

## 9 Natural Transformations

### Definitions and Examples

**Exercise 9.1.** This is obvious from Lemma 4.8.

**Exercise 9.2.** This is exactly the statement of ??.

**Exercise 9.3.** The commutative diagram in ?? is exactly the statement that the map is natural.

**Exercise 9.4.** Commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n(X, A) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_n(Y, B) \\ \partial \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial \\ H_{n-1}(A, \emptyset) & \xrightarrow{(f|_A)_*} & H_{n-1}(B, \emptyset) \end{array}$$

follows from the exact sequence in Theorem 5.8, since  $H_{n-1}(A, \emptyset) = H_{n-1}(A)$ .

**Exercise 9.5.** This is again precisely the statement from ??.

**Exercise 9.6.**

(i) Suppose  $\sigma : F \rightarrow G$  and  $\tau : G \rightarrow H$  are natural. Then we can “stack” the commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(C) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & F(D) \\ \sigma_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_D \\ G(C) & \xrightarrow{Gf} & G(D) \\ \tau_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_D \\ H(C) & \xrightarrow{Hf} & H(D) \end{array}$$

Hence it follows that  $\tau\sigma = (\tau_C\sigma_C)$  gives a natural transformation.

(ii) Reflexivity is due to the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(C) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & G(C) \\ 1_{F(C)} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_{G(C)} \\ F(C) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & G(C) \end{array}$$

To see symmetry, simply choose  $\tau_C^{-1}$  for each object  $C$ . This can be done because each  $\tau_C$  is an equivalence. Finally, transitivity follows from the previous part and the fact that the composition of equivalences is an equivalence.

**Exercise 9.7.**

- (i) If  $\varphi \in \text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(\_, A), F)$ , then  $\varphi_A$  is a map from  $\text{Hom}(A, A)$  to  $F(A)$ . Since  $1_A \in \text{Hom}(A, A)$ , it follows that  $\varphi_A(1_A) \in F(A)$ . Thus  $y$  is a well-defined function.
- (ii) We must check that  $\tau \in \text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(\_, A), F)$  whenever  $\mu \in F(A)$ . First, observe that  $\tau_X$  is indeed a morphism from  $\text{Hom}(X, A)$  to  $F(X)$ . After all, if  $f : X \rightarrow A$ , then  $Ff : FA \rightarrow FX$ . Hence  $\tau_X(f) = (Ff)(\mu)$  is an element of  $F(X)$ .

To see that  $\tau$  is natural, we must show that the following diagram commutes for all  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(X, A) & \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}(f, A)} & \text{Hom}(Y, A) \\ \tau_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_Y \\ F(X) & \xleftarrow{Ff} & F(Y) \end{array}$$

But for each  $g \in \text{Hom}(X, A)$ , we know that

$$(Ff) \circ \tau_Y(g) = (Ff)(Fg(\mu)) = F(g \circ f)\mu,$$

while we have  $\text{Hom}(f, A) = f^*$ , so that

$$\tau_X \circ \text{Hom}(f, A)(g) = \tau_X \circ f^*(g) = \tau_X(g \circ f) = F(g \circ f)\mu.$$

These are equal, so  $\tau$  is a natural transformation.

(iii) First, we will show that  $y \circ y' : F(A) \rightarrow F(A)$  is the identity. Let  $\mu \in F(A)$ . Then we know that

$$y'(\mu) = \{\tau_X : f \mapsto (Ff)(\mu)\},$$

and so we have that

$$y(y'(\mu)) = (y'(\mu))_A(1_A) = F(1_A)(\mu).$$

But  $F$  is a functor, so  $F(1_A) = 1_{F(A)}$ , and so this is exactly equal to  $1_{F(A)}(\mu) = \mu$ , which proves that  $y \circ y'$  is the identity on  $F(A)$ .

Now to check  $y'y$ , suppose  $\varphi \in \text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(\_, A), F)$ . Then we know that

$$y'(y(\varphi)) = \{\tau_X : f \mapsto (Ff)(\varphi_A(1_A))\}.$$

We would like to show that

$$(Ff)(\varphi_A(1_A)) = \varphi_X f,$$

since this will imply that  $y'(y(\varphi)) = \varphi$ . But we know that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(X, A) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \text{Hom}(A, A) \\ \varphi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_A \\ F(X) & \xleftarrow{Ff} & F(A) \end{array}$$

Thus we know, in particular, that

$$Ff \circ \varphi_A(1_A) = \varphi_X f^*(1_A) = \varphi_X(1_A \circ f) = \varphi_X \circ f.$$

This is what we wanted.

(iv) If  $\varphi : \text{Hom}(\_, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\_, B)$  is natural, then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(X, A) & \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}(f, A)} & \text{Hom}(Y, A) \\ \varphi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_Y \\ \text{Hom}(X, B) & \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}(f, B)} & \text{Hom}(Y, B) \end{array}$$

Let  $F$  be the functor  $\text{Hom}(\_, B)$ . Then we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_X(f) &= y'(y(\varphi))_X(f) \\ &= (Ff)(\varphi_A(1_A)) \\ &= \text{Hom}(f, B)(\varphi_A(1_A)) \\ &= \varphi_A(1_A) \circ f, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f : X \rightarrow A$ . Thus  $\varphi_X(f) = \mu f$ , as desired.

(v) Same proof.

**Exercise 9.8.** We must verify the properties of a category. To see that the family of  $\text{Hom}(F, G)$ 's, where  $F$  and  $G$  are functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , is disjoint, notice that this means that there exists some  $\tau = (\tau_C : F(C) \rightarrow G(C))$  and  $\sigma = (\sigma_C : F'(C) \rightarrow G'(C))$  which are equal. Hence  $F(C) = F'(C)$  and  $G(C) = G'(C)$  for all  $C$ , since  $\tau_C = \sigma_C$  is in both  $\text{Hom}(F(C), G(C))$  and  $\text{Hom}(F'(C), G'(C))$ . Since this is true for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , it follows that  $F = F'$  and  $G = G'$ .

Composition of natural transformations reduces to composition of morphisms, which is associative.

Finally, note that  $1_A \in \text{Hom}(F, F)$  given by

$$1_A = \{(1_A)_C = 1_{F(C)}\}$$

works as an identity morphism.

**Exercise 9.9.**

(i) We shall verify the properties of a contravariant functor. The functor gives us a complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow C_{-1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since  $C_n$  is abelian, we know that  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  implies that  $C(n) = C_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The only morphisms in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\iota_y^x$  when  $x \leq y$ . Note that  $C(\iota_y^x)$  is the composition  $\partial_{x+1} \circ \dots \circ \partial_y : C_y \rightarrow C_x$ . We must verify that composition is reversed and identities are respected. But this is clear from the definition:

$$\text{]iota}_z^y \circ \iota_y^x = \iota_z^x = \partial_{x+1} \circ \dots \circ \partial_z$$

is exactly  $C(\iota_y^x) \circ C(\iota_z^y)$ , and  $C(\iota_x^x)$  is the composition of an empty set of differentiation operators, and thus is the identity.

(ii) The chain map condition is exactly the condition of commutativity.

## Eilenberg–Steenrod Axioms

No exercises!

## Chain Equivalences

**Exercise 9.10.** To prove (i) implies (ii), note that  $ps = 1_C$  implies  $s$  is injective. Then the same argument as in Corollary 9.2 implies that  $B = \ker p \oplus \text{im } s$ . Of course, we have  $\ker p = \text{im } i$  and  $C' = \text{im } s = s(C) \cong C$ . Since  $p(C') = C$ , this proves the first implication.

The second implication is clear. In particular, consider  $q : B \rightarrow A$  defined by  $(i(x), c) \mapsto x$ . Then  $qi(a) = q(i(a)) = a$ .

Finally, to show (iii) implies (i), define  $s(c)$  as

$$s(c) = p^{-1}(c) - iqp^{-1}(c).$$

To see that this is well-defined, pick  $b \in \ker p = \text{im } i$ , so  $b = i(a)$ . Thus  $b - iq(b) = i(a) - iqi(a) = 0$ . Hence  $p(b) = p(b')$  means that  $b - iqb = b' - iqb'$ , proving well-definedness. To see that this choice of  $s$  gives a split exact sequence, simply verify that

$$ps(c) = p(p^{-1}(c) - iqp^{-1}(c)) = c - piqp^{-1}(c).$$

Since  $pi = 0$ , this is equal to  $c$ .

## Acyclic Models

**Exercise 9.11.** First we show that the diagram given by Rotman commutes, i.e., that

$$\partial_n(t_n - t_{;n} - s_{n-1}d_n) = 0.$$

We know that

$$\partial_n t_n - \partial_n t'_n - \partial_n s_{n-1} d_n = t_{n-1} d_n - t'_{n-1} d_n - \partial_n s_{n-1} d_n.$$

The inductive hypothesis implies that

$$\partial_n s_{n-1} = t_{n-1} - t'_{n-1} - s_{n-2} d_{n-1}.$$

Plugging this value in and canceling gives us

$$\partial_n t_n - \partial_n t'_n - \partial_n s_{n-1} d_n = s_{n-2} d_{n-1} d_n = 0,$$

because  $dd = 0$ .

Thus the diagram commutes. In particular, we know that

$$\text{im}(t_n - t'_n - s_{n-1} d_n) \subseteq \ker \partial_n = \text{im } \partial_{n+1},$$

where the final equality comes from the fact that  $E_*$  is an acyclic complex. This means that we can rewrite the diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & F_n & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & t_n - t'_n - s_{n-1} d_n & & & \\ E_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} & \text{im}(t_n - t'_n - s_{n-1} d_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial_n=0} & 0 \\ & & = \text{im } \partial_{n+1} = \ker \partial_n & & \end{array}$$

Thus Theorem 9.1 implies that we can find  $s_n$  with the desired properties.

**Exercise 9.12.** We have  $F(g) = F(0 + g) = F(0) + F(g)$ , so  $F(0)$  acts as the 0 element. If  $A$  is the zero group, then its identity is the zero homomorphism. Hence  $1_{F(A)} = F(1_A)$  is the zero homomorphism, so  $F(A) = 0$ .

**Exercise 9.13.**

- (i) We'll prove the covariant case. By Exercise 9.10, we have a morphism  $q : A \rightarrow B$  with  $qi = 1_A$ . Note that  $(Fp) \circ (Fs) = F(p \circ s) = F(1_C) = 1_{F(C)}$ , and similarly for  $q$  and  $i$ , so that we still have a split sequence, as long as it is exact. Moreover, these imply that  $Fp$  is surjective and  $Fi$  is injective.

It now suffices to check that  $\text{im } Fi = \ker Fp$ . But notice that  $B \cong iq(B) \oplus sp(B)$  implies that  $F(B)$  is equal to the functored version of the right side, thus making the center of the short functored sequence exact.

- (ii) This simply uses induction on  $|I| = n + 1$  and the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \xrightarrow{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i \xrightarrow{p} A_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that this is split exact with  $s : a_{n+1} \mapsto (0, \dots, 0, a_{n+1})$ . Thus the previous part applies, and Exercise 9.10 implies that

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i\right) \cong F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \oplus F(A_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} F(A_i),$$

where the last equality follows from the inductive hypothesis.

**Exercise 9.14.**

- (i) If  $\partial_n \partial_{n+1} = 0$ , then  $F(\partial_n \partial_{n+1}) = 0$  thanks to additivity. This proves that the functored complex is a chain complex too.
- (ii) Note that  $f_{n-1} \partial_n = \partial'_n f_n$  implies that  $F(f_{n-1} \partial_n) = F(\partial'_n f_n)$ . Since functors respect composition, this proves the result.

- (iii) Note that additive functors respect homotopy because they respect both composition and addition. Hence if  $g : B_* \rightarrow A_*$  makes  $f$  an equivalence, i.e., if  $g \circ f \simeq 1_{A_*}$  and  $f \circ g \simeq 1_{B_*}$ , then it follows that

$$Fg \circ Ff \simeq F1_{A_*} = 1_{FA_*},$$

and similarly for  $B$ . Hence  $Fg$  is an inverse for  $Ff$ , so  $Ff$  is a chain equivalence.

**Exercise 9.15.**

- (i) This simply involves applying Corollary 9.13(ii). In particular, we know that  $F_p$  and  $S_p$  are both free with basis in  $\mathcal{M} = \{\Delta^p\}$ . We want to show that  $\Delta^p$  is totally  $S$ - and  $F$ -acyclic. But notice that  $\tilde{H}_n(S_*(\Delta^k)) = 0$  because  $\Delta^k$  is contractible, and similarly for  $F_p$ , since it coincides with  $C_p$  on  $\Delta$ . This proves acyclicity, and so the two are naturally chain equivalent.
- (ii) Theorem 9.8 implies that singular and large simplicial homology are the same, while Theorem 7.22 implies that normal simplicial and singular homology are the same.

## Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem

**Exercise 9.16.** Notice that  $1_G$  induces  $1_{G/tG} : x + tG \mapsto x + tG$ . Hence, with any basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $G/tG$ , we have  $1_{G/tG}$  equal to the identity matrix whose dimension is  $\text{rank } G/tG$ .

**Exercise 9.17.** A basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  of  $G'/tG'$  can be extended to  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $G/tG$ . Since  $G''$  is just  $G/G'$  and  $f''(g + G') = pf(g) = f(g) + G'$ . Thus  $f''(x_i + G') = f(x_i) + G'$  for  $i = k + 1, \dots, n$ . Thus the matrix of  $f$  is diagonal, of the form shown on p. 259 of the textbook, which implies the result.

**Exercise 9.18.** If  $f : S^n \rightarrow S^n$ , then  $f_{0*}$  and  $f_{n*}$  are maps  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $f_{0*}$  is the identity, and thus has trace 1. If  $\text{tr } f_{n*} = 1$  as well, then the whole map is homotopic to either the identity or the antipodal map, implying that  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence. Thus  $\text{tr } f_{n*} = 0$ , and so  $\lambda(f) = 1 \neq 0$ . The Lefschetz fixed point theorem implies the result.

## Tensor Products

**Exercise 9.19.** Note that

$$\begin{aligned} a \otimes 0 + a' \otimes b' &= a \otimes 0 + (a \otimes b' + (a' - a) \otimes b') \\ &= a \otimes b' + (a' - a) \otimes b' \\ &= a' \otimes b', \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for  $0 \otimes b$ .

**Exercise 9.20.** We would like to show that  $m(a, b) \sim (ma, b)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is true for  $m > 0$  by induction, true for  $m = 0$  by Exercise 9.19, and true for  $m < 0$  by inverses.

**Exercise 9.21.** The hint gives the full solution. If  $a \in A$  then there exists some  $m > 0$  so that  $ma = 0$ . Hence  $a \otimes q = ma \otimes (q/m) = 0$ . Since this is true for all generators of  $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , the result follows.

**Exercise 9.22.** Let  $m$  be the order of  $a \in A$  and  $n$  the order of  $b \in B$ . Then we know that  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , so that there exist integers  $x, y$  with  $mx + ny = 1$ . Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a \otimes b &= a \otimes (mx + ny)b \\ &= (mx + ny)(a \otimes b) \\ &= mx(a \otimes b) + ny(a \otimes b) \\ &= (mxa \otimes b) + (a \otimes nyb) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 9.23.** This is the exact same argument as Corollary 9.27.

**Exercise 9.24.**

- (i) Use Theorem 9.25(ii) with the fact that  $A \times B \cong B \times A$ .  
(ii) To see this, simply consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{1_A \otimes f} & A \otimes C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1_A} & C \otimes A \end{array}$$

**Exercise 9.25.** Note that  $T_A(f + g) = 1_A \otimes f + 1_A \otimes g$ , since both maps complete the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & A \otimes B \\ & \searrow \varphi & \swarrow \\ & A \otimes B & \end{array}$$

where  $\varphi(a, b) = (a, (f + g)(b))$ . But note that  $1_A \otimes f + 1_A \otimes g$  is just  $T_A(f) + T_A(g)$ , proving additivity.

**Exercise 9.26.** This is clear, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A \otimes f : A \otimes B &\rightarrow A \times B \\ a \otimes b &\mapsto a \otimes fb = a \otimes mb = m(a \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 9.27.**

- (i) This is easy to show directly. In particular, we show that  $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$  is an isomorphism. We would like to show that  $1 \otimes a = n \otimes b$  if  $nb = a$ . But  $n \otimes b = n(1 \otimes b) = 1 \otimes (nb) = 1 \otimes a$ , as desired. Hence this map is surjective. It is injective because, otherwise, every  $1 \otimes a$  would be 0, which would violate the universal property of tensor products given by Theorem 9.25. Hence this is an isomorphism.  
(ii) We must show that the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \otimes A & \xrightarrow{1_A \otimes f} & \mathbb{Z} \otimes B \\ \tau_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

This commutes because

$$\tau_B \circ (1_A \otimes f) : (n, a) \mapsto (n, f(a)) \mapsto nf(a),$$

while

$$f \circ \tau_A : (n, a) \mapsto na \mapsto f(na),$$

and  $nf(a) = f(na)$  since  $f$  is a homomorphism.

## Universal Coefficients

**Exercise 9.28.**

- (i) We can write  $F = \sum A_j$  where  $A_j = \mathbb{Z}x_j$ , and  $F' = \sum A'_k$  where  $A'_k = \mathbb{Z}x'_k$ . Then  $F \otimes F'$  is just

$$\begin{aligned} F \otimes F' &= F \otimes \sum A'_k = \sum (F \otimes A'_k) \\ &= \sum \left( \sum A_j \otimes A'_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} A_j \otimes A'_k. \end{aligned}$$

But it is easy to verify that  $A_j \otimes A'_k = \mathbb{Z}(x_j \otimes x'_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , which proves the result.

(ii) This is obvious from the previous part since

$$\text{rank } F \otimes F' = |J \times K| = |J||K| = \text{rank } F \text{rank } F'$$

**Exercise 9.29.** This is simply an application of Theorem 9.28 and Corollary 9.30, along with Exercise 9.27. We end up with

$$A \otimes B = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}.$$

**Exercise 9.30.**

(i) Using coordinate-wise addition and scalar multiplication of the form

$$p \sum (q_i, g_i) = \sum (pq_i, g_i)$$

shows that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes G$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Hence  $\dim \mathbb{Q} \otimes G$  is defined.

(ii) This follows immediately from the Tor exact sequence, along with the fact that  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}, B) = 0$  for all  $B$ .

**Exercise 9.31.** This is simply a calculation using the properties of Tor. We end up with

$$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}.$$

**Exercise 9.32.** Using Exercise 9.30 with the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/F \rightarrow 0$$

gives us

$$\dim \mathbb{Q} \otimes G = \dim \mathbb{Q} \otimes F + \dim \mathbb{Q} \otimes G/F.$$

But  $\dim \mathbb{Q} \otimes G/F = 0$  by Exercise 9.21 and the fact that  $G/F$  is torsion. Moreover, we know that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes F$  has basis  $(1, x_i)$ , where  $x_i$  is a generator of  $F$ , so  $\dim \mathbb{Q} \otimes F = \text{rank } F = \text{rank } G$ , which proves the result.

**Exercise 9.33.** Note that [Tor 1] and [Tor 5] imply that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(B', A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(B, A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(B'', A) \rightarrow B' \otimes A \rightarrow B \otimes A \rightarrow B'' \otimes A \rightarrow 0,$$

since  $B \otimes A \cong A \otimes B$  by Exercise 9.24. But if  $A$  is torsion-free, then  $\text{Tor}(B'', A) = 0$  by [Tor 2], which gives us the desired exact sequence.

**Exercise 9.34.** This is false! Consider, for example, when  $F = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $a = 2$ ,  $h = 1$ . In general, we need the condition that if  $a = \sum m_j x_j$ , where  $\{x_j\}$  is a basis for  $F$ , then  $m_j h \neq 0$  for at least some  $j$ . After all, we need that

$$a \otimes h = (m_j x_j \otimes h)_j = (m_j h) \neq 0.$$

**Exercise 9.35.** Let  $\alpha$  be the map  $(\text{cls } z) \otimes g \mapsto \text{cls}(z \otimes g)$ . Then the Universal Coefficients Theorem implies that

$$0 \longrightarrow H_n(X) \otimes G \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_n(X; G) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X), G) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Of course, since  $G$  is torsion-free, we know that  $\text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X), G) = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism.

**Exercise 9.36.** Use the second part of the Universal Coefficients Theorem. In particular, it gives us that

$$H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \cong (H_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_{n-1}(X)[m],$$

since

$$\text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X), \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) = H_{n-1}(X)[m]$$

by [Tor 4]. If  $H_{n-1}(X)$  is torsion-free, the second term is zero, which gives the conclusion.

## Eilenberg–Zilber Theorem and the Künneth Formula

**Exercise 9.37.** This is a straightforward calculation. In particular, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \otimes \mu)_{n-1} D_n(c_i \otimes e_j) &= (\lambda \otimes \mu)_{n-1} (dc_i \otimes e_j + (-1)^i c_i \otimes \partial e_j) \\ &= (\lambda_{i-1} \otimes \mu_j)(dc_i \otimes e_j) + (\lambda_i \otimes \mu_{j-1})((-1)^i c_i \otimes \partial e_j) \\ &= \lambda_{i-1} dc_i \otimes \mu_j e_j + (-1)^i \lambda_i c_i \otimes \mu_{j-1} \partial e_j. \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} D'_n(\lambda \otimes \mu)_n(c_i \otimes e_j) &= D'_n(\lambda_i \otimes \mu_j)(c_i \otimes e_j) \\ &= D'_n(\lambda_i c_i \otimes \mu_j e_j) \\ &= d\lambda_i c_i \otimes \mu_j e_j + (-1)^i \lambda_i c_i \otimes \partial(\mu_j e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Of course, we know that  $d\lambda = \lambda d$  and  $\partial\mu = \mu\partial$ , which implies the result.

**Exercise 9.38.** Note that it suffices to prove the hint, since transitivity will finish the proof. The proof of the hint is a routine, if long, computation.

**Exercise 9.39.** Suppose  $\lambda : C_* \rightarrow C'_*$  and  $\lambda' : C'_* \rightarrow C_*$  with  $\lambda \circ \lambda' \simeq 1_{C'_*}$  and  $\lambda' \circ \lambda \simeq 1_{C_*}$ . Similarly define  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$ . Then Exercise 9.38 implies that

$$\lambda \otimes \mu : C_* \otimes E_* \rightarrow C'_* \otimes E'_*,$$

and similarly for  $\lambda' \otimes \mu'$ . But

$$(\lambda \otimes \mu) \circ (\lambda' \otimes \mu') = (\lambda\lambda') \otimes (\mu\mu') \simeq 1_{C'_*} \otimes 1_{E'_*} = 1_{C'_* \otimes E'_*}.$$

The same calculation holds for the other composition, which proves chain equivalence.

**Exercise 9.40.** Each  $n$  (i.e., each  $0 \rightarrow S'_n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow S''_n \rightarrow 0$ ) works because  $E_*$  is a chain complex, hence  $E_n$  is free.

**Exercise 9.41.** For  $n \geq 1$ , we know that  $H_n(X) = 0 = H_n(Y)$ . Hence the Künneth formula implies that

$$H_n(X \times Y) \cong \sum_{i+j=n} H_i(X) \otimes H_j(Y) \oplus \sum_{p+q=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_p(X), H_q(Y)).$$

But the first term is 0 since one of  $i, j$  is at least 1, and thus one of  $H_i(X), H_j(Y)$  is 0. The second term is zero since the only way for  $H_p(X)$  and  $H_q(Y)$  to both be nonzero is if  $p = q = 0$ , in which case both homology groups are free. Hence the torsion  $\text{Tor}(H_0(X), H_0(Y))$  is zero in that case too.

**Exercise 9.42.** For path-connected  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have

$$H_1(X \times Y) = H_0(X) \otimes H_1(Y) \oplus H_1(X) \otimes H_0(Y) \oplus \text{Tor}(H_0(X), H_0(Y)).$$

But  $H_0(X) = H_0(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ , and so using Exercise 9.27 gives us that the first two terms are  $H_1(Y)$  and  $H_1(X)$ , respectively, while  $[\text{Tor } 2]$  implies that the last term is 0. This gives the first equation.

For  $H_2$ , notice that the Tor terms have either  $H_0(X)$  or  $H_0(Y)$ , so  $[\text{Tor } 2]$  implies that they are 0. Hence

$$H_2(X \times Y) = [H_0(X) \otimes H_2(Y)] \oplus [H_1(X) \otimes H_1(Y)] \oplus [H_2(X) \otimes H_0(Y)].$$

Using  $H_0(X) = H_0(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$  again gives the result.

**Exercise 9.43.** This splits into multiple cases and is slightly annoying. We end up with the following:

$$H_p(K \times \mathbb{R}P^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & p \geq n+2 \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p = n+1, n \text{ odd} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p = n+1, n \text{ even} \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p = n, n \text{ odd} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p = n, n \text{ even} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & 1 < p < n \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p = 1, p \neq n \\ \mathbb{Z} & p = 0 \end{cases}$$



**Exercise 9.44.** We once again have many, many cases.

$$H_p(\mathbb{R}P^n \times S^m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & p = 0, m \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & p = m = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p \text{ odd}, p < \min(m, n) \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & m \text{ odd}, p = m \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & m \text{ odd}, p \text{ odd between } m \text{ and } n \\ \mathbb{Z} & m \text{ odd}, p \text{ even}, p \leq m + n \\ \mathbb{Z} & m \text{ even}, p = m \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & m \text{ even}, p \text{ odd between } m \text{ and } n \\ \mathbb{Z} & m \text{ even}, p \text{ odd}, p \leq m + n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Something like that, I can't quite read my work anymore.)

**Exercise 9.45.** This is the exact same idea, but I'll admit I didn't work it all out.

**Exercise 9.46.** It turns out that the machinery we have (i.e., fundamental groups) isn't sufficient to distinguish  $S^1 \vee S^2 \vee S^3$  from  $S^1 \times S^2$ , as they both have fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, this seems to require cohomology (see ??).

**Exercise 9.47.** We use the Künneth formula here. Note that the homology groups of  $S^1$  are all cyclic or zero, so the Tor terms are zero. Hence

$$H_n(S^1 \times S^1) = \sum_{i+j=n} H_i(S^1) \otimes H_j(S^1).$$

If  $n > 2$ , then one of  $H_i(S^1)$  and  $H_j(S^1)$  is zero, so

$$H_n(S^1 \times S^1) = 0 \quad n > 2.$$

When  $n = 0$ , then we have  $i = 0, j = 0$ , so

$$H_0(S^1 \times S^1) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$$

If  $n = 1$ , then we have  $(i, j) = (0, 1), (1, 0)$ , and so

$$H_1(S^1 \times S^1) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

Finally, if  $n = 2$ , then we only have to consider  $(i, j) = (1, 1)$ , so that

$$H_2(S^1 \times S^1) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now recall that

$$H_n(K_1 \vee K_2) \cong H_n(K_1) \oplus H_n(K_2),$$

so we know that

$$H_n(S^2 \vee S^1 \vee S^1) \cong H_n(S^2) \oplus H_n(S^1) \oplus H_n(S^1).$$

We know the homology groups of  $S^1$  and  $S^2$ , and working them out gives the same homology groups as those of  $S^1 \times S^1$ .

(Interestingly, the fundamental groups of these two spaces are different from one another, which one can show using Seifert–Van Kampen. I wonder if Rotman mixed up this problem with Exercise 9.46.)

**Exercise 9.48.**

- (i) This is straightforward using the fact that the homology of wedges is the direct sum of homology groups. Hence both homology groups are  $\mathbb{Z}$  when  $n = 0, 3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  when  $n = 1$ , and 0 otherwise.

- (ii) According to a cursory search online, this requires universal coverings.
- (iii) This seems to be another mistake on Rotman's part, as he seems to have thought that  $\mathbb{R}P^3$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \vee S^3$  had different fundamental groups. In fact, they both have  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  as their fundamental group, and so it is obvious that  $\mathbb{R}P^3 \times \mathbb{R}P^2$  and  $(\mathbb{R}P^2 \vee S^3) \times \mathbb{R}P^2$  have the same homology groups and fundamental group.

**Exercise 9.49.** Since the homology groups of  $S^1$  are all cyclic or zero, the Tor terms in the Künneth formula don't count. Suppose that

$$H_n(T^{r-1}) = \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{r-1}{n}}.$$

Note that this is true for  $r = 1$ . Then we have that

$$H_n(S^1 \times T^{r-1}) \cong \sum_{i+j=n} H_i(S^1) \otimes H_j(T^{r-1}) = H_n(T^{r-1}) \oplus H_{n-1}(T^{r-1}).$$

But of course we know that

$$\binom{r-1}{n} + \binom{r-1}{n-1} = \binom{r}{n},$$

and so it follows that

$$H_n(T^r) = H_n(S^1 \times T^{r-1}) = \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{r}{n}}.$$