

# Team Assessment

**GitHub Group Name: Group 68**

**Members: Daniel Gohara Kamel, Jessica Leishman**

## Team Approach

*Question 1: How well to the team members understand the problem?*

The team members have a very thorough understanding of the problem. Each team member was deeply involved during the and ensured there was strong attention to detail to ensure each requirement was understood. Many questions were asked and several information meetings were held prior to the beginning of the project to create a basic understanding of the tasks.

*Question 2: How are the project tasks achieved?*

The project tasks were achieved through the use of divide-and-conquer techniques. Each team member took an equivalent amount of work and we worked collaboratively to ensure our tasks were completed on time. When assistance was needed, each team member could reach out to the group chat to request additional aid for completing the task. Cloud based software was used to

*Question 3: How did the team approach solving a problem?*

Divide and conquer was used. The team collaborated, so each individual member was responsible for brainstorming possible solutions. Then, the team re-met to determine the best solution to proceed with. One team member would then try to implement that solution, if they encountered a new problem or the solution did not work then the team re-met to continue brainstorming.

*Question 4: What approach was taken to solve the problem?*

The problem was shared with each team member, and collaboration was used to subsequently create a hybrid solution.

*Overall Comments on team approach:*

The team's approach to the solving approach was good for the already existing divide and conquer techniques. each team member had their own parts of the program to work on and if no solution was immediately obvious then the group would be assembled to brainstorm solutions. This method allowed for each team member to focus on their own section independently while allowing them to reach out for help and leverage the whole group when needed.

## **Team Coordination of Task Responsibilities**

*Question 1: How actively did team members take in interest and supported in terms of individual member responsibilities?*

All team members actively participated in reaching out to each other and making sure that they were updated on their own tasks progress as well as the progression on the tasks of other team members.

*Question 2: How well did the completion of tasks require active interchanges and sharing of information among members?*

The completion of tasks did often require interchange and information sharing between team members. Tasks soon revealed themselves to be more related than soon thought and problems that required help from team members were abundant.

*Question 3: How well did the assignment of tasks to individuals match their expertise?*

All team members had similar experience so the assignment of tasks took into account the which tasks each member felt they could perform well.

*Question 4: How well did the team coordinate individual task assignments?*

All team members met at the beginning of the project and after discussing and understanding the tasks to be completed and the overall goal of the project the team split the tasks by how comfortable each member felt performing each task.

*Overall Comments on team coordination of task responsibilities:*

The team performed well with the systems of coordination and delegation that were placed. The systems allowed for each team member to feel comfortable completing tasks and feel confident that the tasks of other team members were also going to be completed.