

Method of Moments, Generalized Method of Moments, and Simulated Method of Moments

Jesús Bueren

EUI

Introduction

- Most papers that we are going to cover in this course estimate parameters using the method of simulated moments.
 - ▶ For this purpose, we are going to revise the general method of moments.
 - ▶ Application to life-cycle heterogeneous agents models.
- These slides are based on Greene Chapter 13, Hayashi chapter 3, and Arellano Appendix A

The Method of Moments

- GMM estimators move away from parametric assumptions about the data generating process made when using maximum likelihood.
- GMM exploits the fact that sample statistics each have a counterpart in the population:
 - e.g. sample mean and population expected value
- Is it a good idea to use sample data to infer characteristics of the population?

The Method of Moments

- Consider i.i.d random sampling from distribution $f(y|\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_K)$ with finite moments $E[y^{2K}]$.
- The k^{th} “raw” uncentered moment is given by:

$$\bar{m}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^k \quad (1)$$

- By the LLN we have:

$$E[\bar{m}_k] = \mu_k = E[y_i^k] \quad (2)$$

$$Var[\bar{m}_k] = \frac{1}{n} Var[y_i^k] = \frac{1}{n} (\mu_{2k} - \mu_k^2) \quad (3)$$

- By the CLT:

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{m}_k - \mu_k) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mu_{2k} - \mu_k^2) \quad (4)$$

The Method of Moments

General Idea

- In general, μ_k is going to be a function of the underlying parameters.
- By computing K raw moments in the data and equating them to the functions implied by the population moments:
 - ▶ We obtain K equations with K unknowns.
 - ▶ In principle, we could solve this system of equations to provide estimates of the K unknown parameters.

The Method of Moments

- Moments based on powers of y provide a natural source of information about the parameter.
- Instead, functions of the data may also be useful.
- Let $m_k(\cdot)$ be a continuous and differentiable function.
- We could construct the following data moment:

$$\bar{m}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m_k(y_i), k = 1, 2, \dots, K \quad (5)$$

- By the LLN:

$$\text{plim } \bar{m}_k = E[m_k(y_i)] = \mu_k(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)$$

The Method of Moments

- With K parameters to be estimated, the method of moments estimator can be defined as parameter vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ that solves for the following K equations:

$$\bar{m}_1 - \mu_1(\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_K) = 0$$

...

$$\bar{m}_K - \mu_K(\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_K) = 0$$

- If the equations are independent, then the method of moments estimators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ can be obtained by solving the system of equations.
- There might be more than one set of moments that one could use for estimating the parameters.
- There might be more moments equations available than are necessary.

The Method of Moments

Example 1: Method of Moments for $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

- By LLN:

$$plim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = plim \bar{m}_1 = E[y_i] = \mu$$

$$plim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 = plim \bar{m}_2 = Var[y_i] + \mu^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$$

- Moment estimator:

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{m}_1 = \bar{y}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \bar{m}_2 - \bar{m}_1 = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n y_i^2 \right) - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n y_i \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

$\hat{\sigma}$ is biased but consistent.

The Method of Moments

Example 2: Gamma Distribution

- The gamma distribution is

$$f(y) = \frac{\lambda^P}{\Gamma(P)} e^{-\lambda y} y^{P-1}, y \geq 0, P > 0, \lambda > 0$$

- Imagine you had n i.i.d random draws from $f(y)$.
- By the properties of the gamma distribution we have:

$$plim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ y_i^2 \\ \ln y_i \\ 1/y_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P/\lambda \\ P(P+1)/\lambda^2 \\ \Psi(P) - \ln \lambda \\ \lambda/(P-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Depending on the targeted moments you will obtain different solutions (see code)

Identification

- We have a set of moment condition that hold in the population:

$$E[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)] = 0 \quad (6)$$

- Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ be a a vector of parameter such that:

$$E[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})] = 0$$

- We say that the coefficient vector is identified if $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$
- Conditions for identification:
 1. Number of moment conditions equal to number of parameters.
 2. The matrix of derivatives, $\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$, will have full rank i.e. rank K.
Question: Is it a problem if two moments are linearly dependent?
 3. If $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is continuous, the parameter vector that satisfies the population moments conditions is unique.

The Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- In a few cases, we can obtain the exact distribution of the method of moments estimator.
 - ▶ For example, in sampling from the normal distribution, $\hat{\mu} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$
- In general we don't know the distribution of the estimated parameters.
 - ▶ We are going to use the CLT to construct asymptotic approximation of distributions of the estimated parameters.

The Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- From the application of the central limit theorem we know that:

$$\sqrt{N}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \sqrt{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \boldsymbol{\Phi}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = E[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)']$ is the asymptotic variance covariance matrix of the moment conditions.

- Let's denote $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ the gradient of the moment conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0}$$

The Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- Empirically $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is found by solving the system of equations:

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

a consistent estimator of the asymptotic covariance of the moment conditions can be computed using:

$$\mathbf{F}_{jk} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m_j(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) m_k(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

- The estimator provides the asymptotic covariance matrix of the moments.

$$\mathbf{F} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Phi},$$

The Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- Under our assumption of random sampling, although the precise distribution of the parameters is likely to be unknown, we can appeal to the CLT to obtain asymptotic approximation.
- Let $\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ denote the $K \times K$ matrix whose k th row is the vector of partial derivatives,

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}}_k(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' = \frac{\partial \bar{m}_k(y, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$$

- Assuming that the functions in the moment conditions are continuous and functionally independent,

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}}_k(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{\Gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)'$$

The Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- Assuming moment conditions are continuous and continuously differentiable, by the mean value theorem, there exists a point $\bar{\theta}$ in $(\hat{\theta}, \theta_0)$ such that:

$$\bar{m}(\hat{\theta}) = 0$$

$$\bar{m}(\theta_0) + \bar{G}'(\bar{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = 0$$

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = -\bar{G}'(\bar{\theta})^{-1}\sqrt{N}\bar{m}(\hat{\theta}, \theta_0)$$

- Given that we know the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{N}\bar{m}(\hat{\theta}, \theta_0)$ and that $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent, then $\bar{\theta} \rightarrow \theta_0$ and $\bar{G}(\bar{\theta}) \rightarrow \bar{G}(\theta_0)$, thus:

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, [\Gamma(\theta_0)]^{-1}\Phi[\Gamma'(\theta_0)]^{-1})$$

- Then the asymptotic covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}_0$ may be estimated with:

$$\text{Est.Asy.Var}[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{1}{n}[\bar{G}(\hat{\theta})]^{-1}\mathbf{F}[\bar{G}'(\hat{\theta})]^{-1}$$

The Method of Moments

Example: The Normal Distribution

- We know that in the specific case of estimating the parameters of a normal distribution:
 - ▶ the distribution of the mean is exactly normal
 - ▶ the distribution of the variance is a chi-square.
 - ▶ the two distributions are independent
- The joint is a mixture of two independent distributions: a normal and a chi-square.
- For teaching purposes, let's ignore this and assume the general case where we don't know the distribution of the estimated parameters.

The Method of Moments

Example: The Normal Distribution

- We rewrite the moment conditions:

$$\bar{m}_1(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{\mu} = 0$$

$$\bar{m}_2(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\mu})^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2 = 0,$$

where,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 \end{bmatrix}, \bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{\mu} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\mu})^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Method of Moments

Example: The Normal Distribution

- So let's derive again for this case the large sample properties:

$$\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \boldsymbol{\Phi})$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = 0$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \mathbf{G}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \simeq 0$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \simeq [-\mathbf{G}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0),$$

where

$$\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu) & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Method of Moments

Example: The Normal Distribution

- Therefore we have,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, [\mathbf{G}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}[\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1})$$

- Thus with an estimator of the covariance equal to:

$$\text{Est.Asy.Var}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n m_1(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^2 & \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n m_1(y_i, \theta) m_2(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n m_1(y_i, \theta) m_2(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) & \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n m_2(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

The Method of Moments

Example: The Gamma Distribution

- Now let's go again back to our example of the gamma distribution.

$$\bar{m}_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{P}/\hat{\lambda}$$

$$\bar{m}_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1/y_i - \hat{\lambda}/(\hat{P} - 1)$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \begin{bmatrix} -1/\hat{\lambda} & \hat{P}/\hat{\lambda}^2 \\ \hat{\lambda}/(\hat{P} - 1)^2 & -1/(\hat{P} - 1) \end{bmatrix}$$

The Method of Moments

Example: Linear regression model

- In the previous case the optimal weighting matrix is only a function of the data.
- Now let's have a look into the linear regression model:

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i$$

- The lack of contemporaneous correlation, gives us a set of moment equations:

$$E[m_{i,k}] = E[x_{i,k}\epsilon_i] = 0$$

- We have K equations and K unknowns.

The Method of Moments

Example: Linear regression model

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,1} \hat{\epsilon}_i \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,K} \hat{\epsilon}_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,1} (y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,K} (y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i (y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = 0 \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \right]^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i y_i \right]\end{aligned}$$

The Method of Moments

Example: Linear regression model

$$\mathbf{G}(\hat{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,1} x_{i,1} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,1} x_{i,K} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,K} x_{i,1} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,K} x_{i,K} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i'$$
$$F = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \hat{\epsilon}_i^2$$

- Note that this is the heteroskedasticity consistent variance estimator of White.

Generalized Method of Moments

- Following our discussion using the example from the gamma distribution, what do we do when we have more moments than parameters?

Generalized Method of Moments

- Suppose now that the model involves K parameters, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)'$ and that the theory provides a set of $L \geq K$ moment conditions:

$$E[m_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, y_i)] = 0$$

- Denote the corresponding sample mean as:

$$\bar{m}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, y_i)$$

Generalized Method of Moments

- We aim at finding $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ that solves the following system of L equations and K unknowns:

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$$

- As long as the equations are independent, the system will not have a unique solution.
- It will be necessary to reconcile the different sets of estimates that can be produced.
- We can use as the criterion a weighted sum of squares:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \bar{\mathbf{m}}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}) W \bar{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}),$$

where W is any positive definite matrix that may depend on the data but is not a function of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Generalized Method of Moments

Identification

- We have a set of moment condition that hold in the population:

$$E[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)] = 0 \quad (7)$$

- Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ be a a vector of parameter such that:

$$E[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})] = 0$$

- We say that the coefficient vector is identified if $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$
- Conditions for identification:
 1. Number of moment conditions larger or equal to number of parameters.
 2. The matrix of derivatives, $\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$, will have full rank (i.e. rank of K).
Question: Is it a problem if two moments are linearly dependent?
 3. If $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is continuous, the parameter vector that satisfies the population moments conditions is unique.

The Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- From the application of the central limit theorem we have the same asymptotic distribution of mean as before:

$$\sqrt{N}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \sqrt{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \boldsymbol{\Phi}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = E[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)']$ is the asymptotic variance covariance matrix of the moment conditions but now is of dimension $L \times L$ (instead of $K \times K$)

- Let's denote $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ the gradient of the moment conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0}$$

The Generalized Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- An appropriate estimator of the asymptotic covariance of the moment conditions $\bar{\mathbf{m}} = [\bar{m}_1, \dots, \bar{m}_l]$ can be computed using:

$$\mathbf{F}_{jk} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m_j(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) m_k(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

- The estimator provides the asymptotic covariance matrix of the moments.

$$\mathbf{F} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Phi},$$

The Generalized Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- Let $\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ denote the $L \times K$ matrix whose l th row is the vector of partial derivatives,

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}}_l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' = \frac{\partial \bar{m}_l(y, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$$

- Assuming that the functions in the moment conditions are continuous and functionally independent:

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

The Generalized Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- The first-order conditions for the GMM estimator are:

$$2\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{y}) = 0 \quad (8)$$

- We apply the mean-value theorem for a point in the parameter space $\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$:

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \bar{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \quad (9)$$

- Insert equation (9) in (8) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) &= 0 \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) &= -[\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \end{aligned}$$

The Generalized Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- By CLT we have:

$$\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{m}}_0 \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Phi})$$

and $\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$, therefore,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, [\mathbf{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)W\mathbf{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)W\mathbf{\Phi}W\mathbf{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)[\mathbf{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)W\mathbf{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1})$$

- Then the asymptotic covariance matrix of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ may be estimated with:

$$\text{Est.Asy.Var}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{N}[\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\mathbf{F}W\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})[\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}$$

The Generalized Method of Moments

Asymptotic Properties

- When using the identity matrix we get the White estimator:

$$\text{Asy.Var}[\boldsymbol{\theta}_0] = \frac{1}{N} [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1}$$

- If we define the weighting function as the inverse of the variance-covariance matrix of the moment condition (the optimal weighting matrix) we obtain:

$$\text{Asy.Var}[\boldsymbol{\theta}_0] = \frac{1}{N} [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1}$$

The Generalized Method of Moments

2-step Estimation

1. Use $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}$ to obtain a consistent estimator of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$. Then obtain an estimate of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ using the variance covariance matrix $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$ of $\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$.
2. Setting $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}$, compute a new estimation of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ using a weighting matrix “close” to the optimal.

Testing the Validity of the Moment Restrictions

- If the parameters are overidentified by the moment equations, then these equations imply substantive restrictions.
- As such, if the hypothesis of the model that led to the moment equations in the first place is incorrect, at least some of the sample moment restrictions will be systematically violated.
- When the optimal weighting matrix is used:

$$nq = [\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})'] \{\text{Est.Asy.Var}[\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]\}^{-1} [\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]$$

- Under the null that the restrictions are true,

$$nq \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2[L - K],$$

where q is the value of the objective function.

The Method of Moments

Examples: Gamma distribution

- For the Gamma distribution case that we saw before, we have 4 moment conditions and 2 parameters to estimate:

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n y_i - \frac{\hat{P}}{\hat{\lambda}} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n y_i^2 - \frac{\hat{P}(\hat{P} + 1)}{\hat{\lambda}^2} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n \ln(y_i) - \Psi(\hat{P}) + \ln(\hat{\lambda}) \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n \frac{1}{y_i} - \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\hat{P} - 1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Method of Moments

Examples: Gamma distribution

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} & \frac{\hat{P}}{\hat{\lambda}^2} \\ -\frac{2\hat{P}+1}{\hat{\lambda}^2} & \frac{\hat{P}(\hat{P}+1)}{\hat{\lambda}^4} \\ \frac{1}{(\hat{P}-1)^2} & -\frac{1}{\hat{P}-1} \\ -\psi'(\hat{P})\ln(\hat{\lambda}) & -\psi(\hat{P})\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\Phi} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{var}(y_i) & \text{cov}(y_i, y_i^2) & \text{cov}(y_i, 1/y_i) & \text{cov}(y_i, \ln(y_i)) \\ \text{cov}(y_i^2, y_i) & \text{var}(y_i^2) & \text{cov}(y_i^2, 1/y_i) & \text{cov}(y_i^2, \ln(y_i)) \\ \text{cov}(1/y_i, y_i) & \text{cov}(1/y_i, y_i^2) & \text{var}(1/y_i) & \text{cov}(1/y_i, \ln(y_i)) \\ \text{cov}(\ln(y_i), y_i) & \text{cov}(\ln(y_i), y_i^2) & \text{cov}(\ln(y_i), 1/y_i) & \text{var}(\ln(y_i)) \end{bmatrix}$$

The Generalized Method of Moments

Example: IV with more instruments than exogenous regressors

- In the previous case the optimal weighting matrix is only a function of the data.
- Now let's have a look into the linear regression model:

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i$$

- Now let's imagine the exogeneity assumption does not hold but we have access to $L \geq K$ variables correlated with X but not with ϵ .

$$E[m_{i,l}] = E[z_{i,l}\epsilon_i] = 0$$

- We have L equations and K unknowns.

The Generalized Method of Moments

Example: IV with more instruments than exogenous regressors

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathbf{m}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} \hat{\epsilon}_i \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,L} \hat{\epsilon}_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} (y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,L} (y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i (y_i - \mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = 0\end{aligned}$$

- This is a system of L equations and K unknowns.

The Generalized Method of Moments

Example: Estimate life-cycle model using consumption data



Method of Simulated Moments

- GMM requires the sample moment restrictions to have a closed form as a function of the underlying parameters.
- Sometimes a close form solution is not available.
- Mcfadden (1989) and Pollard and Pakes (1989) propose a simulation based algorithm to compute moment conditions.
 - ▶ Much more computer intensive.

Method of Simulated Moments

- Suppose we have the following moment condition

$$E[m(y_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})] = 0$$

- However and in contrast to the previous section, we do not have a close form solution to compute $m(y_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})$.
 - ▶ This could be because we do not have an analytic mapping between data moments and the parameters that we want to estimate.
 - ▶ Presence of unobserved heterogeneity.
- Given a function g such that $m(y, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int g(y, \zeta, \boldsymbol{\theta}) P(\zeta) d\zeta$, the simulated method of moments simulates a large number of auxiliary data $\zeta^{(s)}$ so that we are able to produce an estimate of the moment conditions

$$\hat{m}_k(y_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S g_k(y_i, \zeta_i^s, \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

Method of Simulated Moments

- Then the objective then is to find:

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \min_{\theta} \bar{\mathbf{m}}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}) W \bar{\mathbf{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}),$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{m}_1(y_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{m}_l(y_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S g_1(y_i, \zeta_i^s, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S g_l(y_i, \zeta_i^s, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Method of Simulated Moments

- With the optimal weighting matrix we obtain:

$$Est.Asy.Var[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{N}(1 + \frac{1}{S})[\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\hat{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}$$

- When S is large, the variance converges to the GMM case.
- $\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ needs generally to be computed numerically.

Method of Simulated Moments

Example: Gamma Distribution

- Imagine that we ignored the statistical properties of the gamma distribution that we used to construct moment conditions.
- We could estimate (P, λ) by matching the sample mean of y, y^2 , and $\ln(y)$ by constructing:

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S y_i - y_{i,s}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}, \zeta_i^s) \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S y_i^2 - y_{i,s}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}, \zeta_i^s)^2 \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S \ln(y_i) - \ln(y_{i,s}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}, \zeta_i^s)) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $y_{i,s}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}, \zeta_i^s)$ is sampled from a gamma distribution with \hat{P} and $\hat{\lambda}$.
- Don't forget to set the seed each time you try a new set of parameters to fix the sequence of ζ_i^s

Method of Simulated Moments

Example: Life-cycle model

- A social planner maximizes:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1 + \epsilon_t) \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \\ \text{s.t. } c_t + k_{t+1} = z_t f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t, \end{aligned}$$

with $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon)$ known.

- The euler equation becomes:

$$(1 + \epsilon_t) c_t^\sigma = \beta E[z_{t+1} (f_k(k_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta) (1 + \epsilon_{t+1}) c_{t+1}^\sigma]$$

- Draw $\{\epsilon_t^{(s)}\}_{t=1}^T$.
- Given ϵ we can estimate the model using the MSM.

Method of Simulated Moments

Example: Estimate life-cycle model using asset data

