

Replicating Sustainable youth employment quality management: The impact of robotization in China by Fucheng Liang and Yi Liu

Jesse Brandt, Alyssa Langmeyer, Veena Seshadri

2025-06-10

Load packages

```
library(tidyverse)
library(readxl)
library(readr)
library(ExPanDaR)
library(lme4)
library(miceadds)
```

Load the data (cleaned and truncated)

```
robots <- read_csv("../Data/robots_cleaned.csv")
```

Rows: 13453 Columns: 31

-- Column specification -----

Delimiter: ","

chr (1): province

dbl (30): year, hhid, pline, id, Quality, Robot, wage, workhours, age, gende...

i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.

i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message.

Table 2: Summary Statistics.

```
#select relevant columns
selected_variables <- robots %>%
  select(Quality, Robot, age, gender, marriage, health, lnGDP, structure, lnawerwage)
#get summary statistics
mapply(FUN = \(x) c(N = length(x), mean = mean(x), sd = sd(x), min = min(x), max = max(x)),
  selected_variables) %>%
  t()
```

	N	mean	sd	min	max
Quality	13453	51.9224756	105.3437388	3.3333333	649.350649
Robot	13453	2.3991275	3.4982871	0.5541379	19.844507
age	13453	28.5806140	4.2631077	17.0000000	35.000000
gender	13453	0.5768230	0.4940813	0.0000000	1.000000
marriage	13453	0.5545975	0.4970286	0.0000000	1.000000
health	13453	0.9742808	0.1583021	0.0000000	1.000000
lnGDP	13453	10.2623826	0.8267938	7.8727714	11.586836
structure	13453	1.3824509	0.6984223	0.8522082	5.169242
lnawerwage	13453	11.2073749	0.2160024	10.9240482	12.024569

Table 3: Linear model.

Our results do not yet match theirs. Individual FE is unclear.

Mixed effects model (column 1)

```
me_model <- lmer(data = robots, formula = Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + health
  + structure + lnawerwage + as.factor(year)+ as.factor(province) + (1|id))
summary(me_model)
```

```
Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
Formula: Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + health + lnGDP +
  structure + lnawerwage + as.factor(year) + as.factor(province) +
  (1 | id)
Data: robots
```

REML criterion at convergence: 149795.4

Scaled residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-5.2585	-0.1821	-0.0361	0.1148	9.8198

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.
id	(Intercept)	257.6	16.05
Residual		3811.3	61.74

Number of obs: 13453, groups: id, 13048

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value
(Intercept)	-8604.6932	688.2510	-12.502
Robot	-3.8255	0.5633	-6.792
age	0.6979	0.1609	4.336
gender	6.9442	1.1263	6.165
marriage	-0.8881	1.3819	-0.643
health	7.3841	3.4884	2.117
lnGDP	60.2886	13.5935	4.435
structure	66.9559	7.5638	8.852
lnaverwage	713.8052	65.8580	10.839
as.factor(year)2019	80.0076	11.7707	6.797
as.factor(province)Beijing	-687.5745	52.2414	-13.161
as.factor(province)Chongqing	-47.8950	9.8661	-4.855
as.factor(province)Fujian	-24.0620	4.8858	-4.925
as.factor(province)Gansu	57.1007	18.5116	3.085
as.factor(province)Guangdong	-202.2901	17.0136	-11.890
as.factor(province)Guangxi	24.3649	7.4032	3.291
as.factor(province)Guizhou	-46.1658	14.1461	-3.264
as.factor(province)Hainan	-42.9159	28.9746	-1.481
as.factor(province)Hebei	15.8545	6.1043	2.597
as.factor(province)Heilongjiang	58.7453	15.0106	3.914
as.factor(province)Henan	88.4917	15.1752	5.831
as.factor(province)Hubei	-27.1182	5.6220	-4.824
as.factor(province)Hunan	-7.5222	6.2960	-1.195
as.factor(province)Inner Mongolia	10.2496	10.5174	0.975
as.factor(province)Jiangsu	-137.1632	19.6367	-6.985
as.factor(province)Jiangxi	63.5134	6.9626	9.122
as.factor(province)Jilin	71.0707	9.6616	7.356
as.factor(province)Liaoning	33.4973	6.7561	4.958
as.factor(province)Ningxia	56.8929	31.1486	1.826

as.factor(province)Qinghai	23.8517	37.2808	0.640
as.factor(province)Shaanxi	21.3271	5.5129	3.869
as.factor(province)Shandong	-95.4285	12.8547	-7.424
as.factor(province)Shanghai	-537.7085	45.2211	-11.891
as.factor(province)Shanxi	76.9749	9.2641	8.309
as.factor(province)Sichuan	-74.3120	6.6920	-11.105
as.factor(province)Tianjin	-252.8187	27.4769	-9.201
as.factor(province)Yunnan	-44.0869	10.7465	-4.102
as.factor(province)Zhejiang	-177.2084	14.5148	-12.209

Correlation matrix not shown by default, as $p = 38 > 12$.

Use `print(x, correlation=TRUE)` or
`vcov(x)` if you need it

Fixed effects model (column 2)

```
fe_model <- lm.cluster(data = robots, formula = Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + health + lnGDP + structure + lnawerwage + as.factor(year) + as.factor(province),
  cluster = "id")
```

Loading required namespace: sandwich

```
summary(fe_model)
```

$R^2 = 0.63415$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value
(Intercept)	-8620.6252003	1813.8072265	-4.7527792
Robot	-3.8171637	1.3484827	-2.8307101
age	0.6989876	0.1591414	4.3922422
gender	6.9856033	1.0382601	6.7281821
marriage	-0.9062716	1.3545836	-0.6690407
health	7.4792741	3.8457341	1.9448235
lnGDP	60.2330785	30.4254062	1.9796968
structure	66.6028212	19.8915604	3.3482955
lnawerwage	715.2955378	171.3881732	4.1735408
as.factor(year)2019	79.8049820	30.6506040	2.6037001
as.factor(province)Beijing	-687.0428949	135.4882279	-5.0708678

as.factor(province)Chongqing	-47.9839975	21.2443447	-2.2586716
as.factor(province)Fujian	-23.9231023	7.1833913	-3.3303354
as.factor(province)Gansu	57.4032589	39.9495824	1.4368926
as.factor(province)Guangdong	-202.2594181	41.0144712	-4.9314160
as.factor(province)Guangxi	24.8132167	12.5388306	1.9789099
as.factor(province)Guizhou	-46.0016580	31.2279508	-1.4730924
as.factor(province)Hainan	-42.4734188	65.3901324	-0.6495387
as.factor(province)Hebei	16.0768812	11.0963291	1.4488468
as.factor(province)Heilongjiang	59.5886896	35.8777184	1.6608829
as.factor(province)Henan	88.8770984	36.0922030	2.4625013
as.factor(province)Hubei	-26.9179671	9.1754481	-2.9336951
as.factor(province)Hunan	-7.1855497	11.5081881	-0.6243858
as.factor(province)Inner Mongolia	10.3090860	20.3616418	0.5062993
as.factor(province)Jiangsu	-137.3900135	48.5560997	-2.8295109
as.factor(province)Jiangxi	63.6988212	11.7681722	5.4128050
as.factor(province)Jilin	71.2446431	18.4929666	3.8525265
as.factor(province)Liaoning	33.9691070	13.7684284	2.4671739
as.factor(province)Ningxia	56.8598084	68.5704193	0.8292177
as.factor(province)Qinghai	23.8332566	83.6703109	0.2848472
as.factor(province)Shaanxi	21.3805143	7.3464556	2.9103169
as.factor(province)Shandong	-95.2878400	28.6242821	-3.3289163
as.factor(province)Shanghai	-537.6056329	120.3142511	-4.4683454
as.factor(province)Shanxi	77.3796375	19.2151925	4.0270030
as.factor(province)Sichuan	-74.2003997	14.3043603	-5.1872575
as.factor(province)Tianjin	-253.0439632	68.9790143	-3.6684195
as.factor(province)Yunnan	-44.0282438	22.7034732	-1.9392735
as.factor(province)Zhejiang	-177.1215701	36.7959089	-4.8136213
Pr(> t)			
(Intercept)	2.006395e-06		
Robot	4.644479e-03		
age	1.121876e-05		
gender	1.717959e-11		
marriage	5.034695e-01		
health	5.179622e-02		
lnGDP	4.773761e-02		
structure	8.131028e-04		
lnaverwage	2.999019e-05		
as.factor(year)2019	9.222340e-03		
as.factor(province)Beijing	3.960057e-07		
as.factor(province)Chongqing	2.390382e-02		
as.factor(province)Fujian	8.674143e-04		
as.factor(province)Gansu	1.507485e-01		
as.factor(province)Guangdong	8.163569e-07		

as.factor(province)Guangxi	4.782615e-02
as.factor(province)Guizhou	1.407261e-01
as.factor(province)Hainan	5.159903e-01
as.factor(province)Hebei	1.473804e-01
as.factor(province)Heilongjiang	9.673696e-02
as.factor(province)Henan	1.379717e-02
as.factor(province)Hubei	3.349531e-03
as.factor(province)Hunan	5.323742e-01
as.factor(province)Inner Mongolia	6.126465e-01
as.factor(province)Jiangsu	4.661921e-03
as.factor(province)Jiangxi	6.204504e-08
as.factor(province)Jilin	1.169053e-04
as.factor(province)Liaoning	1.361842e-02
as.factor(province)Ningxia	4.069812e-01
as.factor(province)Qinghai	7.757612e-01
as.factor(province)Shaanxi	3.610624e-03
as.factor(province)Shandong	8.718459e-04
as.factor(province)Shanghai	7.882694e-06
as.factor(province)Shanxi	5.649232e-05
as.factor(province)Sichuan	2.134136e-07
as.factor(province)Tianjin	2.440545e-04
as.factor(province)Yunnan	5.246804e-02
as.factor(province)Zhejiang	1.482196e-06

```

model1 <- lm(data = robots, formula = Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + health + 1
+ structure + lnoverwage + as.factor(year) + as.factor(province))
model2 <- lm(data = robots, formula = Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + health + 1

model.1.summary <- summary(model1)
model.2.summary <- summary(model2)

#model including year FE and region FE
model.1.summary
#model 1 with province and year FE not shown
model.1.summary$coefficients[1:9,1:4]
#model without year FE and region FE
model.2.summary

#model including id - filtered to include only ids with more than one observation
duplicate_ids <- robots %>%
  group_by(id) %>%
  mutate(count = length(id)) %>%

```

```

filter(count>1)

fe_model3 <- lm(data = duplicate_ids, formula = Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + l
               + structure + lnawerwage + as.factor(year) + as.factor(province) + as.factor

#Next model takes a long time to run. Is it equivalent to fe_model3?
start_time <- Sys.time()
# fe_model4 <- lm(data = robots, formula = Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + health
#               + structure + lnawerwage + as.factor(year) + as.factor(province) + as.factor
end_time <- Sys.time()

elapsed_time <- end_time-start_time

cat("Time to run model:", elapsed_time)
model.3.summary <- summary(fe_model3)
model.3.summary$coefficients[1:9,1:4]

```

Random effects model (column 3)

Note: in the paper, column 3 is the same as column 1, but the text indicates that it should be the random effects model.

```

re_model <- lmer(data = robots, formula = Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + health
summary(re_model)

```

Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']

Formula: Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + health + lnGDP +
 structure + lnawerwage + (1 | year) + (1 | province) + (1 | id)
 Data: robots

REML criterion at convergence: 150175.7

Scaled residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-5.1468	-0.1832	-0.0342	0.1156	9.8156

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.
id	(Intercept)	257.6	16.05
province	(Intercept)	22576.7	150.26
year	(Intercept)	5474.8	73.99

Residual 3812.5 61.75
 Number of obs: 13453, groups: id, 13048; province, 29; year, 2

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value
(Intercept)	-7215.5171	637.4763	-11.319
Robot	-4.0014	0.5605	-7.140
age	0.6906	0.1610	4.290
gender	6.9675	1.1265	6.185
marriage	-0.8925	1.3820	-0.646
health	7.3161	3.4889	2.097
lnGDP	62.1642	12.5228	4.964
structure	62.8623	7.4621	8.424
lnaverwage	587.3647	59.4018	9.888

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

	(Intr)	Robot	age	gender	marrig	health	lnGDP	strctr
Robot	-0.179							
age	-0.016	-0.008						
gender	-0.001	0.014	-0.058					
marriage	0.001	-0.001	-0.575	0.071				
health	-0.010	-0.006	0.020	-0.001	0.005			
lnGDP	0.171	-0.007	-0.011	0.000	0.017	0.009		
structure	0.103	-0.241	0.017	-0.018	0.003	0.004	0.015	
lnaverwage	-0.978	0.175	0.011	0.000	-0.002	0.002	-0.351	-0.117

Table 4: Results of endogeneity test and robustness test.

Table 5: Heterogeneity analysis results.

```
#Gender
man <- filter(robots, gender == 1)
woman <- filter(robots, gender ==0)

#Education
unique(robots$education)
count(robots, education)
690 + 4763 # 0 and 1 are primary
# 2 is middle
# 3 is university
```



```

primary <- filter(robots, education == 0 | education ==1)
middle <- filter(robots, education == 2)
university <- filter(robots, education ==3)

#Age groups
age_1 <- filter(robots, age >= 17 & age <= 25)
age_2 <- filter(robots, age >= 26 & age <= 35)

# Regional employment
large <- filter(robots, reg_labor > mean(reg_labor))
small <- filter(robots, reg_labor <= mean(reg_labor))

#temp

fit_fe_model <- function(dataset){
  model <- lm.cluster(data = dataset, formula = Quality ~ Robot + age + gender + marriage + l
    + structure + lnaverage + as.factor(year) + as.factor(province),
    cluster = "id")
  model_summary <- summary(model)
  return(model_summary[2,1:4])
}

fit_fe_model(large)
tbl <- map(.x = list(man,woman,primary,middle,university,large,small), .f = fit_fe_model) %>%
  data.frame()
names(tbl) <- c("Man", "Woman", "Primary", "Middle", "University", "Large", "Small")

rownames(tbl)[1] <- "Estimate (Robot)"

```

```
tbl
```

	Man	Woman	Primary	Middle	University
Estimate (Robot)	-4.793580808	-0.6970551	-2.03857422	-4.44314393	-1.125973e+01
Std. Error	1.619199830	1.8647680	1.23102065	1.77098300	2.720375e+00
t value	-2.960462767	-0.3738026	-1.65600327	-2.50885747	-4.139036e+00
Pr(> t)	0.003071772	0.7085512	0.09772116	0.01211223	3.487684e-05
	Large	Small			
Estimate (Robot)	1.4763263	-27.255197402			
Std. Error	1.5054416	9.955646390			
t value	0.9806600	-2.737662261			
Pr(> t)	0.3267605	0.006187759			

Table 6: Results of mechanism analysis.

Table 7: Results of regulatory effect analysis.

Table 8: Results of the effectiveness analysis of employment promotion measures.