

PROPOSAL - GROUP 4

Mechanism (9/10)

- (-1) code not reproducible because no `set.seed`.

Reasoning (67/70)

- (-3) lack of explanation of summary table

Writing (20/20)

- No major spelling or grammar mistakes. (note, there are some minor ones that need correction)

Comments:

- Consider using headers to create clear hierarchy within your content (using `###` in markdown would give you different header levels)
- The first summary table, which displays distinct classes, is duplicative as this content is also covered in the second summary table. Consider removing the first table for a more concise presentation.
- Please provide a more detailed explanation of the information conveyed by the summary table. What specific observations can you make from it? Food for thought: The classifier exhibits a significant class imbalance. How do you plan to address this issue? What potential problems do you foresee if this is not addressed?
- Please include the direct link to the dataset.

Overall, well done! Looking forward to the final report.