Method of Moments, Generalized Method of Moments, and Simulated Method of Moments

Jesús Bueren

EUI

Introduction

- Most papers that we are going to cover in this course estimate parameters using the method of simulated moments.
 - ► For this purpose, we are going to revise the general method of moments.
 - ▶ Application to life-cycle heterogeneous agents models.
- These slides are based on Greene Chapter 13, Hayashi chapter 3, and Arellano Appendix A

- GMM estimators move away from parametric assumptions about the data generating process made when using maximum likelihood.
- GMM exploits the fact that sample statistics each have a counterpart in the population:
 - e.g. sample mean and population expected value
- Is it a good idea to use sample data to infer characteristics of the population?

- Consider i.i.d random sampling from distribution $f(y|\theta_1,\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_K)$ with finite moments $E[y^{2K}]$.
- The k^{th} "raw" uncentered moment is given by:

$$\bar{m}_k(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^k \tag{1}$$

• By the LLN we have:

$$E[\bar{m}_k(\boldsymbol{y})] = \mu_k = E[y_i^k] \tag{2}$$

$$Var[\bar{m}_k(\mathbf{y})] = \frac{1}{n} Var[y_i^k] = \frac{1}{n} (\mu_{2k} - \mu_k^2)$$
 (3)

• By the CLT:

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{m}_k(\boldsymbol{y}) - \mu_k) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mu_{2k} - \mu_k^2)$$
 (4)

General Idea

- In general, μ_k is going to be a function of the underlying parameters.
- By computing K raw moments in the data and equating them to the functions implied by the population moments:
 - \triangleright We obtain K equations with K unknowns.
 - ightharpoonup In principle, we could solve this system of equations to provide estimates of the K unknown parameters.

- Moments based on powers of y provide a natural source of information about the parameter.
- Instead, functions of the data may also be useful.
- Let $m_k(.)$ be a continuous and differentiable function.
- We could construct the following data moment:

$$\bar{m}_k(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m_k(y_i), k = 1, 2, \dots, K$$
 (5)

• By the LLN:

$$plim_{n\to\infty} \bar{m}_k(\boldsymbol{y}) = E[m_k(y_i)] = \mu_k(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_K)$$

• We define a moment conditions as a function of the model and data, such that their expectation is zero at the true parameter values:

$$E(m_k(y, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)) = 0$$

• With K parameters, the method of moments estimator can be defined as parameter vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ that solves for the sample analog of the population moment conditions:

$$\bar{m}_1(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n m_1(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

Example 1: Method of Moments for $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

• By LLN:

$$m_1(y,\mu) = E[y-\mu] = 0$$

 $m_2(y,\mu,\sigma) = E[(y-\mu)^2 - \sigma^2] = 0$

• Their corresponding sample analogs give us the moment estimator:

$$\bar{m}_1(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mu}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{\mu}) = 0$$

$$\bar{m}_2(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{\mu})^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2 = 0$$

Example 2: Gamma Distribution

• The gamma distribution is

$$f(y) = \frac{\lambda^p}{\Gamma(P)} e^{-\lambda y} y^{P-1}, y \ge 0, P > 0, \lambda > 0$$

- Imagine you had n i.i.d random draws from f(y).
- By the properties of the gamma distribution we have:

$$E\begin{bmatrix} y - P/\lambda \\ y^2 - P(P+1)/\lambda^2 \\ \ln y - \Psi(P) - \ln \lambda \\ 1/y - \lambda/(P-1) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• Depending on the targeted moments you will obtain different solutions (see code)

Identification

• We have a set of moment condition that hold in the population:

$$E[\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta_0})] = 0 \tag{6}$$

• Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ be a a vector of parameter such that:

$$E[\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})] = 0$$

- We say that the coefficient vector is identified if $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$
- Conditions for identification:
 - 1. Number of moment conditions equal to number of parameters.
 - 2. The matrix of derivatives, $G(\theta_0)$, will have full rank i.e. rank K. Question: Is it a problem if two moments are linearly dependent?
 - 3. If $m(y, \theta)$ is continuous, the parameter vector that satisfies the population moments conditions is unique.

Asymptotic Properties

- In a few cases, we can obtain the exact distribution of the method of moments estimator.
 - ► For example, in sampling from the normal distribution, $\hat{\mu} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$
- In general we don't know the distribution of the estimated parameters.
 - ▶ We are going to use the CLT to construct asymptotic approximation of distributions of the estimated parameters.

Asymptotic Properties

• From the application of the central limit theorem we know that:

$$\sqrt{N}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \sqrt{N}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{y_i},\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0,\boldsymbol{\Phi}),$$

where $\Phi = E[m(y, \theta_0)m(y, \theta_0)']$ is the asymptotic variance covariance matrix of the moment conditions.

• Let's denote $\Gamma(\theta_0)$ the gradient of the moment conditions:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(oldsymbol{ heta}_0) = rac{\partial ar{oldsymbol{m}}(oldsymbol{y}, oldsymbol{ heta}_0)}{\partial oldsymbol{ heta}_0}$$

Asymptotic Properties

• Empirically $\hat{\theta}$ us found by solving the system of equations:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

a consistent estimator of the asymptotic covariance of the moment conditions can be computed using:

$$\mathbf{F}_{jk} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_j(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) m_k(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

• The estimator provides the asymptotic covariance matrix of the moments.

$$F \xrightarrow{p} \Phi$$
,

Asymptotic Properties

- Under our assumption of random sampling, although the precise distribution of the parameters is likely to be unknown, we can appeal to the CLT to obtain asymptotic approximation.
- Let $\bar{G}(\theta)$ denote the $K \times K$ matrix whose kth row is the vector of partial derivatives,

$$\bar{G}_k(\bar{\theta})' = \frac{\partial \bar{m}_k(y,\bar{\theta})}{\partial \bar{\theta}}$$

• Assuming that the functions in the moment conditions are continuous and functionally independent,

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}_k(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \stackrel{p}{\to} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)'$$

Asymptotic Properties

• Assuming moment conditions are continuous and continuously differentiable, by the mean value theorem, there exists a point $\bar{\theta}$ in $(\hat{\theta}, \theta_0)$ such that:

$$egin{aligned} ar{m{m}}(m{y},\hat{m{ heta}}) &= \mathbf{0} \ ar{m{m}}(m{y},m{ heta}_0) + ar{m{G}}'(ar{m{ heta}})(\hat{m{ heta}} - m{ heta}_0) &= \mathbf{0} \ \sqrt{N}(\hat{m{ heta}} - m{ heta}_0) &= -ar{m{G}}'(ar{m{ heta}})^{-1}\sqrt{N}\,ar{m{m}}(m{y},m{ heta}_0) \end{aligned}$$

• Given that we know the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{N} \, \bar{m}(y, \theta_0)$ and that $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent, then $\bar{\theta} \to \theta_0$ and $G(\bar{\theta}) \to G(\theta_0)$, thus:

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1})$$

• Then the asymptotic covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}_0$ may be estimated with:

Est. Asy.
$$\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{n} [\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1} \boldsymbol{F}[\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}$$

Example: The Normal Distribution

- We know that in the specific case of estimating the parameters of a normal distribution:
 - ▶ the distribution of the mean is exactly normal
 - ▶ the distribution of the variance is a chi-square.
 - ▶ the two distributions are independent
- The joint is a mixture of two independent distributions: a normal and a chi-square.
- For teaching purposes, let's ignore this and assume the general case where we don't know the distribution of the estimated parameters.

Example: The Normal Distribution

• We rewrite the moment conditions:

$$\bar{m}_1(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{\mu} = 0$$

$$\bar{m}_2(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\mu})^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2 = 0,$$

where,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 \end{bmatrix}, \bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{\mu} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\mu})^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: The Normal Distribution

• So let's derive again for this case the large sample properties:

$$\sqrt{n}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,\boldsymbol{\Phi})$$

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = 0$$

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \boldsymbol{G}'(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = 0$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = [-\boldsymbol{G}'(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta}_0),$$

where

$$G(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0\\ \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mu) & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: The Normal Distribution

• Therefore we have,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(\boldsymbol{0}, [\boldsymbol{G}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}[\boldsymbol{G}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1})$$

• Thus with an estimator of the covariance equal to:

Est. Asy.
$$\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} m_{1}(y_{i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^{2} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} m_{1}(y_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) m_{2}(y_{i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} m_{1}(y_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) m_{2}(y_{i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} m_{2}(y_{i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

Example: The Gamma Distribution

• Now let's go again back to our example of the gamma distribution.

$$\bar{m}_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{P}/\hat{\lambda}$$
$$\bar{m}_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1/y_i - \hat{\lambda}/(\hat{P} - 1)$$

Thus,

$$\boldsymbol{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \begin{bmatrix} -1/\hat{\lambda} & \hat{P}/\hat{\lambda}^2 \\ \hat{\lambda}/(\hat{P}-1)^2 & -1/(\hat{P}-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Linear regression model

- In the previous case the optimal weighting matrix is only a function of the data.
- Now let's have a look into the linear regression model:

$$y_i = \boldsymbol{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i$$

• The lack of contemporaneous correlation, gives us a set of moment equations:

$$E[m_{i,k}] = E[x_{i,k}\epsilon_i] = 0$$

• We have K equations and K unknowns.

Example: Linear regression model

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,1} \hat{\epsilon}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,K} \hat{\epsilon}_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,1} (y_{i} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,K} (y_{i} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} (y_{i} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = 0$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}' \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} y_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

Examples

Example: Linear regression model

$$G(\hat{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,1} x_{i,1} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,1} x_{i,K} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,K} x_{i,1} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,K} x_{i,K} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{i}'$$

$$F = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{i}' \hat{\epsilon}_{i}^{2}$$

 Note that this is the heteroskedasticity consistent variance estimator of White.

• Following our discussion using the example from the gamma distribution, what do we do when we have more moments than parameters?

• Suppose now that the model involves K parameters, $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)'$ and that the theory provides a set of $L \geq K$ moment conditions:

$$E[m_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, y_i)] = 0$$

• Denote the corresponding sample mean as:

$$\bar{m}_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, y_i)$$

• We aim at finding $\hat{\theta}$ that solves the following system of L equations and K unknowns:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{y}) = 0$$

- As long as the equations are independent, the system will not have a unique solution.
- It will be necessary to reconcile the different sets of estimates that can be produced.
- We can use as the criterion a weighted sum of squares:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \ \bar{\boldsymbol{m}}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}) W \bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}),$$

where W is any positive definite matrix that may depend on the data but is not a function of θ

Identification

• We have a set of moment condition that hold in the population:

$$E[\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta_0})] = 0 \tag{7}$$

• Let $\hat{\theta}$ be a vector of parameter such that:

$$E[\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})] = 0$$

- We say that the coefficient vector is identified if $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$
- Conditions for identification:
 - 1. Number of moment conditions larger or equal to number of parameters.
 - 2. The matrix of derivatives, $\bar{G}(\theta_0)$, will have full rank (i.e. rank of K). Question: Is it a problem if two moments are linearly dependent?
 - 3. If $m(y, \theta)$ is continuous, the parameter vector that satisfies the population moments conditions is unique.

Asymptotic Properties

• From the application of the central limit theorem we have the same asymptotic distribution of mean as before:

$$\sqrt{N}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \sqrt{N}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{y_i},\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0,\boldsymbol{\Phi}),$$

where $\mathbf{\Phi} = E[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\theta}_0)\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\theta}_0)']$ is the asymptotic variance covariance matrix of the moment conditions but now is of dimension $L \times L$ (instead of $K \times K$)

• Let's denote $\Gamma(\theta_0)$ the gradient of the moment conditions:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(oldsymbol{ heta}_0) = rac{\partial ar{oldsymbol{m}}(oldsymbol{y}, oldsymbol{ heta}_0)}{\partial oldsymbol{ heta}_0}$$

Asymptotic Properties

• An appropriate estimator of the asymptotic covariance of the moment conditions $\bar{\boldsymbol{m}} = [\bar{m}_1, \dots, \bar{m}_l]$ can be computed using:

$$\mathbf{F}_{jk} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_j(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) m_k(y_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))$$

 The estimator provides the asymptotic covariance matrix of the moments.

$$F \xrightarrow{p} \Phi$$
,

Asymptotic Properties

• Let $\bar{G}(\hat{\theta})$ denote the $L \times K$ matrix whose lth row is the vector of partial derivatives,

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}_l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' = \frac{\partial \bar{m}_l(y, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$$

• Assuming that the functions in the moment conditions are continuous and functionally independent:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \stackrel{p}{\to} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Asymptotic Properties

• The first-order conditions for the GMM estimator are:

$$2\bar{\mathbf{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}},\boldsymbol{y}) = 0 \tag{8}$$

• We apply the mean-value theorem for a point in the parameter space $\bar{\theta}$:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta_0}) + \bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta_0})$$
 (9)

• Insert equation (9) in (8) to obtain:

$$\begin{split} \bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta_0}) + \bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}-\boldsymbol{\theta_0}) &= 0\\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}-\boldsymbol{\theta_0}) &= -[\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})W\sqrt{n}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta_0}) \end{split}$$

Asymptotic Properties

• By CLT we have:

$$\sqrt{n}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}_0 \stackrel{d}{\to} N(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Phi})$$

and $\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$, therefore,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(0, [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)W\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)W\boldsymbol{\Phi}W\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)W\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1})$$

• Then the asymptotic covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}$ may be estimated with:

$$\text{Est.Asy.Var}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{N} [\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) W \bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1} \bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) W \boldsymbol{F} W \bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) [\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) W \bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}$$

Asymptotic Properties

• When using the identity matrix we get the White estimator:

Asy.
$$\operatorname{Var}[\boldsymbol{\theta}_0] = \frac{1}{N} [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1}$$

• If we define the weighting function as the inverse of the variance-covariance matrix of the moment condition (the optimal weighting matrix) we obtain:

Asy.
$$\operatorname{Var}[\boldsymbol{\theta}_0] = \frac{1}{N} [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}[(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]^{-1}$$

2-step Estimation

- 1. Use W = I to obtain a consistent estimator of θ_0 . Then obtain an estimate of Φ using the variance covariance matrix \hat{F} of $\bar{m}(y,\hat{\theta})$.
- 2. Setting $W = F^{-1}$, compute a new estimation of θ_0 using a weighting matrix "close" to the optimal.

Testing the Validity of the Moment Restrictions

- If the parameters are overidentified by the moment equations, then these equations imply substantive restrictions.
- As such, if the hypothesis of the model that led to the moment equations in the first place is incorrect, at least some of the sample moment restrictions will be systematically violated.
- When the optimal weighting matrix is used:

$$nq = [\sqrt{n}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta})'] \{\text{Est.Asy.Var}[\sqrt{n}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta})]\}^{-1} [\sqrt{n}\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta})]$$

• Under the null that the restrictions are true,

$$nq \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2[L-K],$$

where q is the value of the objective function.

Examples: Gamma distribution

• For the Gamma distribution case that we saw before, we have 4 moment conditions and 2 parameters to estimate:

$$\bar{m} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} y_{i} - \frac{\hat{P}}{\hat{\lambda}} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} y_{i}^{2} - \frac{\hat{P}(\hat{P}+1)}{\hat{\lambda}^{2}} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \ln(y_{i}) - \Psi(\hat{P}) + \ln(\hat{\lambda}) \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{1}{y_{i}} - \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\hat{P}-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Examples: Gamma distribution

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} & \frac{\hat{P}}{\hat{\lambda}^2} \\ -\frac{2\hat{P}+1}{\hat{\lambda}^2} & \frac{\hat{P}(\hat{P}+1)}{\hat{\lambda}^4} \\ \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{(\hat{P}-1)^2} & -\frac{1}{\hat{P}-1} \\ -\psi'(\hat{P})\ln(\hat{\lambda}) & -\psi(\hat{P})\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\Phi} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} var(y_i) & cov(y_i, y_i^2) & cov(y_i, 1/y_i) & cov(y_i, ln(y_i)) \\ cov(y_i^2, y_i) & var(y_i^2) & cov(y_i^2, 1/y_i) & cov(y_i^2, ln(y_i)) \\ cov(1/y_i, y_i) & cov(1/y_i, y_i^2) & var(1/y_i) & cov(1/y_i, ln(y_i)) \\ cov(ln(y_i), y_i) & cov(ln(y_i), y_i^2) & cov(ln(y_i), 1/y_i) & var(ln(y_i)) \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: IV with more instruments than exogenous regressors

- In the previous case the optimal weighting matrix is only a function of the data.
- Now let's have a look into the linear regression model:

$$y_i = \boldsymbol{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i$$

• Now let's imagine the exogeneity assumption does not hold but we have access to $L \geq K$ variables correlated with X but not with ϵ .

$$E[m_{i,l}] = E[z_{i,l}\epsilon_i] = 0$$

• We have L equations and K unknowns.

Example: IV with more instruments than exogenous regressors

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i,1} \hat{\epsilon}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i,L} \hat{\epsilon}_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i,1} (y_{i} - \boldsymbol{x}'_{i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i,L} (y_{i} - \boldsymbol{x}'_{i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{z}_{i} (y_{i} - \boldsymbol{x}'_{i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = 0$$

• This is a system of L equations and K unknowns.

Example: Estimate life-cycle model using consumption data

- GMM requires the sample moment restrictions to have a closed form as a function of the underlying parameters.
- Sometimes a close form solution is not available.
- Mcfadden (1989) and Pollard and Pakes (1989) propose a simulation based algorithm to compute moment conditions.
 - ► Much more computer intensive.

• Suppose we have the following moment condition

$$E[m(y_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})] = 0$$

- However and in contrast to the previous section, we do not have a close form solution to compute $m(y_i, \theta)$.
 - ► This could be because we do not have an analytic mapping between data moments and the parameters that we want to estimate.
 - ▶ Presence of unobserved heterogeneity.
- Given a function g such that $m(y, \theta) = \int g(y, \zeta, \theta) P(\zeta) d\zeta$, the simulated method of moments simulates a large number of auxiliary data $\zeta^{(s)}$ so that we are able to produce an estimate of the moment conditions

$$\hat{m}_k(y_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} g_k(y_i, \zeta_i^s, \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

• Then the objective then is to find:

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \, \bar{\boldsymbol{m}}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}) W \bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}),$$

where

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{m}_{1}(y_{i},\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{m}_{l}(y_{i},\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} g_{1}(y_{i},\zeta_{i}^{s},\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} g_{l}(y_{i},\zeta_{i}^{s},\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix}$$

• With the optimal weighting matrix we obtain:

$$Est.Asy.Var[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{N}(1 + \frac{1}{S})[\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\hat{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}^{-1}\bar{\boldsymbol{G}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^{-1}$$

- When S is large, the variance convergences to the GMM case.
- $\bar{G}'(\hat{\theta})$ needs generally to be computed numerically.

Example: Gamma Distribution

- Imagine that we ignored the statistical properties of the gamma distribution that we used to construct moment conditions.
- We could estimate (P, λ) by matching the sample mean of y, y^2 , and ln(y) by constructing:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} y_i - y_{i,s}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}, \zeta_i^s) \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} y_i^2 - y_{i,s}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}, \zeta_i^s)^2 \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} \ln(y_i) - \ln(y_{i,s}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}, \zeta_i^s)) \end{bmatrix}$$

- $y_{i,s}(\hat{P}, \hat{\lambda}, \zeta_i^s)$ is sampled from a gamma distribution with \hat{P} and $\hat{\lambda}$.
- Don't forget to set the seed each time you try a new set of parameters to fix the sequence of ζ_i^s

Example: Life-cycle model

• A social planner maximizes:

$$\max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (1 + \epsilon_t) \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1 - \sigma}$$

s.t. $c_t + k_{t+1} = z_t f(k_t) + (1 - \delta) k_t$,

with $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon})$ known.

• The euler equation becomes:

$$(1 + \epsilon_t)c_t^{\sigma} = \beta E[z_{t+1}(f_k(k_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta)(1 + \epsilon_{t+1})c_{t+1}^{\sigma}]$$

- Draw $\{\epsilon_t^{(s)}\}_{t=1}^T$.
- Given ϵ we can estimate the model using the MSM.

Example: Estimate life-cycle model using asset data