

Project : Altyor

Students : Coralie BORDEY , Sophie FERGOUS. Loic OLLEVIER

Detailed assessment

| | Assessment criteria | Max. points | Given points | Comments |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| 1 | Project context & scope | 2.0 | 2 | Clear introduction & presentation |
| 2 | Target(s) description | 2.0 | 2 | |
| 3 | PM methodology application relevance | 2.0 | 2 | Project nicely presented |
| 4 | Team spirit | 1.0 | 1 | Good interaction between each presenters |
| 5 | Planning & milestones | 2.0 | 2 | Clear and neat |
| 6 | Roles & responsibilities matrix | 2.0 | 0 | Not addressed |
| 7 | Progress review management | 1.0 | 1 | |
| 8 | Results & conclusion | 2.0 | 1.5 | |
| 9 | Future of the project | 1.0 | 0.5 | |
| 10 | Risk management | 1.0 | 0 | Not addressed |
| 11 | Return on experience | 1.0 | 0.5 | |
| 12 | PM vocabulary and speaking skills | 1.0 | 1 | |
| 13 | Presentation coherence & organization | 1.0 | 1 | Presentation well structured and presentation controlled |
| 14 | Timeliness (+/- 1 minute) | 0.5 | 0.25 | |
| 15 | Creativity in the presentation | 0.5 | 0.25 | |
| Result (/20) | | | 0 | 15/20 |

Global comments from the lecturers

Presentation gives a good feeling that project was nicely managed. Except for Coralie's part the presentation were well controlled (Coralie spent her whole presentation facing the screen reading her slides!). Grade could have been higher if RACI and Risk Management were presented/managed.