

MATH 270: Homework #3

Jesse Farmer

22 October 2004

1. Show, by changing variables, that the Cauchy-Riemann equations in terms of polar coordinates become

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \text{ and } \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}$$

By the chain rule,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta}$$

And similarly for $\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}$. Since $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \cos \theta + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \sin \theta & \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} &= -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} r \sin \theta + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} r \cos \theta \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \cos \theta + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \sin \theta & \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} &= -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} r \sin \theta + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} r \cos \theta \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy-Riemann equations give $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$. Making these substitutions into the partials of u and v with respect to θ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} &= -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} r \sin \theta - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} r \cos \theta \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} r \sin \theta + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} r \cos \theta \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two equations with the first equations derived for the partials of u and v with respect to r gives

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = -r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \text{ and } \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} = r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$$

which is the desired result.

2. Define the symbol $\partial f/\partial z$ by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$$

(a) If $f(z) = z$, show that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 1$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$.

If $f(z) = z = x + iy$ then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = i$, so

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} i \right) = 1$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{i} i \right) = 0$$

(b) If $f(z) = \bar{z}$, show that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 1$.

If $f(z) = \bar{z} = x - iy$ then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -i$, so

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{i} i \right) = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} i \right) = 1$$

(c) Show that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ obey the sum, product, and scalar multiple rules for derivatives.

Seriously? Ugh. These all follow obviously from the fact that the partials of with respect to x and y obey these rules, but here goes:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial z} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

It follows *mutatis mutandis* for $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(f \cdot g)}{\partial z} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} g + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} f + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} g + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} f \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} g + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} g \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} f + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} f \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} g + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} f \end{aligned}$$

It follows *mutatis mutandis* for $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} &= \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{i} \alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial \alpha f}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial \alpha f}{\partial z}\end{aligned}$$

It follows *mutatis mutandis* for $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}$.

- (d) Show that the expression $\sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^M a_{nm} z^n \bar{z}^m$ is a holomorphic function of z if and only if $a_{mn} = 0$ when $m \neq 0$.

Because $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ preserves additivity, we must show that this statement is true for each of the terms in the double sum. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = a_{nm} (n z^{n-1} \bar{z}^m + m z^n \bar{z}^{m-1})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = a_{nm} (i n z^{n-1} \bar{z}^m - i m z^n \bar{z}^{m-1})$$

This function is holomorphic if and only if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$, which means if and only if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = n a_{nm} z^{n-1} \bar{z}^m = 0$$

Since every term but a_{nm} cannot be identically zero, it must be the case that $a_{nm} = 0$ when $m \neq 0$.

3. (a) Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ be a holomorphic function defined on an open, connected set Ω . If $au(x, y) + bv(x, y) = c$ on Ω , where a, b, c are real constants not all 0, prove that $f(z)$ is constant on Ω .
- (b) Is the previous result still valid if a, b, c are complex constants?
4. Let f be holomorphic on the set $A = \{z \mid \Re z > 1\}$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$ on A . Show that there is a real constant a and a complex constant d such that $f(z) = -icz + d$ on A .

By the Cauchy-Riemann equations $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$, so $2\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$. This means u depends only on y and v depends only on x . Because u and v depend only on y and x , respectively, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ depends only on y and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ depends only on x . However, since the Cauchy-Riemann equations give $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$, neither can depend on x or y so they must be equal to some real constant, c .

Together this means $u(x, y) = cy + d'$ and $v(x, y) = -cx + d''$, and therefore

$$f(z) = cy + d' - icx + id'' = -ic \left(x - \frac{y}{i} \right) + d' + d'' = -ic(x + iy) + d' + d'' = -icz + d$$

5. Let f be holomorphic on Ω . Define $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g(z) = \overline{f(z)}$. When is g holomorphic?

If $f(z) = u + iv$ for real-valued functions u and v , then $g(z) = u + iv'$ where $v' = -v$. g is holomorphic if and only if it satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations (and is differentiable in the real sense). Hence, it is holomorphic if and only if f is differentiable in the real sense and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v'}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v'}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

6. Evaluate the following:

(a) $\int_{\gamma} y \, dz$, where γ is the union of the line segments joining 0 to i then to $i + 2$.

(b) $\int_{\gamma} \sin 2z \, dz$, where γ is the line segment joining $i + 1$ to $-i$.

This function has an antiderivative, namely, $-\frac{\cos 2z}{2}$. Hence the integral is $-\frac{\cos(-i2)}{2} + \frac{\cos(2i+2)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} [\cos(2i + 2) - \cos(2i)]$

(c) $\int_{\gamma} z e^{z^2} \, dz$, where γ is the unit circle.

This function has an antiderivative, namely $\frac{e^{z^2}}{2}$, and since γ is a closed path the integral is 0.

7. Does $\Re \left\{ \int_{\gamma} f \, dz \right\} = \int_{\gamma} \Re f \, dz$?

No. Let $f(z) = z$ and let $\gamma(t) = it$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ so that $\Re \gamma = 0$. Then

$$\Re \int_{\gamma} f \, dz = \Re \int_0^1 iti \, dt = \Re \int_0^1 -t \, dt = -\frac{1}{2}$$

but

$$\int_{\gamma} \Re f \, dz = \int_0^1 0 \cdot i \, dt = 0$$

8. Evaluate the following integrals:

(a) $\int_{\gamma} \bar{z} \, dz$, where γ is the unit circle traversed once in a counterclockwise direction.

We can parameterize the unit circle by $\gamma(\theta) = e^{i\theta}$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Hence

$$\int_{\gamma} \bar{z} \, dz = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\theta} e^{i\theta} i \, d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} i \, d\theta = i2\pi$$

(b) $\int_{\gamma} (x^2 - y^2) \, dz$, where γ is the straight line from 0 to i .

We can parameterize this line by $\gamma(t) = it$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Note that $\Re \gamma = 0$ and $\Im \gamma = t$. Hence

$$\int_{\gamma} x^2 - y^2 \, dz = \int_0^1 -it^2 \, dt = -\frac{i}{3}$$

9. Evaluate the following:

(a) $\int_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{z}, \int_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{|z|}, \int_{|z|=1} \frac{|dz|}{z}, \int_{|z|=1} \left| \frac{dz}{z} \right|$

(b) $\int_{\gamma} z^2 dz$, where γ is the curve given by $\gamma(t) = e^{it} \sin^3 t$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

z^2 has a primitive, namely $F(z) = \frac{z^3}{3}$. Noting that $e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} = i$ and $\sin \frac{\pi}{2} = 1$, we get

$$\int_{\gamma} z^2 dz = F\left(\gamma\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) - F(\gamma(0)) = \frac{i^3}{3} = -\frac{i}{3}$$

10. Show that every disk is convex.

For any two points within a disk one can characterize the segment joining them by

$$\gamma_{x,y} = \{(1-t)x + ty \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$$

It is sufficient to show this for a disc of arbitrary radius r about the origin. So let $x, y \in D(r, 0)$, then $|x|, |y| < r$, and

$$|(1-t)x + ty| \leq (1-t)|x| + t|y| < r(1-t+t) = r$$

hence $\gamma_{x,y} \subset D(r, 0)$, i.e., $D(r, 0)$ is convex.