



Originality: Un-  
derlying fac-  
similarity. We  
underlying me-

**Keyw**

*Similarity*

**Artic**



institutional in

By fo  
particular poin  
subscription.

*1.1. S*



responsible business  
rights, bribery  
disclosure. Bec  
issues, and th  
community an  
experiments an

A wea



(or, equivalently, in  
2 funds, and just  
responses) and  
*Not at all similar*  
effect,  $F(2,1$





to that of the  
these funds.

As for  
selected and a  
Conduct: *Responsible*  
*Conformity to*  
*companies; Str*



*Figure 2: Exper  
function of simil*

Figure  
Phase 2 of the  
or conventiona



To test the hypothesis that the effect of the intervention was indirect, i.e. mediated by the change in the use of the intervention, we followed the steps outlined by Baron and Kenny (1986) and implemented using the following steps in a mediation analysis (see Figure 1 for specific indirect effects). The analysis was appropriate for the experimental design (i.e. randomised manipulation).



individual inve  
consensual va  
individual inve  
development a  
not consensual  
that most ec  
management a









<http://www>

Preacher, K.J.

indirect e

*Instrume*

Sandberg, J. et

*Journal o*

Schoorman, F.

