CS 124, Problem Set 4

Jessica Wang

March 4, 2016

Problem 1

Algorithm

This algorithm will store 5 integer values which are intialized as follows: maxSum = 0, startIndex = 0, endIndex = 0, currentSum = 0 and currentSumStart = 0. maxSum will hold the current maximum consecutive sum found within the array with startIndex and endIndex representing those consecutive numbers. currentSum represents the current consecutive sum being started with currentSumStart representing the starting index of that current consecutive sum. The function will iterate through the array A and at each index i it will calculate currentSum + i can work out according to the following three cases:

Case 1 currentSum + A[i] > currentSum: This means adding the value A[i] will increase the current consecutive sum, thus if the new currentSum + A[i] > maxSum update maxSum = currentSum + i, startIndex = currentSumStart and endIndex = i. Lastly no matter what, update currentSum + A[i] before moving to the next index.

Case 2 0 < currentSum + A[i] < currentSum: This means adding the value A[i] will decrease the current consecutive sum, so only update currentSum + A[i] before moving to the next index.

Case 3 0 > currentSum + A[i]: Since adding A[i] will make the consecutive sum negative, it is better to start the next consecutive sum at A[i+1] instead of adding the previous negative value. Therefore update currentSumStart = i+1 and currentSum = 0 before moving to the next index.

Recursive Equation

Let o(i) represent the largest connsective sum of the subsets A[s,i] for all $0 \le s \le i$. o(i) represents the largest connsective sum possible out of all the subsets that end with A[i]. o(i) can be found with the recursion below

$$o(i) = max(o(i+1) + A[i], 0)$$

The maximum consecutive sum can be found by

Maximum consecutive sum of n numbers = max(o(1), o(2), ..., o(n))

The base case for this recusion is the o(1). If A[1] is positive or 0, o(1) = A[1]. If A[1] is negative then o(1) = 0. Therefore by induction our algorithm is correct.

Time and Size Bounds

This algorithm only stores 5 constants so (assuming the space for the array is given separately) the algorithm takes O(1) space. The algorithm iterates through A, which has size n, once so the run time is O(n).

Problem 2

Constructed special functions

Define the following functions, optimal(j,k) is the minimal imbalance from of the subarray A[j,n] with k partitions. Let weightDifference(a,b) be $|w(A[a,b]) - \sum_{l=1}^{n} A[l]/k + 1|$, thus the weight difference between the subarray A[a,b] to the optimal weight distribution. Let $ideal = \sum_{l=1}^{n} A[l]/k + 1$.

Algorithm

Construct a lookup nxn matrix weights and iterate through the upper triangle of this matrix such that weights[i][j] = weightDifference(i, j). Construct another nxk+1 matrix optimalImbalance (with columns indexing at one and rows indexing at 0) which will eventually, in the upperleft corner, hold optimalImbalance[i][j] = optimal(i, j) with optimalImbalance[1][k] = optimal(1, k) the minimum imbalance of the entire array. Make an additional n by k+1 matrix divisorIndex (with columns indexing at one and rows indexing at 0) that mirrors optimalImbalance and allows us to record where the partitions are placed.

optimalImbalance will fill by iterating through j from 0 to k and for each j iterate through i from 1 to n-j. Each optimalImbalance[i][j] will be calculated by finding the min(optimalImbalance[i+1][j-1], weights[i], [i+1], max(optimalImbalance[i+2][j-1], weights[i][i+2]),, max(optimalImbalance[n-j+1][j-1], weights[i][n-j+1])). This finds the optimal placement of <math>j divisors in the subarray A[i,n] by first determining the imbalance of putting the first divisor at index i (making partition A[i,i] and dividing the remaining A[i+1,n] optimally by calculating max(optimalImbalance[i+2][j-1], weights[i][i+2]) which is equal to calculating max(optimal(i+2,j-1), weightDifference(i,i+2)). It will then determine the imbalance of putting the first divisor at index i+1 and iterate through all possible placements of the first divisor (up to n-j+1). The minimum of all these specific partition's imbalances will be the optimal minimum imbalance for placing of j divisors in the subarray A[i,n]. Therefore there must be some index i+d where $i \leq d \leq n-j+1$ where i+d is the optimal index to place the first partition. i+d can be easily aquired since it will be the minimum max(optimalImbalance[i+d][j-1], weights[i][i+d]). Store divisorIndex[i][j] = i+d.

The minimum imbalance of placing k divisors into A can be found in optimalImbalances[1][k] = optimal(i, k). To find the indicies of each divisor $d_1, d_2, ..., d_k$ use the divisorIndex. Iterate through j from k to 1, starting at divisorIndex[1][k] the value stored there, say d_1 , will indicate where to put the first divisor. To find the next divisor to to $divisorIndex[d_1][k-1]$, which will give you value d_2 to put the second divisor. Looking at $divisorIndex[d_2][k-2]$ will give you d_3 , so on and so forth, allowing you to find all $d_1, d_2, ..., d_k$.

Recursive Equation

Calculating the values of optimalImbalances[i][j] is the same as calculating optime(i, j). This can be done by the following recursive equation:

$$optimal(i,j) = min(max(weightDifference(i,p), optimal(p+1,j-1))) \\$$

 $\forall p \ such \ that \ i \leq p \leq n-j+1$

The base case for this algorithm would be optimal(i, 0) for all $1 \le i \le n$. optimal(i, 0) represents the optimal imbalance of the sequence i...n with 0 dividors, thus as its own subset. Thus optimal(i, 0) =

weightDifference(i, n) which is easily calculated at the beginning. Therefore by induction this algorithm is correct.

Generalization

Changing the definition of imbalance would change our algorithm to calculate optimal(i, j) by the following recursion equation.

$$optimal(i, j) = min(weightDifference(i, p) + optimal(p + 1, j - 1))$$

$$\forall p \ such \ that \ i$$

The base case would again be optimal(i, 0) for all $1 \le i \le n$ and as shown above these are easy to calculate. Therefore by induction this algorithm is correct.

Time and Size Bounds

The nxn lookup matrix weightDifference is the biggest matrix needed to be stored so the space needed is $O(n^2)$. The algorithm iterates through element of optimalImbalances, which has size nxk+1, and at each element iterates through a possible n different x values for max(optimalImbalance[i+x][j-1], weights[i][i+x]). Therefore the run time is $O((k+1)n*n) = O((k+1)n^2)$.

Problem 3

Constructed special functions

Define the function $linePenlty(i,j) = (M-j+i+\sum_{k=i}^{j}\ell_k)^3$ if the words i through ij fit on a single line and None otherwise. Thus linePenalty(i,j) represents the penalty a line of words i through j.

Algorithm

Construct lookup matrix penaltyMatrix of sixe nxn by iterating through the upper triangle and intializing penaltyMatrix[i][j] = linePenalty(i, j). Create an array optimalPenalty of size n (index at 1). This will eveually be evaluated so optimalPenalty[i] will store the penalty number for creating the optimal paragraph of word i through n. Create an additional array lineBreaks of size n (index at 1) that mirrors optimalPenalty that will eventually be evaluated such that lineBreaks[i] stores the number of words that are located on the first line of the optimal arrangement indicated by optimalPenalty[i].

Evaluate optimal Penalty by iterating from index n to 1 (backwards through the array). This will reflect starting with the last word of the paragraph and adding the word in front of it one by one. To find the optimal arrangement of adding a word to the beginning of the text, first consider the overall penalty of placing the additional word on its own line and optimally placing the remaining words starting on the next line (penaltyMatrix[i][i]+optimalPenalty[i+1]). Next consider the overall penalty of placing the first two words of the new text on the first line and optimally placing the remaining words starting on the next line (penaltyMatrix[i][i+1]+optimalPenalty[i+2]). We can continue this until the first line cannot fit any additional words. Picking the minimum of these overal penalties will allow us to find the new optimal placement of the new text. In this way we find optimalPenalty[i] by looping through penaltyMatrix[i][i+j]+optimalPenalty[i+j+1] with

j from 0 until penaltyMatrix[i][i+j] == None. Save this value j such that penaltyMatrix[i][i+j] + optimalPenalty[i+j+1] is the minimum (the optimal placement of words, with j+1 words on the first line) by updating lineBreaks[i] = j+1. By the time we iterate from n to 1, the value at optimalPenlty[1] will be the overall penalty for the entire text.

There is a special case, which is if the optimal arrangement from words i to n only takes one line. This is dealt with by considering first penaltyMatrix[i][n]! = None (happens when words i to j fit on a single line) when arriving at index i of optimalPenalty. If penaltyMatrix[i][n]! = None then optimalPenalty[i] = 0 and lineBreaks[i] = n because the most optimal format would be the words fitting into the last line.

With optimal Penlty and line Breaks evaluated, to determine how to print the paragraph start by accessing the line break index lb_1 at line Breaks[1]. The next line will begin at word $lb_1 + 1$ so the next line break index lb_2 will be stored at $line Breaks[lb_1 + 1]$. Continue this format until $lb_i = n$ which indicates the i^{th} line is the last line of the paragraph.

Algorithm Code

The python code written to run the algorithm is attached in a seperate zip file. problem3.py is the python code itself. buffy.txt is the input, as taken from the course website. output40.txt is the optimal paragraph for M=40 and output72.txt is the optimal paragraph for M=72

Results

The code was written in python and attached to the submission. The results were as follows:

M=40, Found in output 40.txt

Buffy the Vampire Slayer fans are sure to get their fix with the DVD release of the show's first season. The three-disc collection includes all 12 episodes as well as many extras. There is a collection of interviews by the show's creator Joss Whedon in which he explains his inspiration for the show as well as comments on the various cast members. Much of the same material is covered in more depth with Whedon's commentary track for the show's first two episodes that make up the Buffy the Vampire Slayer pilot. The most interesting points of Whedon's commentary come from his explanation of the learning curve he encountered shifting from blockbuster films like Toy Story to a much lower-budget television series. The first disc also includes a short interview with David Boreanaz

who plays the role of Angel. Other features include the script for the pilot episodes, a trailer, a large photo gallery of publicity shots and in-depth biographies of Whedon and several of the show's stars, including Sarah Michelle Gellar, Alyson Hannigan and Nicholas Brendon.

M=72, Found in output72.txt

Buffy the Vampire Slayer fans are sure to get their fix with the DVD release of the show's first season. The three-disc collection includes all 12 episodes as well as many extras. There is a collection of interviews by the show's creator Joss Whedon in which he explains his inspiration for the show as well as comments on the various cast members. Much of the same material is covered in more depth with Whedon's commentary track for the show's first two episodes that make up the Buffy the Vampire Slayer pilot. The most interesting points of Whedon's commentary come from his explanation of the learning curve he encountered shifting from blockbuster films like Toy Story to a much lower-budget television series. The first disc also includes a short interview with David Boreanaz who plays the role of Angel. Other features include the script for the pilot episodes, a trailer, a large photo gallery of publicity shots and in-depth biographies of Whedon and several of the show's stars, including Sarah Michelle Gellar, Alyson Hannigan and Nicholas Brendon.

Recursive Equation

optimalPenalty can be found using the following recursive equation where optimalPenalty(i) is the value stored at optimalPenalty[i].

$$optimalPenalty(i) = min(linePenalty(i, i + p) + optimalPenalty(i + b + 1))$$

$$\forall p \ from \ 0 \ until \ linePenalty(i, i + p) == None$$

The base case for this algorithm would be all the cases where the worlds i through n fit on a single line. As explain in the algorithm for all these cases optimalPenalty(i) = 0. Thus by induction this

Time and Size Bounds

algorithm is correct.

penaltyMatrix is size nxn so the algorithm takes $O(n^2)$ time. It takes n^2 to fill penaltyMatrix. The algorithm iterates through optimalPenalty, which has size n, and at each index iterates through up to n values of x where penaltyMatrix[i][i + x] + optimalPenalty[i + x + 1]. Thus calculating optimalPenalty also takes n^2 time. The algorithm overall has run time $O(n^2)$.

Problem 4

Algorithm

Let $s_1s_2...s_n$ represent the data string and $dictionary = [w_1, w_2, ..., w_m]$ represent the dictionary strings in an array. Let l_{w_i} represent the length of dictionary string w_i . Construct array optimalWords of size n that indexes from 1 where optimalWords[i] relates to s_i . optimalWords will be evaluated such that optimalWords[i] will hold the minimum number of words needed to create the substring $s_1s_2....s_i$.

Initalize each index of optimalWords to the maximum integer. Iterate through optimalWords starting at i=1 and continuing to n. At each index optimalWords[i] iterate through the array dictionary starting at j=1 to m. For each dictionary word iterate through the letters of w_j and compare them to the letters $s_is_{i+1}s_{i+2}...s_{i+l_{w_j}}$. If the two sets of letters match that indicates that the word w_j starts at s_i . Thus the substring $s_1s_2...s_{i+l_{w_j}}$ could be constructed using the minimal configuration of words to make $s_1s_2...s_{i-1}$ and adding w_j starting at the s_i spot. Thus it would take optimalWords[i-1]+1 words to create $s_1s_2...s_{i+l_{w_j}}$. If optimalWords[i-1]+1 is less than what is currently stored at $optimalWords[i+l_{w_j}]$ this indicates that you found a new minimum configuration of words needed to create $s_1s_2....s_{i+l_{w_j}}$ so update $optimalWords[i+l_{w_j}] = optimalWords[i-1]+1$.

Once optimalWords has been completely evaluated the minimum number of dictionary words needed to create the string $s_1s_2...s_n$ will be optimalWords[n]. If optimalWords[n] is still the maximum integer then there exists no encoding of dictionary words that create the string $s_1s_2...s_n$.

Recursion

The calculation of optimalWords can be defined by the recursion below where optimalWords(i) = optimalWords[i]

$$optimalWords(i) = min(optimalWords(i - j - 1) + 1)$$

$$\forall j \text{ where } 0 \leq j \leq k \text{ and } s_{i-j}s_{i-j+1}...s_i \text{ is a dictionary word}$$

optimalWords[i] will be initialized to the maximum integer which represents that optimalWords(i) is null. Thus the base case is the first few optimalWords[i] which are not null. Therefore the base case are all the optimalWords[i] where $s_1s_2....s_i$ is a word in the dictionary. This is found in our algorithm and recorded in our algorithm when evaluating optimalWords[1] showing how these base cases exist and how to easily calculate them. Therefore by induction this algorithm is correct.

Time and Size Bounds

This algorithm stores two arrays, one of size n (optimal Words) and one of size m (dictionary). Thus the size bound of this algorithm is O(max(n,m)). The algorithm iterates through all n letters and for each letter iterates through all m dictionary words and for each dictionary word iterates up to k letters. Thus the run time is O(nmk).