

MATH 208: Homework #4

Jesse Farmer

02 February 2004

1. Prove that $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is dense in $C[0, 1]$.

$$p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

with $a_n, \dots, a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. And

$$q(x) = b_n x^n + b_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0$$

with $b_n, \dots, b_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

By the density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} , choose each b_i such that $|a_i - b_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$. Since $x \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p(x) - q(x)| &= |a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 - (b_n x^n + b_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0)| \\ &= |(a_n - b_n)x^n + (a_{n-1} - b_{n-1})x^{n-1} + \cdots + (a_1 - b_1)x + a_0 - b_0| \\ &\leq |a_n - b_n|x^n + |a_{n-1} - b_{n-1}|x^{n-1} + \cdots + |a_1 - b_1|x + |a_0 - b_0| \\ &\leq |a_n - b_n| + |a_{n-1} - b_{n-1}| + \cdots + |a_1 - b_1| + |a_0 - b_0| \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

By Stone-Weierstrass we know that $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is dense in $C[0, 1]$, so it follows that $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is also dense in $C[0, 1]$.

2. Let $\{T_j : V \rightarrow W\}$ be a sequence of bounded linear maps such that $T_j \rightarrow T$ pointwise. Show that T is linear.

We know that $T(v_1 + v_2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(v_1 + v_2)$, so all that remains to be shown is that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(v_1 + v_2) = T(v_1) + T(v_2)$.

Pick N_1, N_2 such that for $n, m \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n(v_1) - T(v_1)| &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ |T_m(v_1) - T(v_1)| &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

If we pick $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ it follows that for all $n \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n(v_1 + v_2) - (T(v_1) + T(v_2))| &= |T_n(v_1) + T(v_2) - (T(v_1) + T(v_2))| \\ &\leq |T_n(v_1) - T(v_1)| + |T_n(v_2) - T(v_2)| \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

We can simply pick N such that $|T_n(\alpha v) - \alpha T(v)| = |\alpha T_n(v) - \alpha T(v)| < \epsilon$. Therefore T is linear.

3. Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix and $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ its corresponding linear map. Compute $\|T\|$ if \mathbb{R}^n is endowed with the p -norm.

4. Find a topological basis for $l^\infty(F)$ under the l^∞ norm.

Let $V = \{(a_n) \mid a_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$. This should work, as we can approximate values arbitrarily far out in the sequence with just one basis element, but I'm too tired to concentrate.

5. Find a topological basis for $C([0, 1], F)$ under the sup norm.

Since we're taking $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, and we have proved the first already, take $F = \mathbb{C}$.

Every $f \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ can be written as $f = u + iv$ for $u, v \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. We can pick $p, q \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that $|p - u| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $|q - v| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ by the Stone-Weierstrass. Then $|(u - p) - i(v - q)| \leq |u - p| + |v - q| < \epsilon$. Additionally, by the first problem, we can use $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ instead of $\mathbb{R}[x]$.

6. Does Stone-Weierstrass provide a topological basis for some well-known normed linear spaces?

7. Show that $C([0, 1], F)$ is not complete under the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm, where $\|f\|_1 := \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$.

8. Find the dual spaces of the following vector spaces.

- (a) $l^p(F)$
- (b) $l^\infty(F)$
- (c) $C_0(F)$
- (d) $C(F)$

9. Let X be a complete, separable metric space with $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq X$. Show that if A is perfect then A is uncountable.

A cannot be finite as every neighborhood around each point contains an infinite number of points. Assume that A is infinite and countable, and let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$. We will show that no sequence can cover all of A , and that since every point in A can be described by a Cauchy sequence A must be uncountable. As on Monday we will denote $S_r(x) := \overline{B_r(x)}$.

First, it is obvious that any perfect set P in a complete metric space X is complete since every Cauchy sequence converges and no limit point could be in $X \setminus P$ by the definition of a perfect set.

For any sequence $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ we do the following. Take $x_1 \in A$ and for $r_1 > 0$ consider $B_{r_1}(x_1)$. We can pick $x_2 \in B_{r_1}(x_1)$ and $r_2 > 0$ such that $S_{r_2}(x_2) \subsetneq S_{r_1}(x_1)$ with $x_1 \notin S_{r_2}(x_2)$. Continue inductively, choosing $r_{k+1} > 0$ such that $x_{k+1} \in S_{r_{k+1}}(x_{k+1}) \subsetneq S_{r_k}(x_k)$ and $x_k \notin S_{r_{k+1}}(x_{k+1})$.

We then have a sequence of $S_{r_1}(x_1) \supsetneq S_{r_2}(x_2) \supsetneq S_{r_3}(x_3) \supsetneq \dots$. By the Lemma from Monday's problem session, $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty S_{r_k}(x_k) \neq \emptyset$. But whatever point is in this set could not be one of the points of the sequence, since $x_k \notin S_{r_{k+1}}(x_{k+1})$. Therefore A is uncountable.

10. Prove the following statements about the Cantor set, denoted C .

- (a) $x \in C$ if and only if the ternary expansion of x contains only 0 and 2.

Define the following

$$A_0 = [0, 1] \quad (1)$$

$$A_n = A_{n-1} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+3k}{3^n}, \frac{2+3k}{3^n} \right) \quad (2)$$

Then $C = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$. We see that at the n^{th} step the left-most interval removed is always $(\frac{1}{3^n}, \frac{2}{3^n})$, and that the ternary expansion for this is $(0.00 \dots 01, 0.00 \dots 02)$ where the digit is in the n^{th} place. Note also that, for example, 0.1 can be rewritten as $0.0\bar{2}$, so number of this form can be said to “not contain any ones.” Since every other interval is an integral multiple of the first, we get that at the i^{th} step all digits whose ternary expansion contains a 1 in the i^{th} place is removed. Therefore this construction of the Cantor set is equivalent to removing all points from $[0, 1]$ whose ternary expansion contains a 1.

- (b) C is uncountable.

Since C is closed and perfect (and \mathbb{R} is a complete, separable metric space), C is uncountable.

- (c) C is closed.

Since each A_n is closed, the Cantor set, which is the arbitrary intersection of the A_n , is also closed.

- (d) C is perfect.

Let $x \in C$ and look at its ternary expansion $0.a_1a_2a_3 \dots$. If x has an infinite number of 2s then we can easily find a sequence which approximates $x = 0.a_1a_2a_3 \dots$ by defining x_n as follows

$$x_n = 0.b_1b_2b_3b_4 \dots = \begin{cases} b_i = a_i & i \leq n \\ b_i = 0 & i > n \end{cases}$$

Then this is clearly a ternary approximation for x .

If x has a finite ternary expansion then let k denote the place of the final 2 in that expansion and define x_n as follows

$$x_n = 0.b_1b_2b_3b_4 \dots = \begin{cases} b_i = a_i & i \neq k+n \\ b_i = 2 & i = k+n \end{cases}$$

Then $|x - x_n| \leq \frac{2}{3^{k+n}}$.

Therefore C is a perfect set.

- (e) C is nowhere dense.

We will show that no open set is contained in C , immediately implying that C is nowhere dense.

Assume there is an open set in C , then since $C \subset \mathbb{R}$ there is some open interval (a, b) in C . But this means that (a, b) must be contained in all of the closed intervals which comprise each A_i . Pick $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{3^k} < b - a$. Then (a, b) is not contained in A_k since each interval has a length of $\frac{1}{3^k}$. Therefore no open set is contained in C .

- (f) Define $D(C) = \{x - y \mid x, y \in C\}$. Show that $D(C) = [-1, 1]$.