

Project : SUEZ

Students : Issam KAMIL, Paul HERKENS, Marie MERIC

Detailed assessment

	Assessment criteria	Max. points	Given points	Comments
1	Project context & scope	2.0	2	Clear Introduction. Some improvements though: some misleading titles in the PPT lead audience to confusion state.
2	Target(s) description	2.0	2	
3	PM methodology application relevance	2.0	1	More PM methodologies could have been used on the project.
4	Team spirit	1.0	1	Good Team spirit during presentation.
5	Planning & milestones	2.0	1.5	Delay of the project not clearly presented. We see some tasks being deleted but was not obvious it was due to project delay.
6	Roles & responsibilities matrix	2.0	0	Not addressed
7	Progress review management	1.0	1	
8	Results & conclusion	2.0	1.5	
9	Future of the project	1.0	1	
10	Risk management	1.0	0	Not addressed
11	Return on experience	1.0	1	
12	PM vocabulary and speaking skills	1.0	1	
13	Presentation coherence & organization	1.0	0.5	Very nice presentation from material and presentation perspective. Pleasant and smooth to follow.
14	Timeliness (+/- 1 minute)	0.5	0.5	Time was well controlled.
15	Creativity in the presentation	0.5	0.5	Lot of visuals makes presentation vivid and easy to follow
Result (/20)			0	14.5/20

Global comments from the lecturers

Appreciated a lot the presentation. It is a pity that some PM topics were not addressed in the presentation, leading overall grade to be not as good as it could have been.