

# MATH 207: Homework #9

Jesse Farmer

01 December 2003

1. Find  $\text{Aut}D_{2n}$  and  $\text{Aut}S_n$ .

Let  $\varphi : D_{2n} \rightarrow D_{2n}$  be an automorphism. We automatically have, then,  $\varphi(I) = I$  and for any  $x \in D_{2n}$ ,  $\varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x)^{-1}$ . Moreover, we see that if the order of an element  $x$  is  $n$  then the order of  $\varphi(x)$  is also  $n$ .

First we consider only the subgroup of rotations, specifically  $\varphi(r)$ . An element is a generator of this subgroup if and only if it has order  $n$ , but an automorphism preserves order. Therefore an automorphism must send  $r$  to another generator. Trivially, if an element  $r^k$  is a generator then the properties of an automorphism are preserved. Therefore any automorphism of  $D_{2n}$  sends  $r$  to some generator of the rotational subgroup.

We now consider  $f$ , the flip element. As each flip is of order two, sending  $f$  to any of  $f, \dots, fr^{n-1}$ . As it is impossible to have more automorphisms here, these are all the automorphisms.

As for the symmetric group, I haven't the slightest.

2. Find  $\text{Aut}\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ .

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  be an automorphism and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$  we know that  $\varphi(x_0) = x_0$ , so, for any  $a + b\sqrt{2} = y_0 \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  we have  $\varphi(y_0) = a + b\varphi(\sqrt{2})$

Notice that  $2 = \varphi(2) = \varphi(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}) = \varphi(\sqrt{2})\varphi(\sqrt{2}) = \varphi(\sqrt{2})^2$ . Thus  $\varphi(\sqrt{2}) = \pm\sqrt{2}$  and the only two automorphisms on  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  are  $\varphi(a + b\sqrt{2}) = a + b\sqrt{2}$  and  $\varphi(a + b\sqrt{2}) = a - b\sqrt{2}$ .

3. Find  $\text{Aut}\mathbb{R}$ .

Any automorphism  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  must fix  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Consider  $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$ . Then there exists a  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b^2 = a$  and  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b^2) = \varphi(b)^2 > 0$ . Next,  $a < b \Rightarrow \varphi(b - a) > 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(a) < \varphi(b)$ .

Let  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Assume for contradiction that  $y < \varphi(y)$ . There exists  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $y < r = \varphi(r) < \varphi(y)$ . But then  $\varphi(y) < \varphi(r)$  by the fact that  $\varphi$  is increasing, a contradiction.

Likewise, assume for contradiction that  $\varphi(y) < y$ . There exists  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\varphi(y) < \varphi(r) = r < y$ . But then  $\varphi(r) < \varphi(y)$  by the fact that  $\varphi$  is increasing, a contradiction.

Therefore  $\varphi(x) = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

4. Given  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $|r|_p = 1$  find  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|r - k|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^m}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $r = \frac{a}{b}$ . We need to find  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|\frac{a}{b} - k|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^m}$ . We know that  $p \nmid b$ , so  $|b|_p = 1$ . Hence we need to find  $k$  such that  $|\frac{a}{b} - k|_p = |\frac{a - bk}{b}|_p = \frac{|a - bk|_p}{|b|_p} = |a - bk|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^m}$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

First consider  $a \cong kb \pmod{p}$ . For  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$  we see this forms a reduced residue system, and thus that there exists a  $k$  which satisfies the above congruence. For each  $n = 0, \dots, m-1$  we then have  $m$  solutions. Iterating this for each  $n$ , that is, for each  $a \cong kb \pmod{p^n}$ , we arrive at a reduced residue system with one less solution than the previous. Therefore, there is one integer such that  $|a - bk|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^m}$  which we find by repeating this process.

5. Find a sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is Cauchy but does not converge under the  $p$ -adic norm.

Choose  $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n p^k$ . Assuming  $n > m$  without a loss of generality, we have  $|a_n - a_m|_p = \frac{1}{p^{n+1}}$ , so  $(a_n)$  is Cauchy. Assume  $(a_n)$  converges, then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N \Rightarrow |a_n - L|_p < \epsilon$ . If  $p \nmid L$  then  $|a_n - L|_p = 1$  for all  $n$  and we are done, so assume  $p \mid L$  and write  $L$  in base- $p$ . Even if  $L$  is rational we can do this, since the numerator and denominator are integers and they can certainly be written in base- $p$ . Moreover, we can split apart the sum of the denominator to get a sum of rational numbers times a prime power.  $|a_n - \sum_{k=1}^m b_k p^k|_p = |\sum_{k=1}^n c_k p^k|_p = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|c_k p^k|_p\} > 0$ . Therefore we can pick an  $\epsilon > 0$  (anything smaller than this number) for which any  $N$  will fail, i.e., this sequence does not converge.

6. Let  $V \subset X'$  be an open set. Show that  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open.

Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed.  $B_\epsilon(f(x_0))$  is open, so  $f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(f(x_0)))$  is also open by hypothesis. Since  $f(x_0) \in B_\epsilon(f(x_0))$  we have that  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(f(x_0)))$ , and hence that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_\delta(x_0) \subset f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(f(x_0)))$ .

If  $\rho(x, x_0) < \delta$  then  $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$  and, moreover,  $x \in f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(f(x_0)))$ . Therefore,  $f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(x_0))$ , or,  $\rho(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$ . That is,  $f$  is continuous.

7. Let  $V \subset X'$  be a closed set. Show that  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is closed.

Let  $f^{-1}(V)$  be closed.

Claim:  $f^{-1}(V^c) = f^{-1}(V)^c$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } x \in f^{-1}(V^c) &\Leftrightarrow f(x) \in V^c \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(x) \notin V \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \notin f^{-1}(V) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in f^{-1}(V)^c \end{aligned}$$

Then  $f^{-1}(V)^c = f^{-1}(V^c)$  is open. By the previous problem, this correspondence between open sets and their preimage implies  $f$  is continuous.

8. (a) *Show that the set of all homeomorphisms between a set and itself is a group under composition.*

We know that the set of all bijections from a set to itself forms a group under composition, so all we must show is that composition preserves continuity. We will denote the set of all homeomorphisms from  $X$  to itself as  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{H}$ .  $f$  is continuous at every point in  $X$ , so it is certainly continuous at  $g(a)$ . Then, there exists a  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that for all  $y \in X, \rho(y, g(a)) < \delta_1 \Rightarrow \rho(f(y), f(g(a))) < \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . In particular,  $y = g(x)$ . Moreover, for some  $\delta > 0$  we have  $\rho(x, a) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(g(x), g(a)) < \delta_1$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

That is, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\rho(x, a) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(g(x), g(a)) < \delta_1 \Rightarrow \rho(f(g(x)), f(g(a))) < \epsilon$ .

As we already have that the inverses are continuous, we now have that their composition is, too. Therefore composition preserves continuity and the set of all homeomorphisms from a set to itself forms a group under composition.

- (b) *Show that the set of all isometries between a set and itself is a group under composition.*

Let  $f, g$  be isometries. Since  $g$  is a bijection from a set to itself, we have that there exist  $x, y$  for any  $a, b$  such that  $g(x) = a$  and  $g(y) = b$ . Then  $\rho((f \circ g)(x), (f \circ g)(y)) = \rho(f(g(x)), f(g(y))) = \rho(f(a), f(b)) = \rho(g(x), g(y)) = \rho(x, y)$ . Therefore the composition of isometries is also an isometry, and, as above, we inherit all the other properties of the group of bijections from a set to itself.