

① Narrative flow could be improved here. You could start with a contrast/motivating question (e.g., undergraduate curricula focuses on cross-sectional data but what happens when we are interested in changes over time?) and/or with motivating examples of longitudinal data (e.g., the CLHLS measured older adults every 2-3 years from 1998 to 2014. This is an example of longitudinal data...

~~In statistics, there are a plethora of data types that are used to produce different analyses. One in particular is longitudinal data.~~ If we want to track trends and changes over time, such as an effect of a certain drug on the body or growth of a company, longitudinal data and analysis will help us examine those points of interest. Not only can we observe change over time in individuals, but we can look at higher-level grouping, such as change in schools, counties, and organizations. It should be emphasized that only longitudinal data can capture changes within a subject or group; cross-sectional is another type of data in which responses are captured at only one occasion that are compared to other subjects. Ultimately, it cannot provide information about changes over time.

only general

One key aspect of longitudinal data is that there needs to be repeated measurements of the same individuals across multiple periods of time. If there aren't repeated observations, then it is not possible to make any comparisons between two or more time points. Having repeated measurements of the same individual allows for removal of potential confounding effects, such as gender or socioeconomic status, from the analysis.

Why is this true?

The measure that captures the observed changes within an individual is referred to as a response trajectory. There are different ways of comparing response trajectories. For example, it is possible to compare the post-treatment vs baseline changes across multiple treatment groups, or it is also possible to compare the rate of change. The method chosen depends on the specific question of the study.

Apart from comparing just the response trajectories, it is also of interest to compare individual differences in the relationship between covariates and the response trajectory. This can be captured using various different statistical models. The choice of model depends on several characteristics of the data.

② Then follow with other information (e.g. definition of response trajectories and what kind of questions Characteristics of longitudinal data we can answer with longitudinal data).

While the only requirement of longitudinal data is that there is more than one observation for a given individual, there are other components that affect the model chosen. Data can be unbalanced or balanced: balanced data refers to when all individuals have the same number of repeated measurements taken at the same occasions. In addition, data can also be missing, resulting in automatically unbalanced data. This affects the accuracy of how changes over time are analyzed depending on if there are any patterns to the missing data or not.

italicize

Another unique characteristic of longitudinal data is that repeated measurements of each individual are positively correlated. This feature violates conditions of other common statistical methods such as linear regression, where measurements are assumed to be independent. This positive correlation allows for more accurate estimates of the covariates and response trajectories since there is reduced uncertainty knowing that a previous measurement can help predict the next one.

typically

Alongside correlation, covariance between two measurement responses is a crucial measure to calculate. Both measures capture the linear dependence between two measurements depending on covariates, but the correlation is a standardized calculation that does not have units and is simpler to interpret. Typically in longitudinal analysis, a covariance matrix is calculated for each individual and all of their measurements. The diagonals of this matrix represent the variance of each of the measurements, which are not constant over time. The off-diagonals of the matrix are non-zero to account for the lack of independence between measurements, but are also not constant to account for the assumption that correlations between measurements decrease over time. There are different covariance pattern structures that are imposed that account for these features.

covariates are known; do you mean model coefficients?

not sure if this is adding anything useful

the covariance matrix must always exist. the question here is what it looks like.

There are several trends that correlation values take on in longitudinal analysis. They are rarely 0, but also rarely 1. They are positive and decrease with longer time separation. These features serve as the underlying premise to the idea that variation can be separated into three distinct parts: 1) between individual variation, 2) within individual variation, and 3) measurement error.

Between individual variation helps explain why measurements from the same individual are more likely to be positively correlated than measurements to a different individual. Within individual variation helps explain

Work on transitions and flow

why correlations decrease with increasing time differences, and measurement error explains why correlations are never one. These three types of variation may contribute to total variation in unequal amounts, but may not need to be differentiated depending on the type of longitudinal analysis desired.

Notation

Throughout the rest of the text, we will use a standard set of notation for all parameters and variables. Y_{ij} represents the response variable for the i^{th} individual at the j^{th} measurement. When we have repeated n measurements for an individual, we can construct a vector,

$$Y_i = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{i1} \\ Y_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{in} \end{pmatrix}$$

inside equation mode

want to give most general version (n_i)

here, too!

We use μ_{ij} as the conditional mean response at the j^{th} measurement, where conditional entails a dependence of the mean response on the covariates.

can you write this in notation, too

Linear models for longitudinal data

talk about time as a covariate and continuous vs. categorical time in this section
As mentioned previously, there are multiple ways to model longitudinal data. When the response variable is continuous and drawn from a multivariate normal distribution, we can consider a model that relates the mean response and the covariates in a linear way. In a linear model all components can be represented using vectors and matrices. The mean response is modeled by covariates and their slope. The most general form of the linear model can be represented as:

$$E(Y|X_i) = X_i\beta$$

vector of regression coefficients? matrix? vector? make dimensions clear
, where β is the regression parameter and X_i represents the covariates. Slope values are estimated using maximum likelihood estimation, which maximizes the joint probability of the random variable occurring based on the observed data. Aside from creating line plots to visually capture the mean responses for each individual, there are three methods for linear models: 1) response profile analysis, 2) parametric time model, 3) linear mixed effect model.

This is a preference, not a must

just give the model and define notation

Response profile analysis

Include linear model and sample graphic? italicize
In response profile analysis, we allow for arbitrary patterns in the mean response over time, or a sequence of means over time is known as the mean response profile. The main goal of this analysis is to identify differences in pattern of change in mean response profile among 2 or more groups. This method requires that the data be balanced.

different
There are three effects of interest when analyzing response profiles in longitudinal analysis: 1. group \times time interaction effect (are the mean response profiles similar in groups over time?) 2. time effect (assuming mean response profiles are flat, are the means constant over time?) 3. Group effect (do the mean response profiles coincide?)

often of
However 1 is the primary interest. The goal is to find whether the mean response differs from the interaction of group \times time across individuals.

spell this out (e.g. "the first question")
To test for significance of the group \times time effect, we have a null hypothesis that the difference in means between the n groups is constant over time, which in other words entails that mean response profiles between the groups have parallel slopes. We can implement the general linear model $\mu_i = X_i\beta$ to test our hypotheses, using comparison of β slope parameters to determine whether there is a group \times time effect.

Not sure if this paragraph is needed.

Questions are typically in terms of H_0 (differences)

this wording suggest no time effect when I think you mean "parallel between groups" (i.e., no group \times time effect)

wording is a bit confusing

on this { For example, to express the model for response profile analysis for G groups and n occasions of measurement, we have $G \times n$ parameters for the G mean response profiles. For example, for two groups measured at three occasions, we have 6 slope parameters. if $\beta_1 - \beta_3$ represent slope parameters for mean responses in group 1 and $\beta_4 - \beta_6$ represent slope parameters for mean responses in group 2, our null hypotheses would be that $(\beta_1 - \beta_4) = (\beta_2 - \beta_5) = (\beta_3 - \beta_6)$. *needs to be defined*

An unstructured covariance model is assumed, and once the covariates are defined, the estimates of β are made using ~~reduced~~ *restricted* maximum likelihood estimation (REML). *→ it will be important to discuss REML vs. ML at some point.*

One other aspect to consider when conducting analysis on mean response profiles is how to adjust for the baseline measurement. The baseline value is important when we want to calculate measures that compare mean response to the baseline. How we adjust depends on whether the study is randomized or observational. When the study is randomized and baseline measurement is taken before treatment assignment, the mean response at occasion 1 is independent of the group, and assumed to be equal. One possible method is to treat the baseline measurement as a covariate, and use response measurements 2 through n as the dependent measures. This is referred to as the analysis of covariance approach. Additionally, this method only works for randomized studies because using the baseline measurement as a covariate for observational studies may produce confounding effects. For an observational study, it is recommended to subtract the baseline response to create a change score. For both types of longitudinal studies there are various methods to account for the baseline value, and should be considered carefully before implementing the method.

Overall, response profile analysis is a straightforward method in investigating differences between groups for longitudinal data. Since both the covariance and mean responses have no imposed structure, the analysis is more robust and immune to inaccurate results due to model misspecification. However, there are drawbacks as well. Response profile analysis does not consider time-order of the measurements and does not distinguish between *between-individual* variation and *within-individual* variation. In addition, it can only provide a broad analysis of whether there are differences across groups and time, but does not provide the amount of detail usually needed to answer research questions. *→ such as?* Another method that addresses the issue of examining time order of the data is parametric time models.

Parametric Time Models

Parametric time models are able to capture time order of the data by fitting linear or quadratic curves to capture an increasing or decreasing pattern over time. Unlike response profile analysis, parametric time models are able to handle unbalanced and missing data. Rather than fitting a complex and perfect model onto the observed mean response profile, parametric time models fit simple curves that produce covariate effects of greater power. *→ clarify time is treated continuously here.* This is because in mean response profile we are testing a wider range of hypotheses since we are looking for inequality between two groups; however, in parametric time models, we are testing more specifically whether the data follow a linear trend, which results in more power. *} This could be clearer.*

Additionally, while in the mean response profile analysis an unstructured covariance pattern is assumed, here there is flexibility in choice of the covariance model; there are several options such as Toeplitz or compound symmetric that impose various structures on the model. For example, a Toeplitz model:

$$Cov(Y_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_1 & p_2 & \dots & p_{n-1} \\ p_1 & 1 & p_1 & \dots & p_{n-2} \\ p_2 & p_1 & 1 & \dots & \dots \\ p_3 & & & & \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{should be } \rho \text{ (rho)}$$

structures the covariance matrix such that any pair of responses that are equally separated in time have the same correlation.

It is possible to choose an unstructured covariance model as well, but can be computationally intense if there are a large number of measurements. *→ why?*

We can use parametric time models in two ways: through polynomial trends and linear splines.

fix heading?

Polynomial trends Using polynomial trends such as linear or quadratic, we can model longitudinal data as a function of time. Linear ~~curves~~ ^{trends} are the most common and interpretable ways to model change in mean over time. In an example comparing a treatment group to a control group, we can fit a linear ~~curve~~ ^{trend} using the following equation ~~for the treatment group~~.

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Time}_{ij} + \beta_3 \text{Group}_i + \beta_4 \text{Time}_{ij} \times \text{Group}_i \quad \text{This is the full model}$$

and

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Time}_{ij}$$

~~for the control group~~. If $\beta_4 = 0$, then the two groups do not differ in terms of changes in the mean response over time.

For quadratic trends, the changes in mean are no longer constant since the rate of change depends on the time. Thus, we fit an additional parameter to express the rate of change. Using the previous example of treatment vs. control group, we have the model ~~for the treatment group~~ expressed as:

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Time}_{ij} + \beta_3 \text{Time}_{ij}^2 + \beta_4 \text{Group}_i + \beta_5 \text{Time}_{ij} \times \text{Group}_i + \beta_6 \text{Time}_{ij}^2 \times \text{Group}_i$$

~~and the control group~~

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Time}_{ij} + \beta_3 \text{Time}_{ij}^2$$

○

within person or overall?

Make these match

As we can see from the models above, the inclusion of an additional parameter time^2 changes the mean response rate. One problem that may arise from using quadratic trends is that there is collinearity between time_j and time_j^2 , which can affect the estimation of β . To account for this, we can center the time_j variable around the mean time value, instead of centering it around zero as done in normal analysis. For example if we have a set of times $\text{Time} = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 10$, then the mean time value is five. Thus time zero would be recentered as -5. The interpretation of the intercept changes to represent the mean response at that recentered mean time value.

time_j?

Linear splines heading? (We might focus only on polynomial trends and do a throw-away sentence about splines.)

In instances where responses cannot be adequately fit by polynomial trends, such as when the responses fluctuate between increasing and decrease at different extents, we can employ a linear spline model. This model consists of piece-wise line segments that have unique slopes for a given set of time measurements. The point at which different line segments meet are called knots, and the number of knots depends on the context of the data and researcher discretion.

Drawing again from our treatment vs control group design, a linear model for the mean responses of the control group is:

I think points should be attached

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Time}_{ij} + \beta_3 (\text{Time}_{ij} - t^*)_+$$

the function is positive when the input $(\text{Time}_{ij} - t^*)$ is > 0

The \oplus indicates a truncated line function and is positive when the function is greater than 0, and otherwise is equal to 0. In this case, the function depends on the specified time t^* . If the mean response is before t^* , then the mean response is modeled by:

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Time}_{ij}$$

If the mean response is after t^* , it is modeled by

$$E(Y_{ij}) = (\beta_1 - \beta_3 t^*) + (\beta_2 + \beta_3) \text{Time}_{ij}$$

There are benefits to parametric models that make them a more appealing choice compared to response profile analysis. Parametric time models are able to capture time order, and can be used with unbalanced data. However, they do not differentiate between-subject and within-subject variation. If further analysis of individual variation is desired, linear mixed effects models can be employed.

Linear Mixed Effects

In both response profile analysis and parametric time models, the regression parameters are considered to be universal for each population group. However, in instances where we want to account for heterogeneity within a population, we can use a linear mixed effects model and consider a subset of the regression parameters to be random. This model distinguishes between fixed effects, which are population characteristics shared by all individuals, and subject specific effects, also known as random effects, which pertain to each individual. These subject specific effects mean that parameters are random, which induces a structure onto the covariance model.

In addition, distinguishing between fixed and random effects allows for differentiation between within-subject and between-subject variation.

One example of the linear mixed effects model is the random intercept model, which is the simplest version of the linear mixed effects model:

$$Y_{ij} = X'_{ij}\beta + b_i + \epsilon_{ij} \quad \text{general (generalized linear models a broader class)}$$

This model is very similar to the generalized linear model with a few additions. b_i is the random subject effect and ϵ is the measurement error. Both effects are random, with mean 0 and $Var(b_i) = \sigma_b^2$, $Var(\epsilon_{ij}) = \sigma^2$.

$X'_{ij}\beta$ is the population mean, and b_i represents the differing subject effect that is unique to each individual. b_i is interpreted as how the subject deviates from the population mean while accounting for covariates.

As mentioned previously, the random effects are responsible for inducing a structure on the covariance model. This structure is not to be confused with the covariance structures that can be chosen when using parametric time models. For a given individual, it can be shown that variance of each response is: $Var(Y_{ij}) = \sigma_b^2 + \sigma^2$ and the covariance between two measurements Y_{ij} and Y_{ik} is equal to σ_b^2 . The resulting covariance matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_b^2 + \sigma^2 & \sigma_b^2 & \sigma_b^2 & \dots & \sigma_b^2 \\ \sigma_b^2 & \sigma_b^2 + \sigma^2 & \sigma_b^2 & \dots & \sigma_b^2 \\ \sigma_b^2 & \sigma_b^2 & \sigma_b^2 + \sigma^2 & \dots & \sigma_b^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_b^2 & \sigma_b^2 & \sigma_b^2 & \dots & \sigma_b^2 + \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies correlation between measurements, and also highlights the role played by the random effects in determining the covariance.

Extending beyond the random intercept model, multiple random effects can be incorporated.

A linear mixed effects model can be expressed as

$$Y_i = X_i\beta + Z_ib_i + \epsilon_i$$

Where: β is a $p \times 1$ vector of fixed effects b_i is a $q \times 1$ vector of random effects X_i is a $n \times p$ matrix of covariates Z_i is a $n \times q$ matrix of covariates

The subset of regression parameters that vary randomly are found in Z_i . b_i comes from a multivariate normal distribution with mean 0 and covariance matrix G . ϵ_i are independent of b_i and come from a multivariate normal distribution with mean 0 and covariance matrix R_i .

The covariance of Y_i can be modeled by $Cov(Z_ib_i) + Cov(\epsilon_i) = Z_iGZ'_i + R_i$. This model, which outlines a distinction between G and R_i , allows for separate analysis of between-subject and within-subject variation. Unlike other covariance models, in linear mixed effects models the covariance is a function of the times of measurement. This allows for unbalanced data to be used for the model since each individual can have their unique set of measurement times. Lastly, the model allows for variance and covariance to change as a function of time. To illustrate, consider the following model:

In an example where individuals can vary both in their baseline response and their rate of change, we have:

$$Y_i = X_i\beta + Z_ib_i + \epsilon_i \quad \text{can you write out the model for } Y_{ij} \text{ for clarity.}$$

Find and replace Var, Cov in eqn mode with \text{Var}, \text{Cov} or \Var and \Cov (we can define \Var and \Cov in the YAML header).

where both X_i and $Z_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{i1} \\ 1 & t_{i2} \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & t_{in} \end{pmatrix}$.

If $Var(b_{1i}) = g_{11}$, $Var(b_{2i}) = g_{22}$, and $Cov(b_{1i}, b_{2i}) = g_{12}$ where these three components represent the G covariance for b_i , then it can be shown that $Cov(Y_{ij}, Y_{ik}) = g_{11} + (t_{ij} + t_{ik})g_{12} + t_{ij}t_{ik}g_{22}$.

Here in the covariance matrix we can see the dependence of the covariance on time. In this example there are four covariance parameters that arise from the two random effects of intercept and time. The number of covariance parameters is represented by $q \times (q + 1)/2 + 1$, where q is the number of random effects. To choose the most optimal model for covariance, we compare two nested models, one with $q + 1$ random effects and one with q random effects. We use the likelihood ratio test to make a decision for which model to use.

One additional analysis that is possible with linear mixed effects models is predicting subject-specific responses. Given that b_i is a random variable, we can predict it using;

$$E(b_i|Y_i) = GZ_i \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^T Z_i \right)^{-1} (Y_i - X_i \hat{\beta})$$

Because the covariance of Y_i is unknown, we can estimate both G and $(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^T Z_i)^{-1}$ using REML, creating \hat{b}_i , also known as the empirical BLUP. Thus, the equation for predicting the response profile is:

$$\hat{Y}_i = X_i \hat{\beta} + Z_i \hat{b}_i$$

This equation to estimate the mean response profile can be extended to incorporate R_i , which represents within-subject variability. From this extension, we see that the equation and the empirical BLUP account for the weighting of both the within-subject variability and between-subject variability. If there is more within-subject variability, then more weight is assigned to $X_i \hat{\beta}$, the population mean response profile, in comparison to the subject's individual responses, and vice versa.

Choosing the best model

After presenting three methods of evaluating longitudinal data, the natural question arises of how to choose the most appropriate model. While there is no definite correct answer, there are several factors to consider. If data are unbalanced, response profile analysis should not be considered; rather, parametric time model or linear mixed effect model would be more optimal. If time order is important to the analysis, then only parametric time model and linear mixed effect model should be used. If there is a need to distinguish between the two types of variation that can occur, then only linear mixed effect models are appropriate. The model should ultimately be chosen based on the characteristics and constraints of the data, as well as the specificity of the research question at hand.

★ Baseline considerations might fit here?

Conclusion

Longitudinal analysis is a valuable method to analyze changes over time. It is important to understand the unique characteristics that come with this analysis and to choose the best model that can capture the salient patterns that arise from the data. In subsequent chapters we will explore circumstances in which the conditions needed for longitudinal analysis are not met through both simulation and application.