

## Problem Set #4

Fiona Fan, in collaboration with Zongze, Winston and Shirley

### Exercise 6.6

We first find the critical points. Observe that  $f(x, y) = 3x^2y + 4xy^2 + xy$

let  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$

FOC:  $Df(\mathbf{x}) = [f_x, f_y] = [6xy + 4y^2 + y, 3x^2 + 8xy + x] = [0, 0]$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 6xy + 4y^2 + y = 0 \\ 3x^2 + 8xy + x = 0 \end{cases}$$

The critical points are:

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{9} \\ -\frac{1}{12} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$D^2f = \begin{bmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6y & 6x + 8y + 1 \\ 6x + 8y + 1 & 8x \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,

$$D^2f(\mathbf{x}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{saddle point}$$

$$D^2f(\mathbf{x}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{saddle point}$$

$$D^2f(\mathbf{x}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -\frac{8}{3} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{saddle point}$$

$$D^2f(\mathbf{x}_4) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{8}{9} \end{bmatrix} < 0 \Rightarrow \text{local maximum}$$

### Exercise 6.7

(1) Since  $Q = A^T + A$ , and  $A$  is a square matrix,  $Q^T = (A^T)^T + A^T = A + A^T = Q$ . So  $Q$  is symmetric. Observe that  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{x}, A \mathbf{x} \rangle$ , and  $\mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{x} = (A \mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{x} = \langle A \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ . Since here we restrict the field to be  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\langle \mathbf{x}, A \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle A \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ . So it follows that

$$\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T (A^T + A) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = 2\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}.$$

Thus we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c.$$

(2) The first order necessary condition implies that if  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a minimizer, then it must be  $Df(\mathbf{x}^*) = Q^T \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{b} = 0$ . Hence  $Q^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}$ .

(3) Observe that  $D^2f(\mathbf{x}^*) = Q$ , and since  $Q$  is positive definite, it follows from the second order sufficient condition that  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a minimizer, which is also the solution to the linear system  $Q^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}$ .

### Exercise 6.11

*Proof.* Observe that  $f''(x) = 2a > 0$ , so the  $x^*$  that satisfies  $f'(x^*) = 2ax^* + b = 0$  is the minimizer.  $\forall x_0$ , by Newton's method,

$$x_1 = x_0 - \frac{f'(x_0)}{f''(x_0)} = x_0 - \frac{2ax_0 + b}{2a} = -\frac{b}{2a}.$$

Since the quadratic function can also be expressed as  $f(x) = a(x + \frac{b}{2a})^2 + \frac{4ac-b^2}{4a}$ , it follows that  $x_1 = -\frac{b}{2a}$  is the unique minimizer.  $\square$

### Exercise 7.1

*Proof.* Since  $\text{conv}(S)$  is the set of all convex combinations of vectors in  $S$ , it follows immediately that this is a convex set.  $\square$

### Exercise 7.2

*Proof.* A hyperplane is a set of the form  $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in V \mid \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = b\}$ , for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Take  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in P$ . Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Observe that

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y} \rangle = \lambda \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + (1 - \lambda) \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \lambda b + (1 - \lambda) b = b.$$

So  $\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y} \in P, \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ . Thus a hyperplane is a convex set.  $\square$

*Proof.* A half space is a set of the form  $H = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq b\}$ , for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Take  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in H$ . Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Observe that

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y} \rangle = \lambda \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + (1 - \lambda) \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \leq \lambda b + (1 - \lambda) b = b.$$

So  $\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y} \in H, \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ . Thus a half space is a convex set.  $\square$

### Exercise 7.2

(1) Since we restrict the field to be  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle, \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= (\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle) + (\langle \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = LHS \end{aligned}$$

(2) Since  $2\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle > 0$  and  $\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 &= \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &> \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|^2 + 0 + 0 \\ &= \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|^2, \forall \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{p} \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let  $\mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \lambda \mathbf{y} - (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} - \lambda \mathbf{y} - (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - 2(1 - \lambda) \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} \rangle + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda) \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^2 \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
RHS &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p} \rangle + 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{p} \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle + 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} \rangle - 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle + 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\
&\quad - 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle - 2\lambda^2 \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - 2(1 - \lambda) \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} \rangle + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda) \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + (1 - 2\lambda + \lambda^2) \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - 2(1 - \lambda) \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} \rangle + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda) \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + (1 - \lambda)^2 \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \\
&= LHS
\end{aligned}$$

(4) Suppose  $\mathbf{p}$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto convex set  $C$ . Pick  $\forall \mathbf{y} \in C$ . Since  $C$  is convex, it follows that  $z = \lambda \mathbf{y} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p} \in C, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $\mathbf{p}$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{x}$ , by definition  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\| \geq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|$ , and hence  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|^2 \geq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|^2$ . By (3), when  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,

$$2\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = \lambda \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{p}\|^2 = \frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|^2}{\lambda} \leq 0, \forall \mathbf{y}.$$

Since  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{p}\|^2 \geq 0$ , and  $\lambda$  can be arbitrarily small, we have  $2\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \geq 0$ , and hence  $\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \geq 0$ .

When  $\lambda = 0$ , we have  $z = \mathbf{y}$  and  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|^2$ . Since the projection is unique, we have  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{p}$ . In this case  $\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ .

Hence,  $\forall \mathbf{y} \in C, \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \geq 0$ .

To show the converse direction, we can see that by (2), if  $\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \geq 0$ , then  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| > \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|, \forall \mathbf{y} \in C, \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{p}$ . It then follows that  $\mathbf{p}$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $C$ .

### Exercise 7.8

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be two arbitrary vectors. Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) &= f(A[\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2] + b) \\
&= f(\lambda A \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) A \mathbf{x}_2 + b) \\
&= f(\lambda [A \mathbf{x}_1 + b] + (1 - \lambda) [A \mathbf{x}_2 + b]) \\
&\leq \lambda f(A \mathbf{x}_1 + b) + (1 - \lambda) f(A \mathbf{x}_2 + b) \\
&= \lambda g(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \lambda) g(\mathbf{x}_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g$  is a convex function. □

### Exercise 7.8

(1)

*Proof.* Suppose  $A, B$  are positive definite matrices. Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Observe that  $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^T(\lambda A) \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T(1 - \lambda) B \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}^T B \mathbf{x} > 0$ . So the set of positive definite matrices is a convex set. □

(2)

*Proof.* (a) This follows immediately from Lemma 7.2.7.

(b) Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(t) &= -\log\{\det[tA + (1-t)B]\} = -\log\{\det[tS^H S + (1-t)S^H(S^H)^{-1}BS^{-1}S]\} \\
&= -\log\{\det[S^H(tI + (1-t)(S^H)^{-1}BS^{-1})S]\} \\
&= -\log\{\det[S^H]\det[tI + (1-t)(S^H)^{-1}BS^{-1}]\det[S]\} \\
&= -\log\{\det(S^H S)\det[tI + (1-t)(S^H)^{-1}BS^{-1}]\} \\
&= -\log\{\det(A)\det[tI + (1-t)(S^H)^{-1}BS^{-1}]\} \\
&= -\log(\det(A)) - \log(\det[tI + (1-t)(S^H)^{-1}BS^{-1}])
\end{aligned}$$

(c) We need the following facts:

1. If  $\lambda_i$  is an eigenvalue of  $M$ , then  $t + (1-t)\lambda_i$  is an eigenvalue of  $tI + (1-t)M$ .
2.  $\det(M) = \prod_i \lambda_i$ , where each  $\lambda_i$  is an eigenvalue of  $M$ .

Hence,  $\det[tI + (1-t)(S^H)^{-1}BS^{-1}] = \prod_{i=1}^n t + (1-t)\lambda_i$ . Therefore we have

$$g(t) = -\log(\det(A)) - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n t + (1-t)\lambda_i\right) = -\log(\det(A)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(t + (1-t)\lambda_i).$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
g'(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{1 - \lambda_i}{t + (1-t)\lambda_i}. \\
g''(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{(1 - \lambda_i)(\lambda_i - 1)}{(t + (1-t)\lambda_i)^2} = \frac{(\lambda_i - 1)^2}{(t + (1-t)\lambda_i)^2} \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $g''(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $g(t)$  is convex. So  $f(X)$  is convex.  $\square$

### Exercise 7.13

*Proof.* By contradiction, assume  $f$  is not constant. Then there exist  $a \neq b$  such that  $f(a) \neq f(b)$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $a < b$  and  $f(a) < f(b)$ . Now pick any point  $c$  such that  $c > b$ . Let  $\lambda = \frac{c-b}{c-a}$ ,  $1 - \lambda = \frac{b-a}{c-a}$ . Observe that  $\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)c = b$ . Since  $f$  is a convex function, it follows that  $\lambda f(a) + (1 - \lambda)f(c) \geq f(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)c) = f(b)$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(c) &\geq \frac{f(b) - \lambda f(a)}{1 - \lambda} = \frac{f(b) - \frac{c-b}{c-a}f(a)}{\frac{b-a}{c-a}} = \frac{(c-a)f(b) - (c-b)f(a)}{b-a} \\
&= \frac{(c-a)(f(b) - f(a)) + (b-a)f(a)}{b-a} \\
&= f(a) + (c-a)\frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b-a}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $f(c) \rightarrow \infty$ . This is contradicted to the fact that  $f$  is bounded above. Hence  $f$  must be a constant function.  $\square$

**Exercise 7.20**

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is convex and  $-f$  is convex, we have  $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$f(\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}) \leq \lambda f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \lambda) f(\mathbf{y}) \quad (1)$$

$$-f(\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}) \leq -\lambda f(\mathbf{x}) - (1 - \lambda) f(\mathbf{y}) \quad (2)$$

Multiply the second equation by  $(-1)$ ,

$$f(\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}) \geq \lambda f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \lambda) f(\mathbf{y}).$$

This implies

$$f(\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}) = \lambda f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \lambda) f(\mathbf{y}).$$

Hence  $f$  is affine. □

**Exercise 7.21**

*Proof.* We first show that the second claim implies the first claim.

Let  $\Omega$  denote the feasible set. Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \Omega$  is a local minimizer of  $f(\mathbf{x})$ , then in this neighborhood,  $\forall \mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ . Since  $\phi$  is a strictly increasing function, it follows that  $\phi(f(\mathbf{x})) \geq \phi(f(\mathbf{x}^*))$ . So  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a local minimizer of  $\phi(f(\mathbf{x}))$ .

Then we show that the first claim implies the second claim.

Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a local minimizer of  $\phi(f(\mathbf{x}))$ . Then  $\forall \mathbf{x}, \phi(f(\mathbf{x})) \geq \phi(f(\mathbf{x}^*))$  in its neighborhood. By definition this means that  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a local minimizer of  $f(\mathbf{x})$ . □