

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

MASTERS PROJECT

Representation Mismatch Reduction for Development in Rules-Based Business Engines

Author:
Paul SPENCER

Supervisor:
Dr. Clemens GRELCK

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Software Engineering*

in the

Graduate School of Informatics
Faculty of Science

July 19, 2021



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Declaration of Authorship

I, Paul SPENCER, declare that this thesis titled, “Representation Mismatch Reduction for Development in Rules-Based Business Engines” and the work presented in it are my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at this University.
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given. Except for such quotations, this thesis is entirely my work.
- I have acknowledged all of the main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Signed:

Date:

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Abstract

Graduate School of Informatics
Faculty of Science

Master of Software Engineering

Representation Mismatch Reduction for Development in Rules-Based Business Engines

by Paul SPENCER

Context: Declarative rules engine languages, such as Drools, can become difficult to reason about when there are many rules.

Objective: This project investigates how different projections of the code can ease the comprehensibility of the code.

Method: We created an implementation of the Drools language using the MPS language workbench and made innovative projections of large ASTs.

Results:

Keywords: projectional editing; Rules Engines; MPS; Drools

Paper type: Research paper

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the School of The Graduate school of Informatics in the Faculty of Science at The University of Amsterdam for their guidance, specifically Dr. Clemens Grell, who has been a supportive, understanding, and available academic adviser.

We received inspiration from the Strumenta Languages engineering community. Specifically we would like to thank Federico Tomasetti, who shared with me his model of a rules engine in MPS.

Also we would like to thank Václav Pech from JetBrains for the course he created and the time he spent with me explaining MPS. Further, Sergej Koščejev from JetBrains helped us with specific MPS issues during his Office hours.

Other prolific output that terrifically helped our research and development was the Heavy Meta YouTube series from Kolja Dummann and the dozens of papers and books from Markus Voelter, both currently working at Itemis AG.

My greatest thanks go out to Toine Khonraad, an alum of this course, who provided me with moral and monetary support, as well as wisdom and friendship that aided in the completion of this, my fourth attempt at getting this project behind me. Without his constant mantra of simplify, simplify, simplify, I would still be implementing the Drools languages now without having made a single projection.

Contents

Declaration of Authorship	iii
Abstract	v
Acknowledgements	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivating example	1
1.2 Research questions	1
1.3 Contributions	1
1.4 Project context	2
1.5 Thesis outline	2
2 Background	3
2.1 RulesEngines	3
2.1.1 What is a rules engine?	3
2.1.2 What is Drools?	4
2.2 Projectional Editing	4
2.2.1 What is projectional editing?	4
2.2.2 what are Language Workbenches?	4
2.2.3 What is MPS?	4
3 Method	5
4 Results	7
5 Discussion	9
5.1 Threats to Validity	9
5.1.1 Construct Validity	9
5.1.2 Internal Validity	9
5.1.3 External Validity	9
5.1.4 Reliability	9
5.1.5 Repeatability vs Reproducibility	9
5.1.6 Method improvement	9
6 Implications to research and practice	11
6.1 Implications to research	11
6.2 Future research directions	11
6.3 Implications to practice	11
7 Conclusion	13
A InterviewTranscripts	15

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

The limits of my language mean the
limits of my world.

Logico-Tractatus Philosophicus
Ludwig Wittgenstein

1.1 Motivating example

[TODO]

1.2 Research questions

To reason about a large code base of rules engine code effectively, a different presentation is needed. This presentation should allow a clearer organization whilst remaining interactive. We can formulate the following research questions based on the discussion in the preceding sections.

The research question we wish to answer is:

- **Main research question:** “How can projectional editors and DSLs be combined to address feedback mechanisms for developers in the context of reasoning about rules in a rule-based business engine?”

This question requires knowing if it is possible with current tooling, thus we would like to answer the question:

- **RQ 1:** “What is the current state of language workbenches supporting projectional editing?”

Finally, we specifically would like to know how we can improve the ability to reason about the business rules engine, so we ask the question:

- **RQ 2:** “Which projections can help developers to get appropriate feedback about rules?”

1.3 Contributions

This thesis proposes a code representation of business rules in a concise and readable format that could solve comprehensibility issues resulting from large code bases of business rules. The implementation behind the approach relies on language engineering and projectional editing. An implementation has been developed as a stand

alone opensource solution on a limited demonstration version of Drools. The underlying Drools implementation can be used as a base language for model to model generation by the wider MPS ecosystem.

1.4 Project context

This investigation was hosted by Khonraad Software Engineering, a subsidiary of Visma. Khonraad provides mission-critical services focussed on the automation of workflows at the cross-section of local government and healthcare. Specifically, Khonraad facilitates the mental health care and coercion laws in the Netherlands - WVGGZ, WZD, and WTH - which provide agencies the ability to intervene in domestic violence, psychiatric disorders, and illnesses.

Khonraad's system facilitates reporting and communication between municipalities, police, judiciary, lawyers, mental health care, and many social care institutions. The system has 15,000 users and is available 24/7.

Configuration and administration use complex matrices of compliance mechanisms, access user rights and communication settings. The sensitivity of the personal data, being both medical and criminal, means security is of utmost importance. The security against data loss, preventing unlawful disclosure and guaranteeing availability, especially during crisis situations, is crucial. Demonstration of the correctness of the, often changing, configuration is a major concern in the company.

This work environment allows us to work on an existing project, where the tangible success will have an impact on the lives of those in critical need. Khonraad has it's own implementations in the Drools language, that have evolved over the iterations of the laws. The evolution of the code base over the years means that the real-life issues we came across are not just thought experiments.

1.5 Thesis outline

We start in chapter 2 with the required background information on projectional editing and rules engines. In chapter 3 we present the research questions. Further, the chapter describes the protocol that we use for search strategy, selecting our studies, extracting data from them, and synthesizing the results. Chapter 4 presents the results of our synthesis of data from the primary studies. This is followed, in chapter 5, by a discussion of both the validity of the work and the implications of the findings. We discuss the implications of this study in chapter 6. Finally, the conclusions are presented in chapter 7.

Chapter 2

Background

This chapter gives the background information required on rules engines and projectional editing. It presents the specific case of rules engine that we will be using for our investigation: Drools. Further, it briefly examines the base tool type for creating Domain-specific languages: Language work benches. Finally, it presents the specific projectional editing tool we will be using: JetBrains MPS.

2.1 RulesEngines

2.1.1 What is a rules engine?

In this section we will describe what a rules engine is and a little of its history.

The Aristotelian doctrine of essentialism declares that a thing has properties that are essential and properties that are accidental. If one takes away accidental properties, then the thing remains the thing. If one takes away essential properties, the thing is no longer the thing. If the thing is a business application, then its essential properties are its business rules.

Simply put, business rules are the rules by which an organization carries out the tasks needed to achieve their goals. When properly defined these rules can be encoded. In the typical application architecture, these rules are mostly distributed in the source code or database. Documentation describing these rules may be found in the design documentation or user manuals. However, as applications evolve documentation gets out of sync with codebase. Once this desynchronization occurs, to know what the rules that govern the application, one has to navigate the codebase and decode the rules from their, often scattered, locations.

Rule engines arose from the expert systems of the late 70s and early 80s. Expert systems initially had three main techniques for knowledge representation: Rules, frames and logic[1]. "The granddaddy" of the expert systems, MYCIN, relied heavily on rules based knowledge representation[2], rather than long inference chains. MYCIN was used to identify bacteria and recommend antibiotic prescriptions. MYCIN and its progenitor, DENDRAL, spawned a whole family of Clinical Decision Support Systems that pushed the rules engine technology until the early 1980's. Research into rules engines died out in the 1980s as it fell out of fashion.

[TODO: ADD MORE HISTORY HERE]

The goal of a rules engine is the abstraction of business rules into encoded and packaged logic that defines the tasks of an organization. Date[3] defines the concept of the rules engine as to "specify business process declaratively, via business rules and get the system to compile those rules in to the necessary procedural (and executable) code." Fowler[4] describes rules engine as follows: "... providing an alternative computational model. Instead of the usual imperative model, which consists of commands in sequence with conditionals and loops, a rules engine is based on

a Production Rule System. This is a set of production rules, each of which has a condition and an action ...”.

[TODO: ADD MORE ADVANTAGES HERE]

In summary a rules engine, is the executor of a rules based program, consisting of discreet declarative rules which model a part of the business domain.

2.1.2 What is Drools?

2.2 Projectional Editing

2.2.1 What is projectional editing?

2.2.2 what are Language Workbenches?

2.2.3 What is MPS?

Chapter 3

Method

Chapter 4

Results

the purpose of abstraction is not to be vague but to create a new semantic level in which one can be absolutely precise.

Logico-Tractatus Philosophicus
Edsger W. Dijkstra

Chapter 5

Discussion

5.1 Threats to Validity

5.1.1 Construct Validity

5.1.2 Internal Validity

5.1.3 External Validity

5.1.4 Reliability

5.1.5 Repeatability vs Reproducibility

5.1.6 Method improvement

Chapter 6

Implications to research and practice

6.1 Implications to research

6.2 Future research directions

6.3 Implications to practice

Chapter 7

Conclusion

Appendix A

Interview Transcripts

Write your appendix content here.

Bibliography

- [1] P. Jackson, "Introduction to expert systems," 1986.
- [2] E. H. Shortliffe, "Mycin: A rule-based computer program for advising physicians regarding antimicrobial therapy selection.," STANFORD UNIV CALIF DEPT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, Tech. Rep., 1974.
- [3] C. J. Date, *What not how: the business rules approach to application development*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2000.
- [4] M. Fowler, *Should i use a rules engine?* <https://martinfowler.com/bliki/RulesEngine.html>, Accessed: 2021-07-18, 2009.