Axioms (or Laws) of Probability [Ross S2.3, S2.4]

We wish to assign to each event E a probability, denoted P[E] (or P(E)).

How do we determine it?

Frequentist approach: Let n(E) be number of occurrences of E in n repeated experiments. Then define

$$P[E] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n(E)}{n}.$$
 (3.1)

Does this limit exist? In what sense?

Modern Approach: Instead, assume that certain rules (axioms) must hold.

[A2] 
$$P[S] = 1$$

[A1]  $0 \le P[E] \le 1$ 

[A3] If 
$$E_1, E_2, \ldots$$
 are disjoint (i.e., mutually exclusive), then

 $P[E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \ldots] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P[E_i]$ 

## Corollary 3.1 $P[\emptyset] = 0$ .

Why? Let  $E_1 = S, E_2 = \emptyset, E_3 = \emptyset, ...$ Then  $E_1, E_2, E_3, \ldots$  are disjoint.

 $P[E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \cdots] = P[E_1] + P[E_2] + P[E_3] + \cdots$ 

But this sum must be 
$$\leq 1$$
, so  $P[\emptyset] = 0$ .  
Corollary 3.2 Say  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  are disjoint. Then

 $P[\cup_{i=1}^n E_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n P[E_i]$ 

 $=P[S]+P[\emptyset]+P[\emptyset]+\cdots$  $= 1 + P[\emptyset] + P[\emptyset] + \cdots$ 

Why? Take  $\emptyset = E_{n+1} = E_{n+2} = \cdots$ . Then

$$=\sum_{i=1}^n P[E_i]+\sum_{i=n+1}^\infty P[E_i]$$
 
$$=\sum_{i=1}^n P[E_i]$$
 Example 3.1: If each of roulette's 38 possible outcomes are equally likely,

 $=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}P[E_{i}]$ 

 $P[\cup_{i=1}^n E_i] = P[\cup_{i=1}^\infty E_i]$ 

Hence,

 $P[00] = P[0] = \dots = P[36] = 1/38$ 

 $= P[2] + P[4] + \cdots + P[36]$ 

 $1 = P[\{00, 0, 1, \cdots, 36\}] = P[00] + P[0] + \cdots + P[36]$ 

 $P[00] = P[0] = P[1] \cdots = P[36]$ 

$$P[\text{even}] = P[\{2, 4, \dots, 36\}]$$

Why?

P[B] = 0.5

then

2)

Why? 
$$E$$
 and  $E^c$  are disjoint, and  $E \cup E^c = S$ . 
$$\Rightarrow \quad 1 = P[S] = P[E \cup E^c] = P[E] + P[E^c]$$

= 18/38 = 9/19

## **Corollary 3.4** *If* $E \subset F$ *then* $P[E] \leq P[F]$ .

So  $P[E^c] = 1 - P[E]$ 

Why? Since  $E \subset F$ , then

**Corollary 3.3**  $P[E^c] = 1 - P[E]$ 

•  $F=SF=(E\cup E^c)F=EF\cup E^cF=E\cup E^cF$ • E and  $E^cF$  are disjoint Then

 $\Rightarrow P[F] \ge P[E]$ 

**Example 3.2:** In roulette, odd  $\subset$  even<sup>c</sup>, so

**Corollary 3.5** 
$$P[E \cup F] = P[E] + P[F] - P[E \cap F]$$

 $\underbrace{P[\mathsf{odd}]}_{9/19} \le \underbrace{P[\mathsf{even}^c]}_{10/19}$ 

 $P[F] = P[E] + \underbrace{P[E^c F]}_{\geq 0}$ 

巨ニ エリエ F = TUT EUF = IUIU

Example 3.3: After 5 years, a car may need

i) new brakes with prob. 0.5

ii) new tires with prob. 0.4

iii) both with prob. 0.3

What is probability it needs neither?

Solution: 
$$B = \{\text{needs brakes}\}, T = \{\text{needs tires}\}$$

P[BT] = 0.3

 $P[E] + P[F] = P[I \cup II] + P[II \cup III]$ 

= P[I] + P[II] + P[II] + P[III]

 $= P[I \cup II \cup III] + P[II]$  $= P[E \cup F] + P[EF]$ 

= 1 - (P[B] + P[T] - P[BT])= 1 - (0.5 + 0.4 - 0.3)

Can we generalize the  $P[E \cup F]$  idea of Corollary 3.5? Yes!

 $= P[(B \cup T)^c]$  $= 1 - P[B \cup T]$ 

P[T] = 0.4

= 0.4

 $P[E \cup F \cup G] = P[(E \cup F) \cup G]$ 

 $P[\{\text{needs neither}\}] = P[B^c T^c]$ 

$$=P[(E\cup F)]+P[G]-P[(E\cup F)G]$$

$$=P[E]+P[F]-P[EF]+P[G]-P[EG\cup FG]$$

$$=P[E]+P[F]+P[G]-P[EF]$$

$$-(P[EG]+P[FG]-P[EGFG])$$

$$=P[E]+P[F]+P[G]$$

$$-P[EF]-P[EG]-P[FG]$$

$$+P[EFG]$$
Proposition 3.1 Inclusion/Exclusion Principle

## $P[E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \ldots \cup E_n]$ $= P[E_1] + P[E_2] + \cdots P[E_n]$

$$\begin{split} & - \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n} P[E_{i_1} E_{i_2}] \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq n} P[E_{i_1} E_{i_2} E_{i_3}] \end{split}$$
exclude intersections of pairs include triple intersections  $+(-1)^{r+1}\sum_{1\leq i_1<\dots< i_r\leq n}P[E_{i_1}E_{i_2}\cdots E_{i_r}]$  (in/ex)clude r-way intersections

include all events

(in/ex)clude n-way intersection

 $+ (-1)^{n+1} P[E_1 E_2 \cdots E_n]$ 

Proof: See textbook.