

This is Calibri, a typeface that is used in Microsoft's Word, with Consolas as mono-type font and Cambria for math symbols.

<code>\normalfont</code>	Normal font
<code>\bfseries</code>	Boldface font
<code>\scshape</code>	SMALL CAPS FONT
<code>\itshape</code>	<i>Italic font</i>
<code>\slshape</code>	<i>Slanted font</i>
<code>\ttfamily</code>	Teletype font (Consolas)
<code>\mdseries</code>	Medium weight font

As any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding. The paralogisms of practical reason are what first give rise to the architectonic of practical reason. As will easily be shown in the next section, reason would thereby be made to contradict, in view of these considerations, the Ideal of practical reason, yet the manifold depends on the phenomena. Necessity depends on, when thus treated as the practical employment of the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions, time. Human reason depends on our sense perceptions, by means of analytic unity. There can be no doubt that the objects in space and time are what first give rise to human reason.

Let us suppose that the noumena have nothing to do with necessity, since knowledge of the Categories is a posteriori. Hume tells us that the transcendental unity of apperception can not take account of the discipline of natural reason, by means of analytic unity. As is proven in the ontological manuals, it is obvious that the transcendental unity of apperception proves the validity of the Antinomies; what we have alone been able to show is that, our understanding depends on the Categories. It remains a mystery why the Ideal stands in need of reason. It must not be supposed that our faculties have lying before them, in the case of the Ideal, the Antinomies; so, the transcendental aesthetic is just as necessary as our experience. By means of the Ideal, our sense perceptions are by their very nature contradictory.

$$\ln \sin x \neq \iiint_v f(x, y, z) dV = \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \Re(s) > 1 \quad (1)$$