Assessor: Ba Min Sein Aye



UM10 Project Management - Autumn Semester 2018

ASSESSMENT by UM10 LECTURERS

(team assessment)

Project : System in Motion Students : Maxime Gilberto, Romain Joly, Maxime Leleu

Deta	iled assessment			
	Assessment criteria	Max. points	Given points	Comments
1	Project context & scope	2.0	2	Clear Introduction of project scope, stakeholders and objectives.
2	Target(s) description	2.0	2	
3	PM methodology application relevance	2.0	1.5	Some doubt regarding SWOT weekly meeting relevance. Should use same list of activities in Expectation Vs Reality.
4	Team spirit	1.0	0.5	Presentation was not well prepared enough.
5	Planning & milestones	2.0	1.5	
6	Roles & responsabilities matrix	2.0	0.5	Not clearly presented. Could be seen via Gantt chart but a dedicated slide with RACI would have been better.
7	Progress review management	1.0	0.5	
8	Results & conclusion	2.0	1.5	From someone not involved in the project, it was not clear at the end what is the magic box
9	Future of the project	1.0	1	
10	Risk management	1.0	0	Not addressed
11	Return on experience	1.0	0.5	Addressed during Q/A only
12	PM vocabulary and speaking skills	1.0	1	
13	Presentation coherence & organization	1.0	0.5	PPT structure is ok. But presentation was not at expected level
14	Timeliness (+/- 1 minute)	0.5	0	Duration of the presentation not well controlledmost likely due to lack of rehearsal
15	Creativity in the presentation	0.5	0	Presentation was very linear and PPT lack of sparks
Result (/20)			0	13/20
Global comments from the lecturers			team n	resentation point of view, the fact that all nembers were stuck on the corner of the room was disturbing. It also clearly showed that presentation was nearsed before.