

Table 2. Comparison of TextCaps with state-of-the-art results, the mean and the standard deviation from 3 trials are shown

EMNIST-Letters		
Implementation	With full train set	With 200 samp/class
Cohen <i>et al.</i> [12]	85.15%	-
Wiyatnoet <i>al.</i> [14]	91.27%	-
TextCaps	95.36 ± 0.30%	92.79 ± 0.30%
EMNIST-Balanced		
Implementation	With full train set	With 200 samp/class
Cohen <i>et al.</i> [12]	78.02%	-
Dufourq <i>et al.</i> [13]	88.3%	-
TextCaps	90.46 ± 0.22%	87.82 ± 0.25%
EMNIST-Digits		
Implementation	With full train set	With 200 samp/class
Cohen <i>et al.</i> [12]	95.90%	-
Dufourq <i>et al.</i> [13]	99.3%	-
TextCaps	99.79 ± 0.11%	98.96 ± 0.22%
MNIST		
Implementation	With full train set	With 200 samp/class
Sabour <i>et al.</i> [4]	99.75%	-
Cireşan <i>et al.</i> [8]	99.77%	-
Wan <i>et al.</i> [24]	99.79%	-
TextCaps	99.71 ± 0.18%	98.68 ± 0.30%
Fashion MNIST		
Implementation	With full train set	With 200 samp/class
Xiao <i>et al.</i> [22]	89.7%	-
Bhatnagar <i>et al.</i> [25]	92.54%	-
Zhong <i>et al.</i> [26]	96.35%	-
TextCaps	93.71 ± 0.64%	85.36 ± 0.79%