Entry Misallocation and Heterogeneous Love of Variety*

Jean-Félix Brouillette[1]

October 15, 2025

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

*We are grateful to ZZZ.

[1]HEC Montréal. E-mail: jean-felix.brouillette@hec.ca

1 Introduction

Literature review

2 Theoretical framework

2.1 Economic environment

Preferences. Consider an economy populated by an infinitely-lived representative household of measure N_t with logarithmic preferences over consumption:

$$U = \int_0^\infty e^{-(\rho-n)t} \ln(c_t) \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{where} \quad c_t \equiv \frac{C_t}{N_t} \tag{1}$$

and where $\rho > 0$ is the rate of time preference. In particular, aggregate consumption C_t is a Cobb-Douglas aggregate of sectoral consumption bundles from $S \in \mathbb{N}$ sectors indexed by $s \in \{1, \dots, S\}$:

$$C_t = \prod_{s=1}^{S} C_{st}^{\beta_s} \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{s=1}^{S} \beta_s = 1. \tag{2}$$

Within each sector, the household consumes a bundle of products indexed by i:

$$C_{st} = \left(M_{st}^{-1/\theta} \int_0^{M_{st}} C_{ist}^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \mathrm{d}i \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}} \tag{3}$$

where C_{ist} is the consumed quantity of product i from sector s, M_{st} is the measure of products in that sector and $\theta > 1$ is the elasticity of substitution between those products. The representative household inelastically supplies $L_t^P \equiv \mathcal{S}^P \cdot N_t$ units of production labor and $L_t^E \equiv \mathcal{S}^E \cdot N_t$ units of entry labor where $\mathcal{S}^P + \mathcal{S}^E = 1$ and $\mathcal{S}^P, \mathcal{S}^E > 0$.

Production technology. Each sector s is composed of M_{st} firms producing a single product i using production labor l_{ist} and a bundle x_{ist} of products from other sectors:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ist} = x_{ist}^{\alpha_s} l_{ist}^{1-\alpha_s} \quad \text{where} \quad x_{ist} = \prod_{k=1}^{S} x_{ist}(k)^{\omega_{sk}} \\ \text{and} \quad x_{ist}(k) = M_{kt}^{\psi_{sk}} \left(M_{kt}^{-1/\theta} \int_0^{M_{kt}} x_{ist}(j,k)^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \mathrm{d}j \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here, y_{ist} is the output of firm i from sector s and $x_{ist}(j,k)$ is the quantity of product j from sector k demanded by firm i from sector s. The parameter $\alpha_s \in (0,1)$ is the output elasticity of intermediate inputs in sector s and ω_{sk} measures the importance of intermediate inputs from sector k in the production technology of sector s, which are collected in the matrix $\mathbf{\Omega}$. In particular, we have:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{S} \omega_{sk} = 1.$$

Finally, $\psi_{sk} > 0$ measures the strength of the taste for variety by sector s's firms for products from sector k, which are collected in the matrix $\mathbf{\Psi}$. This formulation developed by Benassy (1996) isolates the "taste for variety" from the elasticity of substitution between products.

Entry technology. In every point in time, a unit measure of potential entrants in each sector attempt to introduce new products. Specifically, these entrants can direct $1/\epsilon_s$ units of labor to entry in order to create a unit flow of these new products. Hence, the evolution of the measure of products in sector s is given by:

$$\dot{M}_{st} = \epsilon_s L_{st}^E. \tag{5}$$

Resource constraints. The resource constraints for the products of each sector are given by:

$$C_{ist} + \sum_{k=1}^{S} \int_0^{M_{kt}} x_{jkt}(i,s)\mathrm{d}j \le y_{ist} \quad \forall i \in M_{st} \quad \forall s \in \{1,\ldots,S\}, \tag{6}$$

the resource constraint for production labor is given by:

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} \int_0^{M_{st}} l_{ist}\mathrm{d}i \le L_t^P, \tag{7}$$

and the resource constraint for entry labor is given by:

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} L_{st}^E \le L_t^E. \tag{8}$$

Finally, the population grows at constant rate $n > 0$:

$$\dot{N}_t = n \cdot N_t. \tag{9}$$

The economic environment is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: The economic environment

(1)	$U = \int_0^\infty e^{-(\rho-n)t} \ln(C_t/N_t)\mathrm{d}t$	Lifetime utility
(2)	$C_t = \prod_{s=1}^S C_{st}^{\beta_s}$	Aggregate consumption
(3)	$C_{st} = (M_{st}^{-1/\theta} \int_0^{M_{st}} C_{ist}^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \mathrm{d}i)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}$	Sectoral consumption
(4)	$y_{ist} = \prod_{k=1}^S [M_{kt}^{\psi_{sk}} (M_{kt}^{-1/\theta} \int_0^{M_{kt}} x_{ist}(j,k)^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \mathrm{d}j)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}]^{\omega_{sk}\alpha_s} l_{ist}^{1-\alpha_s}$	Production technology
(5)	$\dot{M}_{st} = \epsilon_s L_{st}^E$	Entry technology
(6)	$C_{ist} + \sum_{k=1}^S \int_0^{M_{kt}} x_{jkt}(i,s)\mathrm{d}j \leq y_{ist}$	Product resources
(7)	$\sum_{s=1}^S \int_0^{M_{st}} l_{ist}\mathrm{d}i \leq L_t^P$	Production labor resources
(8)	$\sum_{s=1}^S L_{st}^E \leq L_t^E$	Entry labor resources
(9)	$\dot{N}_t = n \cdot N_t$	Population growth

2.2 The market equilibrium allocation

2.3 The optimal allocation

References

Benassy, Jean-Pascal, "Taste for Variety and Optimum Production Patterns in Monopolistic Competition," *Economics Letters*, 1996, *52* (1), 41–47.

Appendix

A Theoretical appendix

A.1 The optimal allocation

By symmetry across firms within sectors, we have:

$$C_{ist} = \frac{C_{st}}{M_{st}}, \quad l_{ist} = \frac{L^P_{st}}{M_{st}}, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{ist}(j,k) = \frac{x_{st}(k)}{M_{st}M_{kt}}.$$

Substituting these into the equations of Table 1, we have:

$$C_t = \prod_{s=1}^{S} C_{st}^{\beta_s} \quad \text{where} \quad C_{st} = \prod_{k=1}^{S} \left(x_{st}(k) M_{kt}^{\psi_{sk}} \right)^{\omega_{sk}\alpha_s} L_{st}^{P\,1-\alpha_s} - \sum_{k=1}^{S} x_{kt}(s).$$

Therefore, the planner's static allocation problem is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{C_{st}, x_{st}(k), L^P_{st}} \sum_{s=1}^{S} \beta_s \ln(C_{st}) \\ &\text{s.t.} \quad C_{st} = \prod_{k=1}^{S} \left(x_{st}(k) M_{kt}^{\psi_{sk}} \right)^{\omega_{sk}\alpha_s} L_{st}^{P\,1-\alpha_s} - \sum_{k=1}^{S} x_{kt}(s) \\ &\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{s=1}^{S} L^P_{st} = \mathcal{S}^P N_t. \end{aligned}$$

The first-order conditions of this problem imply that:

$$x_{st}(k) = \frac{\beta_s \omega_{sk} \alpha_s Y_{st} C_{kt}}{\beta_k C_{st}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(1-\alpha_s) Y_{st}}{\lambda_t L^P_{st}} = \frac{C_{st}}{\beta_s}$$

where λ_t denotes the Lagrange multiplier on the production labor resource constraint and aggregate output in sector s is defined as:

$$Y_{st} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{S} \left(x_{st}(k) M_{kt}^{\psi_{sk}} \right)^{\omega_{sk}\alpha_s} L_{st}^{P\,1-\alpha_s}. \tag{A.1}$$

Using the problem's optimality conditions, we obtain an expression for the quantity of intermediate inputs from sector k used by sector s:

$$x_{st}(k) = \omega_{sk}\alpha_s Y_{kt} \cdot \frac{(1-\alpha_k) L^P_{st}}{(1-\alpha_s) L^P_{kt}}. \tag{A.2}$$

Substituting this and the optimality condition for the production labor choice into the product resource constraint of sector s, and rearranging, we have:

$$\frac{\beta_s}{\lambda_t} = \frac{L^P_{st}}{(1-\alpha_s)} - \sum_{k=1}^{S} \frac{\omega_{ks}\alpha_k L^P_{kt}}{(1-\alpha_k)}.$$

This expression can be rewritten in vector notation as:

$$\lambda_t \mathbf{L}^P_t = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

The multiplier λ_t can be solved for by using the production labor resource constraint:

$$\lambda_t L^P_t = \mathbf{1}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Therefore, the share of production labor allocated to each sector is:

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}^P_t}{L^P_t} = \frac{\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}}.$$

Substituting equation (A.2) in equation (A.1), we obtain an expression for the marginal product of production labor in sector s as a function of the marginal product of production labor of its suppliers:

$$\frac{(1-\alpha_s)Y_{st}}{L^P_{st}} \equiv (1-\alpha_s)^{1-\alpha_s} \prod_{k=1}^{S} \left(\omega_{sk}\alpha_s M_{kt}^{\psi_{sk}} \cdot \frac{(1-\alpha_k)Y_{kt}}{L^P_{kt}} \right)^{\omega_{sk}\alpha_s}.$$

Denoting these marginal products by Y^L_{st} and taking logarithms, we can rewrite:

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(Y^L_{st}) &= (1-\alpha_s)\ln(1-\alpha_s) + \alpha_s \ln(\alpha_s) + \alpha_s \sum_{k=1}^{S} \omega_{sk} \ln(\omega_{sk}) \\ &\quad + \alpha_s \sum_{k=1}^{S} \omega_{sk}\psi_{sk} \ln(M_{kt}) + \alpha_s \sum_{k=1}^{S} \omega_{sk} \ln(Y^L_{kt}).\end{aligned}$$

Defining $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ as the element-wise logarithm of an arbitrary vector or matrix $\mathbf{X}$, we can rewrite in log-vector notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{Y}}^L_t = (\mathbf{I} - \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}[&\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})\widehat{(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})} + \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &+ \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \circ \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}})\mathbf{1} + \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \circ \Psi)\hat{\mathbf{M}}_t]\end{aligned}$$

where $\circ$ denotes the Hadamard product. Using the definition of Y^L_{st}, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{Y}}^L_t &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}[\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})\widehat{(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})} + \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\circ\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}})\mathbf{1} + \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\circ\Psi)\hat{\mathbf{M}}_t] + \hat{\mathbf{L}}^P_t - \widehat{(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})}.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting equation (A.2) in the sectoral resource constraints, we have:

$$C_{st} = Y_{st}\left(1 - \frac{1-\alpha_s}{L^P_{st}} \times \sum_{k=1}^{S} \frac{\omega_{ks}\alpha_k L^P_{kt}}{1-\alpha_k}\right).$$

In log-vector notation, we can analogously rewrite:

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}}_t = \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_t + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_t \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t \equiv \mathbf{1} - \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{L}^P_t)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1}\mathbf{L}^P_t.$$

Therefore, the current-value Hamiltonian that corresponds to the planner's dynamic allocation problem is:

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_t + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_t) - \ln(N_t) + \sum_{s=1}^{S}\mu_{st}\epsilon_s L^E_{st} + \lambda_t\left(L^E_t - \sum_{s=1}^{S} L^E_{st}\right)$$

where μ_{st} are costate variables. The optimality conditions of this problem are:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_t}{\partial L^E_{st}} &= \mu_{st}\epsilon_s - \lambda_t = 0, \\ \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_t}{\partial M_{st}} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}^\top(\mathbf{I}-\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\circ\boldsymbol{\Psi})\mathbf{e}_s}{M_{st}} = (\rho - n)\mu_{st} - \dot{\mu}_{st}.\end{aligned}$$

Balanced growth path. On a balanced growth path, the measure of varieties within any sector must grow at rate n, which implies:

$$M_{st} = \frac{\epsilon_s L^E_{st}}{n}.$$

Using this result and the problem's optimality conditions, and rearranging, we have:

$$(\rho/n)\lambda_t L^E_{st} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top(\mathbf{I}-\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\circ\boldsymbol{\Psi})\mathbf{e}_s.$$

The costate variable λ_t is solved for by using the research labor resource constraint:

$$(\rho/n)\lambda_t L^E_t = \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top(\mathbf{I}-\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\circ\boldsymbol{\Psi})\mathbf{1}.$$

Therefore, the share of entry labor allocated to each sector is:

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}_t^E}{L_t^E} = \frac{[\boldsymbol{\beta}^\top(\mathbf{I} - \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \circ \boldsymbol{\Psi})]^\top}{\boldsymbol{\beta}^\top(\mathbf{I} - \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \circ \boldsymbol{\Psi})\mathbf{1}}.$$

A.2 The market equilibrium allocation

The household's problem. Taking prices and the measures of varieties as given, the representative household's problem is to choose its consumption C_{ist} of each variety to maximize lifetime utility:

$$U = \max_{C_{ist}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\rho-n)t} \ln(c_t)\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{where} \quad C_t = \prod_{s=1}^{S} \left(M_{st}^{-1/\theta} \int_0^{M_{st}} C_{ist}^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \mathrm{d}i \right)^{\frac{\beta_s \theta}{\theta-1}}$$

subject to the flow budget constraint:

$$\dot{A}_t = r_t A_t + w_t^P L_t^P + w_t^E L_t^E - \sum_{s=1}^{S} \int_0^{M_{st}} p_{ist} C_{ist} \mathrm{d}i$$

where A_t is financial wealth at time t, w_t^P and w_t^E are the wages paid to production and entry labor, respectively, and p_{ist} is the price of product i from sector s. Choosing aggregate consumption as the numéraire, this problem delivers the usual Euler equation and final demand functions:

$$\frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} = r_t - \rho \quad \text{and} \quad C_{ist} = \frac{\beta_s C_t P_{st}^{\theta-1}}{M_{st} p_{ist}^{\theta}}, \quad \forall i \in [0, M_{st}], \ \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S\}$$

where $c_t \equiv C_t / N_t$ and P_{st} is the ideal price index of final consumption from sector s:

$$P_{st} \equiv \left(M_{st}^{-1} \int_0^{M_{st}} p_{ist}^{1-\theta} \mathrm{d}i \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \quad \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S\}.$$

Similarly, the ideal price index of aggregate consumption, denoted as P_t, is normalized to unity and is defined as:

$$P_t \equiv \prod_{s=1}^{S} \left(\frac{P_{st}}{\beta_s} \right)^{\beta_s}.$$

The firm's problem. After entry, a firm engages in monopolistic competition on the output market and perfect competition on the markets for inputs. That is, it chooses a price as well as intermediate inputs and production labor to maximize profits π_{ist} while taking as given the demand for its product, the price p_{jkt} of intermediate inputs, and the production wage:

$$\pi_{ist} = \max_{p_{ist}, x_{ist}(j,k), l_{ist}} \{p_{ist} y_{ist} - \sum_{k=1}^{S} \int_0^{M_{kt}} p_{jkt} x_{ist}(j,k) \mathrm{d}j - w_t^P l_{ist}\}.$$

This can be broken down into several sub-problems. The first being the following cost minimization problem for each supplying sector k:

$$\min_{x_{ist}(j,k)} \int_0^{M_{kt}} p_{jkt} x_{ist}(j,k) \mathrm{d}j \quad \text{s.t.} \quad M_{kt}^{\psi_{sk}} \left(M_{kt}^{-1/\theta} \int_0^{M_{kt}} x_{ist}(j,k)^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \mathrm{d}j \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}} \geq x_{ist}(k).$$

The first-order conditions deliver the following demand functions:

$$x_{ist}(j,k) = (P_{st}^X(k)/p_{jkt})^{\theta} M_{kt}^{\psi_{sk}(\theta-1)-1} x_{ist}(k) \quad \text{where} \quad P_{st}^X(k) \equiv P_{kt} M_{kt}^{-\psi_{sk}}.$$

The second sub-problem is the following cost minimization problem:

$$\min_{x_{ist}(k)} \sum_{k=1}^{S} P_{st}^X(k) x_{ist}(k) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \prod_{k=1}^{S} x_{ist}(k)^{\omega_{sk}} \geq x_{ist}.$$

The first-order conditions deliver the following demand functions:

$$x_{ist}(k) = \omega_{sk} P_{st}^X x_{ist} / P_{st}^X(k) \quad \text{where} \quad P_{st}^X \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{S} \left(\frac{P_{st}^X(k)}{\omega_{sk}} \right)^{\omega_{sk}}.$$

The third sub-problem is the following cost minimization problem:

$$\min_{x_{ist}, l_{ist}} \{P_{st}^X x_{ist} + w_t^P l_{ist}\} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x_{ist}^{\alpha_s} l_{ist}^{1-\alpha_s} \geq y_{ist}.$$

The first-order conditions deliver the following demand functions:

$$x_{ist} = \alpha_s m_{st} y_{ist} / P_{st}^X \quad \text{and} \quad l_{ist} = (1-\alpha_s) m_{st} y_{ist} / w_t^P$$

where m_{st} denotes the marginal cost of firms in sector s:

$$m_{st} \equiv \left(\frac{P_{st}^X}{\alpha_s} \right)^{\alpha_s} \left(\frac{w_t^P}{1-\alpha_s} \right)^{1-\alpha_s}.$$

The last sub-problem is the firm's optimal pricing problem. It is straightforward to show that firms set their price to a constant markup μ above marginal cost:

$$p_{ist} = \mu \cdot m_{st} \quad \text{where} \quad \mu \equiv \frac{\theta}{\theta - 1}.$$

Therefore, firm profits in sector s are given by:

$$\pi_{ist} = \frac{m_{st} y_{ist}}{\theta - 1}.$$

Substituting the demand function for intermediate inputs in the firm's production function and solving for the output of firm i in sector s, we have:

$$y_{ist} = (\alpha_s m_{st} / P^X_{st})^{\frac{\alpha_s}{1-\alpha_s}} l_{ist}.$$

Using the symmetry of production labor demand functions as well as the definition of the firm's marginal cost, we can rewrite:

$$y_{ist} = \left[\frac{\alpha_s w^P_t}{(1-\alpha_s) P^X_{st}} \right]^{\alpha_s} L^P_{st} / M_{st}.$$

Therefore, firm profits in sector s are given by:

$$\pi_{ist} = \frac{w^P_t L_{st}}{(1-\alpha_s)(\theta-1) M_{st}}, \quad \forall i \in [0, M_{st}], \ \forall s \in \{1, \ldots, S\}.$$

The value of such a firm is given by the present value of its future profits:

$$V_{st} = \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\int_t^{t'} r_\tau \mathrm{d}\tau} \pi_{st'} \mathrm{d}t' \quad \forall s \in \{1, \ldots, S\}.$$

Differentiating V_{st} with respect to time, we obtain the law of motion for the value of a firm in sector s:

$$\dot{V}_{st} = r_t V_{st} - \frac{w^P_t L^P_{st}}{(1-\alpha_s)(\theta-1) M_{st}} \quad \forall s \in \{1, \ldots, S\}.$$

The entrant's problem. The entrant's problem is to choose entry labor to maximize the expected present discounted value of introducing a new product:

$$\max_{L^E_{st}} \{ V_{st} \epsilon_s L^E_{st} - w^E_t L^E_{st} \} \quad \forall s \in \{1, \ldots, S\}.$$

With free-entry among potential entrants, this implies:

$$V_{st} = w_t^E / \epsilon_s \quad \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S\}.$$

Equilibrium. The asset market clearing condition is:

$$A_t = \sum_{s=1}^{S} V_{st} M_{st}.$$

Differentiating with respect to time delivers:

$$\dot{A}_t = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \dot{V}_{st} M_{st} + \sum_{s=1}^{S} V_{st} \dot{M}_{st} = r_t A_t - \sum_{s=1}^{S} \frac{w_t^P L_{st}^P}{(1-\alpha_s)(\theta-1)} + w_t^E L_t^E.$$

Combining this result with the household's flow budget constraint, we have:

$$C_t = w_t^P \sum_{s=1}^{S} L_{st}^P \left(\frac{(1-\alpha_s)(\theta-1)+1}{(1-\alpha_s)(\theta-1)} \right).$$

Taking the logarithm of the ideal price index of aggregate consumption, we have:

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} \beta_s [\ln(P_{st}) - \ln(\beta_s)] = 0.$$

Substituting in the expressions for P_{st}, we have:

$$\ln(\mu) + \sum_{s=1}^{S} \beta_s [\alpha_s \ln(P_{st}^X) - \alpha_s \ln(\alpha_s) + (1-\alpha_s)\ln(w_t^P) - (1-\alpha_s)\ln(1-\alpha_s) - \ln(\beta_s)] = 0.$$

In log-vector notation, we have:

$$\ln(\mu) + \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top [\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\hat{\mathbf{P}}_t^X - \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \ln(w_t^P)\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\widehat{\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] = 0.$$

Using the expressions for P_{st}^X and taking logarithms, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(P_{st}^X) = \ln(\mu) + \sum_{k=1}^{S} \omega_{sk} [&\alpha_k \ln(P_{kt}^X) - \alpha_k \ln(\alpha_k) \\ &+ (1-\alpha_k)\ln(w_t^P) - (1-\alpha_k)\ln(1-\alpha_k) - \psi_{sk}\ln(M_{kt}) - \ln(\omega_{sk})]. \end{aligned}$$

In log-vector notation, we can solve for $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_t^X$:

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_t^X = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Omega}\text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}[\ln(\mu)\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Omega}(\ln(w_t^P)(\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - \text{diag}(\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\alpha})\widehat{(\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\alpha})}) \\ - (\mathbf{\Omega} \circ \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}})\mathbf{1} - (\mathbf{\Omega} \circ \mathbf{\Psi})\hat{\mathbf{M}}_t].$$

Substituting this expression in the previous equation delivers an expression for the logarithm of the wage paid to production workers as a function of the measures of varieties.

Balanced growth path. On a balanced growth path, it must be that the measure of varieties within each sector grows at the same rate as the population, which implies:

$$M_{st} = \frac{\epsilon_s L_{st}^E}{n} \quad \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S\}.$$

Similarly, the growth rate of the value of a firm must be equal to the growth rate of consumption per person, which implies:

$$V_{st} = \frac{w_t^P L_{st}^P}{\rho(1 - \alpha_s)(\theta - 1)M_{st}}, \quad \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S\}.$$

Using the free-entry condition and the BGP measure of products, we have:

$$L_{st}^E = \frac{n w_t^P L_{st}^P}{\rho(1 - \alpha_s)(\theta - 1)w_t^E}, \quad \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S\}.$$

Imposing the entry labor resource constraint, we obtain an expression for the wage paid to entry labor:

$$w_t^E = \frac{n w_t^P}{\rho(\theta - 1)L_t^E} \cdot \sum_{s=1}^{S} \frac{L_{st}^P}{1 - \alpha_s}.$$

Substituting this back into the previous equation, we obtain an expression for the share of entry labor in each sector in vector notation:

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}_t^E}{L_t^E} = \frac{\text{diag}(\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1}\mathbf{L}_t^P}{\mathbf{1}^\top \text{diag}(\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1}\mathbf{L}_t^P}.$$

The product resource constraints are:

$$C_{ist} = y_{ist} - \sum_{k=1}^{S} \int_0^{M_{kt}} x_{jkt}(i, s)\text{d}j \quad \forall i \in [0, M_{st}], \ \forall s \in \{1, \dots, S\}.$$

Multiplying both sides of this equation by p_{ist} and substituting in the final and intermediate demand functions, as well as the expression for the output of firm i in sector s, we have:

$$\beta_s C_t = \frac{\mu w_t^P L_{st}^P}{1-\alpha_s} - w_t^P \sum_{k=1}^{S} \frac{\omega_{ks}\alpha_k L_{kt}^P}{1-\alpha_k} \quad \forall s \in \{1, \ldots, S\}.$$

This equation can be rewritten in vector notation as:

$$\frac{w_t^P \mathbf{L}_t^P}{C_t} = [\mu \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1}]^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Imposing the production labor resource constraint, we obtain an expression for the payments to production labor as a share of aggregate consumption:

$$\frac{w_t^P L_t^P}{C_t} = \mathbf{1}^\top [\mu \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})^{-1}]^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Substituting this back into the previous equation, we obtain an expression for the share of production labor in each sector:

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}_t^P}{L_t^P} = \frac{\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\mu\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\mu\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}}.$$

Substituting this back into the expression for the share of entry labor in each sector, we have the following:

$$\frac{\mathbf{L}_t^E}{L_t^E} = \frac{(\mu\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathbf{1}^\top (\mu\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}}.$$