg} \quad \Gamma, A, B, \Gamma' \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Gamma' \vdash C}$$

Proof Theory of \wedge

Sequent calculus: $\Gamma \vdash A$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \vdash A & \wedge R \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B} & \wedge L \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C} \\ \\ \text{Weakn} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} & \text{Contr} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} & \text{eXchg} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Gamma' \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Gamma' \vdash C} \end{array}$$



Structural rules

Proof Theory of \wedge

$\Gamma : \text{Frml} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a multiset

Sequent calculus: $\Gamma \vdash A$

$$A \vdash A$$

$$\frac{\wedge R \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B}$$

$$\frac{\wedge L \quad \Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{\text{Weakn} \quad \Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{\text{Contr} \quad \Gamma, A, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{\text{eXchg} \quad \Gamma, A, B, \Gamma' \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Gamma' \vdash C}$$

We can omit the exchange rule by treating Γ as a multiset

Generalized Structural Rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash C}$$

Obtained from repeatedly using the usual rules (and exchange).

Using Structural Rules

$$\frac{}{A \wedge B \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A \wedge A}$$

Using Structural Rules

$$\frac{A, B \vdash A}{A \wedge B \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A \wedge A}$$

Using Structural Rules

$$\frac{A \vdash A}{A, B \vdash A}$$
$$\frac{A, B \vdash A}{A \wedge B \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A \wedge A}$$

Using Structural Rules

$$\frac{A \vdash A}{\frac{A, B \vdash A}{A \wedge B \vdash A}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{}{A, A \vdash A \wedge A}}{A \vdash A \wedge A}$$

Using Structural Rules

$$\frac{A \vdash A}{A, B \vdash A}$$
$$\frac{A, B \vdash A}{A \wedge B \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash A \quad A \vdash A}{A, A \vdash A \wedge A}$$
$$\frac{A, A \vdash A \wedge A}{A \vdash A \wedge A}$$

Using Structural Rules

$$\frac{A \vdash A}{A, B \vdash A}$$
$$\frac{A, B \vdash A}{A \wedge B \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash A \quad A \vdash A}{A, A \vdash A \wedge A}$$
$$\frac{A, A \vdash A \wedge A}{A \vdash A \wedge A}$$

Crucial is the usage of the contraction and weakening rules

Associativity

$$\frac{}{A \wedge (B \wedge C) \vdash (A \wedge B) \wedge C}$$

Associativity

$$\frac{A, B, C \vdash (A \wedge B) \wedge C}{A \wedge (B \wedge C) \vdash (A \wedge B) \wedge C}$$

Associativity

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{A, B \vdash A \wedge B} \quad C \vdash C}{A, B, C \vdash (A \wedge B) \wedge C}}{A \wedge (B \wedge C) \vdash (A \wedge B) \wedge C}$$

Associativity

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{A \vdash A \quad B \vdash B}{A, B \vdash A \wedge B} \quad C \vdash C}{A, B, C \vdash (A \wedge B) \wedge C}}{A \wedge (B \wedge C) \vdash (A \wedge B) \wedge C}$$

Alternative Rules for \wedge

We can replace $\wedge L$ with the following two rules:

$$\frac{\wedge L \quad \Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C} \longrightarrow \frac{\wedge L1 \quad \Gamma, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C} \quad \frac{\wedge L2 \quad \Gamma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C}$$

These rules **internalize weakening**.

Alternative Rules for \wedge

We can replace $\wedge R$ with the following rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B} \longrightarrow \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B}$$

Outline

Motivation

From Intuitionistic to Linear Logic

Examples

From Intuitionistic to Linear Logic

- ▶ Main idea: treat \otimes the same as \wedge , minus contraction and weakening rules.
- ▶ The context Γ then externalizes \otimes on the meta-level:

$$\Gamma \vdash A \quad \longrightarrow \quad \otimes \Gamma \Longrightarrow A$$

- ▶ We will recover contraction and weakening later with the $!$ modality.

Proof Theory of \otimes

Sequent calculus: $\Gamma \vdash A$

$$\begin{array}{c} A \vdash A \\[10pt] \otimes R \\ \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \otimes B} \\[10pt] \otimes L \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C} \end{array}$$

~~$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Weakn} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} \end{array}$$~~

~~$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Contr} \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} \end{array}$$~~

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{eXchg} \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Gamma' \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Gamma' \vdash C} \end{array}$$

Proof Theory of \otimes

Sequent calculus: $\Gamma \vdash A$

Left and right rules for \otimes

$$\begin{array}{c} A \vdash A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \otimes R \\ \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \otimes B} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \otimes L \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Weakn} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Contr} \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{eXchg} \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Gamma' \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Gamma' \vdash C} \end{array}$$

Proof Theory of \otimes

Sequent calculus: $\Gamma \vdash A$

$$A \vdash A$$

$$\frac{\otimes R \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \otimes B}$$

$$\frac{\otimes L \quad \Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C}$$

~~$$\frac{\text{Weakn} \quad \Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C}$$~~

~~$$\frac{\text{Contr} \quad \Gamma, A, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \vdash C}$$~~

$$\frac{\text{eXchg} \quad \Gamma, A, B, \Gamma' \vdash C}{\Gamma, B, A, \Gamma' \vdash C}$$

No weakening or contraction

Adding the Unit

A proof theory with just \otimes is not fun.

We need multiplicative unit (1) to signify absence of resources:

$$\emptyset \vdash 1$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, 1 \vdash C}$$

Adding implication

A proof theory with just $\otimes, 1$ is not fun. We need linear implication (\multimap , *lollipop*) to form linear hypotheticals:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, A \multimap B \vdash C}$$

Adding implication

A proof theory with just $\otimes, 1$ is not fun. We need linear implication (\multimap , *lollipop*) to form linear hypotheticals:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2, B \vdash C}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, A \multimap B \vdash C}$$

Exercise: try to derive $(A \otimes B) \multimap C \dashv\vdash A \multimap (B \multimap C)$

Cut rule

$$\text{Cut} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma', A \vdash B}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash B}$$

What is so special about this rule?

Each cut rule introduces a piece of “complexity” as a new formula A

Cut rule

$$\text{Cut} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma', A \vdash B}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash B}$$

What is so special about this rule?

Each cut rule introduces a piece of “complexity” as a new formula A

Theorem

Every proof of $\Gamma \vdash A$ that uses cut can be converted into a proof that does not use cut

MILL

$A, B ::= 1 \mid A \otimes B \mid A \multimap B$

$$\begin{array}{c} A \vdash A \qquad \emptyset \vdash 1 \\[10pt] \text{Cut} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma', A \vdash B}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash B} \\[10pt] \text{\(\otimes\)}R \\ \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \otimes B} \\[10pt] \text{\(\otimes\)}L \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C} \\[10pt] \multimap R \\ \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B} \\[10pt] \multimap L \\ \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2, B \vdash C}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, A \multimap B \vdash C} \end{array}$$

Adding &

Recall the different versions of left/right rules for \wedge

$$\frac{\wedge R \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B}$$

$$\frac{\wedge R' \quad \Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B}$$

$$\frac{\wedge L \quad \Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{\wedge L_i \quad \Gamma, A_i \vdash C}{\Gamma, A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash C}$$

Adding &

Recall the different versions of left/right rules for \wedge

$$\begin{array}{c} \otimes R \\ \hline \Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B \\ \hline \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \otimes B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \wedge R' \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash A \wedge B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \otimes L \\ \hline \Gamma, A, B \vdash C \\ \hline \Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \wedge L_i \\ \hline \Gamma, A_i \vdash C \\ \hline \Gamma, A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash C \end{array}$$

Adding &

Recall the different versions of left/right rules for \wedge

$$\begin{array}{c} \otimes R \\ \hline \Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B \\ \hline \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \otimes B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \& R \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash A \& B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \otimes L \\ \hline \Gamma, A, B \vdash C \\ \hline \Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \& L_i \\ \hline \Gamma, A_i \vdash C \\ \hline \Gamma, A_1 \& A_2 \vdash C \end{array}$$

Interplay of \otimes and $\&$

- ▶ $A \& B \vdash A$, and $A \& B \vdash B$
- ▶ $A \& B \not\vdash A \otimes B$
- ▶ $(A \multimap B) \& (A \multimap C) \vdash A \multimap B \& C$
- ▶ $(A \& B) \multimap C \not\vdash A \multimap (B \multimap C)$

Outline

Motivation

From Intuitionistic to Linear Logic

Examples

Menu at the Linear Logic Cafe

Summer Menu! €15 p.p.

Starter: Greek Salad, or
Soup

Main: Chicken Schnitzel,
Tofu, or Salmon (for
additional €2)

(all main dishes come with a
side of fries)

Desert: Ice Cream, or
Cheese Platter

Drinks: Leffe Tripel (for
additional €3)

$E^{15} \multimap$



Menu at the Linear Logic Cafe

Summer Menu! €15 p.p.

Starter: Greek Salad, or
Soup

Main: Chicken Schnitzel,
Tofu, or Salmon (for
additional €2)

(all main dishes come with a
side of fries)

Desert: Ice Cream, or
Cheese Platter

Drinks: Leffe Tripel (for
additional €3)

$$\left(E^{15} \multimap (Sal \& Soup) \otimes \right)$$

Menu at the Linear Logic Cafe

Summer Menu! €15 p.p.

Starter: Greek Salad, or
Soup

Main: Chicken Schnitzel,
Tofu, or Salmon (for
additional €2)

(all main dishes come with a
side of fries)

Desert: Ice Cream, or
Cheese Platter

Drinks: Leffe Tripel (for
additional €3)

$$E^{15} \multimap \left((Sal \& Soup) \otimes \left((Schn \& Tofu \& (E \otimes E \multimap Fish)) \right) \right)$$

Menu at the Linear Logic Cafe

Summer Menu! €15 p.p.

Starter: Greek Salad, or
Soup

Main: Chicken Schnitzel,
Tofu, or Salmon (for
additional €2)

(all main dishes come with a
side of fries)

Desert: Ice Cream, or
Cheese Platter

Drinks: Leffe Tripel (for
additional €3)

$$E^{15} \multimap \left(\begin{array}{l} (Sal \& Soup) \otimes \\ ((Schn \& Tofu \& (E \otimes E \multimap Fish)) \\ \otimes Fries) \otimes \end{array} \right)$$

Menu at the Linear Logic Cafe

Summer Menu! €15 p.p.

Starter: Greek Salad, or
Soup

Main: Chicken Schnitzel,
Tofu, or Salmon (for
additional €2)

(all main dishes come with a
side of fries)

Desert: Ice Cream, or
Cheese Platter

Drinks: Leffe Tripel (for
additional €3)

$$E^{15} \multimap \left(\begin{array}{l} (Sal \ \& \ Soup) \otimes \\ ((Schn \ \& \ Tofu \ \& \ (E \otimes E \multimap Fish)) \\ \otimes \ Fries) \otimes \\ (Icecr \ \& \ Cheese) \otimes \end{array} \right)$$

Menu at the Linear Logic Cafe

Summer Menu! €15 p.p.

Starter: Greek Salad, or
Soup

Main: Chicken Schnitzel,
Tofu, or Salmon (for
additional €2)

(all main dishes come with a
side of fries)

Desert: Ice Cream, or
Cheese Platter

Drinks: Leffe Tripel (for
additional €3)

$$E^{15} \multimap \left(\begin{array}{l} (Sal \& Soup) \otimes \\ ((Schn \& Tofu \& (E \otimes E \multimap Fish)) \\ \otimes Fries) \otimes \\ (Icecr \& Cheese) \otimes \\ (E^3 \multimap Beer) \end{array} \right)$$

Grandma's Linear Logic Pizza recipe

LL Pizza Ingredients:

- ▶ Yeast and flour,
- ▶ Salt and sugar
- ▶ Tomatoes
- ▶ Sausage or paprika

$\left(\right)$
 $\multimap \textit{Pizza}$

Grandma's Linear Logic Pizza recipe

LL Pizza Ingredients:

- ▶ Yeast and flour,
- ▶ Salt and sugar
- ▶ Tomatoes
- ▶ Sausage or paprika

$$\left(\text{Yeast} \otimes \text{Flour} \otimes \right) \multimap \text{Pizza}$$

Grandma's Linear Logic Pizza recipe

LL Pizza Ingredients:

- ▶ Yeast and flour,
- ▶ Salt and sugar
- ▶ Tomatoes
- ▶ Sausage or paprika

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \textit{Yeast} \otimes \textit{Flour} \otimes \\ \textit{Salt} \otimes \textit{Sugar} \otimes \end{array} \right) \multimap \textit{Pizza}$$

Grandma's Linear Logic Pizza recipe

LL Pizza Ingredients:

- ▶ Yeast and flour,
- ▶ Salt and sugar
- ▶ Tomatoes
- ▶ Sausage or paprika

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Yeast} \otimes \text{Flour} \otimes \\ \text{Salt} \otimes \text{Sugar} \otimes \\ \text{Tomatoes} \otimes \end{array} \right) \multimap \text{Pizza}$$

Grandma's Linear Logic Pizza recipe

LL Pizza Ingredients:

- ▶ Yeast and flour,
- ▶ Salt and sugar
- ▶ Tomatoes
- ▶ Sausage or paprika

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Yeast} \otimes \text{Flour} \otimes \\ \text{Salt} \otimes \text{Sugar} \otimes \\ \text{Tomatoes} \otimes \\ (\text{Sausage} \text{ or } \text{Paprika}) \end{array} \right) \multimap \text{Pizza}$$

Grandma's Linear Logic Pizza recipe

LL Pizza Ingredients:

- ▶ Yeast and flour,
- ▶ Salt and sugar
- ▶ Tomatoes
- ▶ Sausage or paprika

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Yeast} \otimes \text{Flour} \otimes \\ \text{Salt} \otimes \text{Sugar} \otimes \\ \text{Tomatoes} \otimes \\ (\text{Sausage} \oplus \text{Paprika}) \end{array} \right) \multimap \text{Pizza}$$

Adding \oplus

$$\frac{\oplus R_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash A_1}{\Gamma \vdash A_1 \oplus A_2}$$

$$\frac{\oplus R_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash A_2}{\Gamma \vdash A_1 \oplus A_2}$$

$$\frac{\oplus L \quad \Gamma, A_1 \vdash C \quad \Gamma, A_2 \vdash C}{\Gamma, A_1 \oplus A_2 \vdash C}$$

\oplus vs $\&$

The rules are the same as for \vee in intuitionistic sequent calculus.

\oplus vs $\&$

The rules are the same as for \vee in intuitionistic sequent calculus.

However,

$$A \& (B \oplus C) \not\vdash (A \& B) \oplus (A \& C)$$

- ▶ A = one euro;
- ▶ B = tea, C = coffee;
- ▶ $(B \oplus C)$ = either tea or coffee, but do not know which;
- ▶ $A \& (B \oplus C)$ = I can either have a euro or a beverage

\oplus VS $\&$

$tea \oplus coffee \vdash awake$

$tea \& coffee \vdash awake$

\oplus VS $\&$

$tea \oplus coffee \vdash awake$

Given either tea or coffee (I don't care which one), I can drink it and get awake

$tea \& coffee \vdash awake$

\oplus vs $\&$

tea \oplus *coffee* \vdash *awake*

Given either tea or coffee (I don't care which one), I can drink it and get awake

tea $\&$ *coffee* \vdash *awake*

Given a choice of tea and coffee (for example at a hotel breakfast), I can drink a hot beverage and get awake

\oplus vs $\&$

$tea \oplus coffee \vdash awake$

Given either tea or coffee (I don't care which one), I can drink it and get awake

$tea \& coffee \vdash awake$

Given a choice of tea and coffee (for example at a hotel breakfast), I can drink a hot beverage and get awake

$tea \& coffee \vdash tea \oplus coffee$

\oplus vs $\&$

$tea \oplus coffee \vdash awake$

Given either tea or coffee (I don't care which one), I can drink it and get awake

$tea \& coffee \vdash awake$

Given a choice of tea and coffee (for example at a hotel breakfast), I can drink a hot beverage and get awake

$tea \& coffee \vdash tea \oplus coffee$

$A \& B \vdash A \oplus B$

$A \oplus B \not\vdash A \& B$

MAIL

$A, B ::= 1 \mid A \otimes B \mid A \multimap B \mid A \& B \mid A \oplus B$

$$\begin{array}{c} A \vdash A \qquad \emptyset \vdash 1 \qquad \frac{\otimes R \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \otimes B} \qquad \frac{\otimes L \quad \Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma', A \vdash B}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash B} \qquad \frac{\multimap R \quad \Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B} \qquad \frac{\multimap L \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash A \quad \Gamma_2, B \vdash C}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, A \multimap B \vdash C} \qquad \frac{\& R \quad \Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \& L_i \quad \frac{\Gamma, A_i \vdash C}{\Gamma, A_1 \& A_2 \vdash C} \qquad \oplus R_i \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A_i}{\Gamma \vdash A_1 \oplus A_2} \qquad \oplus L \quad \frac{\Gamma, A_1 \vdash C \quad \Gamma, A_2 \vdash C}{\Gamma, A_1 \oplus A_2 \vdash C} \end{array}$$

Related Logics

- ▶ Classical Linear Logic

Related Logics

- ▶ Classical Linear Logic
- ▶ Relevance logics
 - ▶ Contraction but no weakening
 - ▶ Intuition: all hypothesis are relevant and must be used

Related Logics

- ▶ Classical Linear Logic
- ▶ Relevance logics
 - ▶ Contraction but no weakening
 - ▶ Intuition: all hypothesis are relevant and must be used
- ▶ Logic of Bunched Implications
 - ▶ Better known as the kernel of Separation Logic
 - ▶ Popular in deductive program verification

Taking Stock

Up to here:

- ▶ Correctness for communicating programs
- ▶ Sessions as protocol specifications
- ▶ A formal syntax for session types
- ▶ Example: A two-buyer protocol
- ▶ Protocol compatibility in terms of duality for session types
- ▶ **Intuitionistic Linear Logic (MAILL)**

Tomorrow:

- ▶ Interpreting ILL as session types for message-passing processes

Session Types

Logical Foundations of Concurrent Computation

Jorge A. Pérez

University of Groningen, The Netherlands

j.a.perez [[at]] rug.nl

Dutch Winter School 2026

(Part 1.2)