



EX1.

(ref. <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/215215/showing-a-function-of-two-variables-is-measurable>)

Let $f_n(x, y) = f(x, \frac{k}{n})$, where $\frac{k}{n} \leq y < \frac{k+1}{n}$

$$\{f_n < a\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{x : f(x, \frac{k}{n}) < a\} \times [\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n})$$

From the continuity of x , $\{x : f(x, \frac{k}{n}) < a\}$ is measurable, and therefore $\bigcup_{k=0}^n \{x : f(x, \frac{k}{n}) < a\} \times [\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n})$ is measurable, f_n is a measurable function.

From the continuity of y , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$, and then from Theroem 4.12 f is measurable.

EX2.

Let $C_n = \{a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + \dots + a_N f_N \mid N \in \mathbb{N}, a_k \geq 0, f_k \in U_n \forall k, \sum_{k=1}^N a_k = 1\}$ be the minimum convex set contain U_n ,

first, we prove any simple function is within C_n :

- first, for any set $E \subseteq [0, 1], c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $c\chi_E \in C_n$:
 - if $c \leq 0$, then the solution is trivial
 - if $|E| < \frac{1}{n}$, then obviously $c \times \chi_E \in C_n$
 - if $|E| \geq \frac{1}{n}$, Let $E_k = E \cap [\frac{k-1}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1})$, then $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} E_k$ and $|E_k| \leq \frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n}$
 $\implies (n+1)c\chi_{E_k} \in C_n \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$
 $\implies \underbrace{\frac{1}{n+1}[c(n+1)\chi_{E_1}] + \frac{1}{n+1}[c(n+1)\chi_{E_2}] + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1}[c(n+1)\chi_{E_{n+1}}]}_{within C_n} \in C_n$, which is equal to $c\chi_E$
- second, for any disjoint sets E_1, \dots, E_N and constants a_1, \dots, a_N ,
 $\sum_{k=1}^N a_k \chi_{E_k} = \sum_{k=1}^N \underbrace{\frac{1}{N}[a_k N \chi_{E_k}]}_{within C_n} \in C_n$

Therefore, all simple functions are within C_n

Now assume f is a measurable functions, then by above proposition we can find a

sequence $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ s.t. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = 2f$

Besides, by Egorov theorem we can find $F \subseteq [0, 1]$ and K s.t. $\sup_F |f_k - 2f| < \frac{1}{2n} \forall k \geq K$ and $|E - F| < \frac{1}{2n}$

$$\implies \sup_F |2f - f_{K+1}| < \frac{1}{2n} \implies |\{x : (f - f_K)(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}| \leq |E - F| < \frac{1}{n}$$

$\implies 2f(x) - f_K(x) \in U_n \subseteq C_n$, and we know $f_K \in C_N$ by previous proposition

$$\implies \frac{1}{2}(2f - f_K) + \frac{1}{2}(f_K) = f \in C_n$$

$\implies S \subseteq C_n$ and obviously $C_n \subseteq S$, therefore $S = C_n \forall n$

EX3.

use $\partial_x f$ to represent $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f$, and Let M be the upper bound of $\partial_x f$ in $[0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 f(x, y) dy &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^1 f(x+\delta, y) dy - \int_0^1 f(x, y) dy}{\delta} \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \frac{f(x+\delta, y) - f(x, y)}{\delta} dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } & \left| \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 f(x, y) dy - \int_0^1 \partial_x f(x, y) dy \right| = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{f(x+\delta, y) - f(x, y)}{\delta} - \partial_x f(x, y) \right| dy \\ & \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{f(x+\delta, y) - f(x, y)}{\delta} - \partial_x f(x, y) \right| dy \\ & \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{f(x+\frac{1}{k}, y) - f(x, y)}{\frac{1}{k}} - \partial_x f(x, y) \right| dy \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_k(x, y) = \frac{f(x+\frac{1}{k}, y) - f(x, y)}{\frac{1}{k}}$, then $f_k(x, y) \rightarrow \partial_x f(x, y)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

therefore, fix any $\eta > 0$, $\exists F \subseteq [0, 1], K$ s.t. $|E - F| < \eta/2$ and $|f_k(X, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \eta/2 \forall k \geq K$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \text{for } k \geq K, & \int_0^1 \left| \frac{f(x+\frac{1}{k}, y) - f(x, y)}{\frac{1}{k}} - \partial_x f(x, y) \right| dy = \int_0^1 |f_k(x, y) - \partial_x f(x, y)| dy \\ & \leq \int_F |f_k(x, y) - \partial_x f(x, y)| dy + \int_{E-F} |f_k(x, y) - \partial_x f(x, y)| dy \\ & \leq \int_F \eta/2 dy + M|E - F| \leq \eta \end{aligned}$$

EX4.

for any function $F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define $F^+ = \max(0, F)$ and $F^- = \max(0, -F)$

Let $f = f^+ - f^-$, $f \in L(0, 1) \implies \int_0^1 f^+ dx \geq 0$ and $\int_0^1 f^- dx \geq 0$ finite

$$\implies \int_0^1 x^k f^+ dx = \int_0^1 (x^k f)^+ dx \leq \int_0^1 f^+ dx \text{ and } \int_0^1 x^k f^- dx = \int_0^1 (x^k f)^- dx \leq \int_0^1 f^- dx \text{ finite}$$

\implies By definition $\int_0^1 x^k f dx$ exist and finite, hence $x^k f \in L(0, 1)$

Besides, $x^k f \leq |f|$ in $[0, 1]$, therefore by Fatou's Lemma we have
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |x^k f| dx \leq \int_0^1 |\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k f| dx = \int_0^1 0 dx = 0$
 $\implies \int_0^1 x^k f dx \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

EX5.

fix $\epsilon > 0$,

$\exists F \subseteq E$ s.t. $|E - F| < \frac{\epsilon}{3M}$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on F .

Therefore, we can choose sufficiently large K s.t. $\sup_F |f_k - f| < \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \forall k \geq K$

$\implies \forall k \geq K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_E f_k dx - \int_E f dx| &\leq |\int_E f_k dx - \int_F f_k dx| + |\int_F f_k dx - \int_F f dx| + |\int_F f dx - \int_E f dx| \\ &\leq |E - F|M + |F| \times \frac{\epsilon}{3M} + |E - F|M < \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\implies \int_E f_k dx \rightarrow \int_E f dx$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by definition.