## MATH 208: Homework #3

Jesse Farmer

## 26 January 2004

1. Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact subset. Prove that every continuous real-valued function on X can be approximated by real polynomials in n variables, uniformly on X.

Every real-valued polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has terms of the form  $c \prod_{k=1} x^{n_k}$ , for some  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is clear that this is a subset of  $C(X,\mathbb{R})$  and that it is closed under addition and multiplication. Addition is obvious (i.e., any sum of these terms plus any other sum of these terms is surely a sum of these terms), and multiplication follows from repeated application of the distributive law. Since this set is closed, it follows that  $\mathbb{R}^n[x]$  is a subring of  $C(X,\mathbb{R})$ .

Trivially, every constant function is a polynomial of this kind. Let  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \neq b$ . Then  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \neq (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ . Define  $K = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_k \neq b_k\}$ . Since  $a \neq b$  we know that  $K \neq \emptyset$ . Consider  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{k \in K}^n (x_k - a_k)$ . It is clear that f(a) = 0 and  $f(b) \neq 0$ , and that this is a real-valued polynomial in n variables. This then separates points of X, and is therefore, by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ . It follows immediately that any continuous function in  $\mathbb{R}$  can be approximated by a polynomial of n variables.

2. Prove that every continuous complex-valued function on the unit circle can be approximated uniformly on the unit circle by Laurent polynomials.

Every Laurent polynomial is continuous on the unit circle, since |z| = 0 if and only if z = 0. Additive and multiplicative closure require nothing more than the distributive property. As this is an algebraically closed subset of the ring  $C(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ , it is also a subring.

Define  $p(z) = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} a_j z^j$ , for  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$  denote the set of all Laurent polynomials.

If we consider the Laurent polynomial where N=0, we see that all complex constant functions are of this form.

We know that  $z\overline{z} = |z| = 1$ , so  $\overline{z} = \frac{1}{z}$ . So

$$\overline{p}(z) = \overline{\sum_{j=-N}^{N} a_j z^j} = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \overline{a_j z^j} = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \overline{a_j} \overline{z^j} = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} b_j \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^j \in \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$$

Therefore this is stable under complex conjugation.

The identity function is a Laurent polynomial which trivially separates points on  $S^1$ .

By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem we have that  $\mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}]$  is dense in  $C(S^1,\mathbb{C})$ , so any continuous function on  $S^1$  can be approximated by Laurent polynomials.

- 3. Let A be the dense subring provided by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem and B be the closure of A. Prove that B is also a subring of  $C(X,\mathbb{R})$ .
  - Let  $f \in B$  be arbitrary. If  $f \in A$ , then we are done since A itself is algebraically closed. We can thus consider only the limits of functions in A, since B is the union of A and the accumulation points of A. From the previous homework we know that the unform limit of continuous function is continuous (the " $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$ " argument), so  $B \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{R})$ . Since the limit of the sum of the sum of the limits and the limit of the product of the product of the limits, we see that B is algebraically closed and thus that B is a subring of  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ .
- 4. Show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a real polynomial p(y) in one variable such that  $|p(y) y| < \epsilon$  for all  $y \in [-M, M]$ .
- 5. Show that  $A_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a subring of  $C(X,\mathbb{R})$  that satisfies the conditions of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Clearly every constant function is a real-valued complex function. We only need to show that  $A_{\mathbb{R}}$  separates points.

We know that A is stable under complex conjugation, so  $\overline{f} \in A$ . If  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$  then there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $y_1 = f(x_1) \neq f(x_2) = y_2$ . It is the case that  $\Re(y_1) \neq \Re(y_2)$  or  $\Im(y_1) \neq \Im(y_2)$ . If it is the former, consider  $u = \frac{f+\overline{f}}{2}$ . Then  $u(x_1) \neq u(x_2)$ . If it is the latter, consider  $v = \frac{i(\overline{f}-f)}{2}$ . Then  $v(x_1) \neq v(x_2)$ . Both u, v are real valued functions, one of which will separate points for any  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{X}$ . Moreover,  $A_{\mathbb{R}}$  is trivially closed under addition and multiplication, since any sum or product of real-valued functions could never be a complex valued-function.

Thus  $A_{\mathbb{R}}$  satisfies the hypotheses of the real Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

6. Show that  $C_c(X, F)$  is contained in the space BC(X, F). Determine whether or not  $C_c(X, F)$  is closed in BC(X, F) or not. When is  $C_c(X, F)$  a Banach space?

Let  $f \in C_c(X, F)$ . Since the support of f is compact and the continuous image of a compact set is compact and thus bounded,  $C_c(X, F) \subseteq BC(X, F)$ .

Define

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \le 0 \\ x & 0 < x < 1 \\ \frac{1}{x} & 1 \le x \le n \\ \frac{-x+1}{n} + 1 & n < x < n+1 \\ 0 & n+1 \le x \end{cases}$$

Clearly each  $f_n$  is compactly supported by the  $\frac{1}{x}$  section, and that as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $f_n$  approaches the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \le 0 \\ x & 0 < x < 1 \\ \frac{1}{x} & 1 \le x \end{cases}$$

This function is not compactly supported since it is non-zero on an unbounded set, which means the support of f is not compact. Therefore  $C_c(X, F)$  is not topologically closed.

(When is  $C_c(X, F)$  a Banach space. Iff X is compact?)

7. Show that  $C_c(X, F) \subseteq C_0(X, F) \subseteq BC(X, F)$ . Prove that  $C_0(X, F)$  is a Banach space. Give examples showing that, in general, all three inclusions are strict.

Any  $f \in C_c(X, F)$  must eventually be zero as its support is bounded. So for any  $\epsilon > 0$  simply choose its support, and outside of that  $|f(x)| < \epsilon$ . Therefore  $C_c(X, F) \subseteq C_0(X, F)$ . Take  $g \in C_0(X, F)$ , then there exists a compact set outside of which g is arbitrarily small, and certainly bounded. Since g is continous, its image is bounded on that compact set. Therefore  $C_0(X, F) \subseteq BC(X, F)$ .

(Banach space)

Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^2} & |x| \ge 1\\ 1 & |x| < 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in C_0(X, F)$  but  $f \notin C_c(X, F)$ . Any constant function strictly satisfies the second inclusion.

8. Show that  $X = \{(a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and all but finitely many are zero}\} \subseteq l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  is of the first kind. Define  $A_k = \{(a_n) \in X \mid \text{Exactly } k \mid a_i \text{ are zero}\}$ . Clearly  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ . Then, let  $(b_k) \in A_k$  be such that  $b_j = 0$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For any  $\epsilon > 0$  define a new sequence as

$$c_n = \begin{cases} b_n & n \neq m \\ \frac{\epsilon}{2} & n = m \end{cases}$$

Then  $||a_k - b_k|| = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , so  $(b_n) \in B_{\epsilon}((a_n))$  but  $(b_n) \notin A_k$  since it has k+1 non-zero terms. This implies that the interior of  $\overline{A}_k$  is empty, i.e.,  $A_k$  is nowhere dense. Therefore X is of the first kind, by definition.

- 9. Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. Show that the following are equivalent:
  - (a) T is bounded
  - (b) T is continuous at zero
  - (c) T is continuous for every  $v \in V$
- $(a\Rightarrow b)$  Assume that there exists some C>0 such that  $\|T(v)\|\leq C\|v\|$  for all  $v\in V$ . Let  $\delta=\frac{\epsilon}{C}$ . Since T(0)=0 have

$$||T(v) - T(0)|| = ||T(v)|| \le C||v|| < \epsilon$$

- $(b\Rightarrow c)$  Assume T is continuous at 0, then there is some  $\delta>0$  such that  $\|v\|<\delta\Rightarrow\|T(v)\|<\epsilon$  for all  $v\in V$ , since T(0)=0. So, as  $v-a\to 0$  we have  $\|T(v)-T(a)\|\leq\|T(v-a)\|<\epsilon$ , i.e., T is continuous for all  $v\in V$ .
- $(c \Rightarrow a)$  Since T is a continous mapping from  $V \to W$  we know that  $T^{-1}(B_1(0))$  is open and contains 0 since T(0) = 0. So there exists r > 0 such that  $B_r(0) \subset T^{-1}(B_1(0))$ . Let  $\epsilon = \frac{r}{2||v||}$ , with  $v \neq 0$ . Then

$$\|\epsilon v\| = |\epsilon| \|v\| = \frac{r}{2} < r$$

so  $\epsilon v \in B_r(0)$  and  $T(\epsilon v) \in B_1(0)$ .

Finally,

$$|\epsilon| \|T(v)\| = \|\epsilon T(v)\| = \|T(\epsilon v)\| < 1 = \frac{r}{2\|v\|} \cdot \frac{2\|v\|}{r} = |\epsilon| \frac{2\|v\|}{r}$$

Letting  $C = \frac{2}{r}$ , we get that  $||T(v)|| \le C||v||$  for all  $v \in V$ , where C does not depend on v.