In a market consisting of N stocks, we denote the dividend-adjusted return on stock i at trading day t by  $r_{i,t}$ . We adopt a factor model for stock return,

$$\mathbf{r}_t - r_t^f \mathbf{1}_N = \mathbf{B}_t \mathbf{f}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

Here,  $\mathbf{r}_t = \{r_{i,t}\}_{i=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are the dividend-adjusted daily return,  $r_t^f \in \mathbb{R}$  is the risk-free rate,  $\mathbf{f}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times 1}$  are the underlying factors,  $\mathbf{B}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$  are the corresponding loadings on K factors, and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are the residual returns. Factor candidates varies widely, ranging from economical-driven factors such as the Fama-French factors, to statistically-driven factors derived from PCA. In our approach, factors are selected as the leading eigenvectors in PCA. The number of factors K is chosen based on the eigenvalue spectrum of the empirical correlation of daily returns.

Without loss of generality, these factors can be interpreted as portfolios of stocks,

$$F_t = \omega_t \left( r_t - r_f \right) \tag{2}$$

where  $\omega_t \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times N}$  contains corresponding portfolio weights. Combining eq. (1) and eq. (2) yields

$$r_t - r_f = \beta_t \omega_t (r_t - r_f) + \epsilon_t \Rightarrow \epsilon_t = (I - \beta_t \omega_t) (r_t - r_f) := \Phi_t (r_t - r_f)$$
(3)

Here,

$$\Phi_t := (I - \beta_t \omega_t) \tag{4}$$

defines a linear transformation from  $r_t$  to  $\epsilon_t$ . More importantly,  $\epsilon_{i,t}$  can be viewed as the return of a tradable portfolio with weights specified by the *i*-th row of  $\Phi_t$ . Consequently, the investing universe spanned by  $r_t$  is termed as name equity space, and that spanned by  $\epsilon_t$  as name residual space.

We denote the portfolio weights in name equity space as  $w_t^{R, \text{ name}}$  and portfolio weights in name residual space as  $w_t^{\epsilon, \text{ name}}$ . These weights are related by

$$w_t^{R, \text{ name}} = \Phi_t^T w_t^{\epsilon, \text{ name}} \tag{5}$$

, directly following the equality in portfolio return,

$$\left(w_t^{\epsilon \text{ name }}\right)^T \epsilon_t = \left(w_t^{\epsilon, \text{ name }}\right)^T \Phi_t \left(r_t - r_f\right) = \left(w_t^{R, \text{ name }}\right)^T \left(r_t - r_f\right) \tag{6}$$

For factors derived by PCA, we have

$$\Phi_t \beta_t = 0 \Longrightarrow \left( w_t^{R, \text{ name}} \right)^T \beta_t = \left( w_t^{\epsilon, \text{ name}} \right)^T \Phi_t \beta_t = 0, \quad \forall w_t^{\epsilon, \text{ name}}$$
 (7)

with proof given in the appendix. It means that for any  $w_t^{\epsilon,\text{name}}$ , the  $w_t^{R,\text{name}}$  calculated by eq. (5) satisfy,

$$\left(w_t^{R, \text{ name }}\right)^T \left(r_t - r_f\right) = \left(w_t^{\epsilon, \text{ name }}\right)^T \Phi_t \left(\beta_t F_t + \epsilon_t\right) = \left(w_t^{\epsilon, \text{ name }}\right)^T \Phi_t \epsilon_t = \left(w_t^{R, \text{ name }}\right)^T \epsilon_t \qquad (8)$$

It suggests that the return of our statistical arbitrage portfolios is independent of market factors and relies solely on residual returns, a property usually termed as market neutrality. Ideally, portfolios are also desired to have a zero net value, known as dollar neutrality. Empirical evidence suggests that market-neutral portfolios are also approximately dollar-neutral.

We are given a factor model for stock returns:

$$r_t - r_f = \beta_t F_t + \epsilon_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

where:

- $r_t = \{r_{i,t}\}_{i=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$  represents the vector of dividend-adjusted daily returns of N stocks at time t,
- $r_f \in \mathbb{R}$  is the risk-free rate,
- $F_t \in \mathbb{R}^K$  is the vector of K factors at time t,
- $\beta_t \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$  is the matrix of factor loadings,
- $\epsilon_t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  represents the residual returns (unexplained component).

Our goal is to extract factors  $F_t$  statistically using PCA from the returns data,  $r_t - r_f$ , and to select the number K based on the eigenvalue spectrum of the empirical correlation matrix.

#### MODUS OPERANDI:

### Step 1: Center the Returns Data

1. Compute the excess returns:

$$\tilde{r}_t = r_t - r_f$$
, for  $t = 1, 2, ..., T$ 

2. Construct the returns matrix  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times N}$ :

$$\mathbf{R} = \left(egin{array}{c} ilde{r}_1^T \ ilde{r}_2^T \ dots \ ilde{r}_T^T \end{array}
ight)$$

where each row  $\tilde{r}_t^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$  represents the excess returns of all stocks on day t.

# Step 2: Compute the Empirical Correlation Matrix

- 1. Standardize  $\mathbf{R}$  (if necessary) so that each column has mean 0 and standard deviation 1. Let's denote the standardized version as  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ .
- 2. Compute the empirical covariance (or correlation) matrix  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ :

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{T - 1} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{R}}$$

This matrix C captures the co-movement of the excess returns across the N stocks.

## Step 3: Perform PCA on the Empirical Correlation Matrix

1. Perform an eigenvalue decomposition on C:

$$\mathbf{CV} = \mathbf{V}\Lambda$$

where:

- $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  is the matrix of eigenvectors,
- $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$  is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues, sorted such that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N$ .
- 2. Select the Number of Factors K: Choose K based on the eigenvalue spectrum. For example, you might select K such that the cumulative proportion of variance explained by the first K eigenvalues exceeds a certain threshold (e.g., 80% or 90%).

### Step 4: Construct the Factors

- 1. Let  $\mathbf{V}_K \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$  be the matrix containing the first K eigenvectors.
- 2. Compute the factors  $F_t$  as:

$$F_t = \mathbf{V}_K^T \tilde{r}_t, \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

Here,  $F_t \in \mathbb{R}^K$  represents the K principal components at time t.

# Step 5: Interpretation in Terms of Portfolio Weights

From Equation (2), we interpret the factors  $F_t$  as portfolios of stocks:

$$F_t = \omega_t \left( r_t - r_f \right)$$

where  $\omega_t \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times N}$  contains the portfolio weights. In the PCA setup: -  $\omega_t$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{V}_K^T$ , which gives the linear combination of stocks (or loadings) used to construct the factors.