This example is set up in Apple Chancery.

\usepackage[no-math]{fontspec}
\setmainfont[Mapping=tex-text]{Apple Chancery}
\usepackage[defaultmathsizes]{mathastext}

Typeset with mathastext 1.15d (2012/10/13). (compiled with $X_{T}L^{A}T_{T}X$)

Theorem 1. Let there be given indeterminates $u_{\hat{\iota}}$, $v_{\hat{\iota}}$, $k_{\hat{\iota}}$, $x_{\hat{\iota}}$, $y_{\hat{\iota}}$, $L_{\hat{\iota}}$, for $1 \leq \hat{\iota} \leq n$. We define the following $n \times n$ matrices

$$\mathcal{U}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix}
u_{1} & u_{2} & \dots & u_{n} \\
k_{1}v_{1} & k_{2}v_{2} & \dots & k_{n}v_{n} \\
k_{2}^{2}u_{1} & k_{2}^{2}u_{2} & \dots & k_{n}^{2}u_{n} \\
\vdots & \dots & \vdots
\end{pmatrix}
\qquad
\mathcal{V}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix}
v_{1} & v_{2} & \dots & v_{n} \\
k_{1}u_{1} & k_{2}u_{2} & \dots & k_{n}u_{n} \\
k_{2}^{2}v_{1} & k_{2}^{2}v_{2} & \dots & k_{n}u_{n} \\
\vdots & \dots & \vdots
\end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

Where the rows contain alternatively u's and v's. Similarly:

$$\chi_{n} = \begin{pmatrix}
\chi_{1} & \chi_{2} & \dots & \chi_{n} \\
L_{1}y_{1} & L_{2}y_{2} & \dots & L_{n}y_{n} \\
L_{2}^{2}\chi_{1} & L_{2}^{2}\chi_{2} & \dots & L_{n}^{2}\chi_{n} \\
\vdots & \dots & \vdots
\end{pmatrix}
\qquad
\chi_{n} = \begin{pmatrix}
y_{1} & y_{2} & \dots & y_{n} \\
L_{1}\chi_{1} & L_{2}\chi_{2} & \dots & L_{n}\chi_{n} \\
L_{1}^{2}y_{1} & L_{2}^{2}y_{2} & \dots & L_{n}^{2}y_{n} \\
\vdots & \dots & \vdots
\end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

There holds

$$\underset{1 \leq i,j \leq n}{\text{det}} \left(\frac{u_{i} y_{j} - v_{i} x_{j}}{L_{j} - k_{i}} \right) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i,j} (L_{j} - k_{i})} \begin{vmatrix} u_{n} & \chi_{n} \\ v_{n} & \gamma_{n} \end{vmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}$$
(3)

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} be $n \times n$ matrices, with \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} invertible. Using $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & \mathcal{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{C} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{B} \\ 1 & \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{D} \end{pmatrix}$ we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ C & \mathcal{D} \end{vmatrix} = |\mathcal{A}||C||C^{-1}\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{B}| \tag{4}$$

Where vertical bars denote determinants. Let $d(u) = diag(u_1, ..., u_n)$ and $p_u = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} u_i$. We define similarly d(v), d(x), d(y) and p_v, p_x, p_y . From the previous identity we get

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{L}(u) & \mathcal{B} \mathcal{L}(x) \\ C \mathcal{L}(v) & \mathcal{D} \mathcal{L}(y) \end{vmatrix} = |\mathcal{A}||C| \, \underline{p}_{u} \, \underline{p}_{v} \, \left| \mathcal{L}(v)^{-1} C^{-1} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{L}(y) - \mathcal{L}(u)^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{L}(x) \right|$$

$$= |\mathcal{A}||C| \, \mathcal{L}(u) C^{-1} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{L}(y) - \mathcal{L}(v) \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
(5)

The special case A = C, B = D, gives

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{A}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}) & \mathcal{B}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathcal{A}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}) & \mathcal{B}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y}) \end{vmatrix}_{2n \times 2n} = \mathcal{L}et(\mathcal{A})^2 \underset{1 \leq i,j \leq n}{\mathcal{L}et}((\mathbf{u}_i y_j - \mathbf{v}_i x_j)(\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{B})_{i,j})$$
(6)

Let W(k) be the Vandermonde matrix with rows (1...1), $(k_1...k_n)$, $(k_1^2...k_n^2)$, ..., and $\Delta(k)$ = det W(k) its determinant. Let

$$\mathcal{K}(t') = \prod_{1 \le m \le n} (t' - k_{m}) \tag{7}$$

and let C be then \times n-matrix $(C_{i.m.})_{1 \leqslant i,m. \leqslant n.}$, where the $C_{i.m.}$'s are defined by the partial fraction expansions:

$$1 \leqslant \dot{\mathcal{L}} \leqslant n \mathcal{L} \qquad \frac{t^{\prime \dot{\mathcal{L}}-1}}{\mathcal{K}(t')} = \sum_{1 \leqslant m, \leqslant n} \frac{c_{\dot{\mathcal{L}}m}}{t' - k_{\dot{\mathcal{W}}}} \tag{8}$$

We have the two matrix equations:

$$C = W(k) diag(K'(k_1)^{-1}, ..., K'(k_{ll})^{-1})$$
 (9a)

$$C \cdot \left(\frac{1}{L_{j} - k_{n}}\right)_{1 \leqslant m, j \leqslant n} = \mathcal{W}(L) \text{ diag}\left(\mathcal{K}(L_{1})^{-1}, \dots, \mathcal{K}(L_{n})^{-1}\right) \tag{96}$$

This gives the (well-known) identity:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_{j}-k_{n}}\right)_{1\leqslant m,j\leqslant n}=\text{diag}\left(\mathcal{K}'(k_{1}),\ldots,\mathcal{K}'(k_{n})\right)\mathcal{W}(k)^{-1}\mathcal{W}(L)\,\text{diag}\left(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{L}_{1})^{-1},\ldots,\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{L}_{n})^{-1}\right)$$
(10)

We can thus rewrite the determinant we want to compute as:

$$\left|\frac{u_{i}y_{j}-v_{i}x_{j}}{L_{j}-k_{i}}\right|_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n}=\prod_{m}\mathcal{K}'(k_{m})\prod_{j}\mathcal{K}(L_{j})^{-1}\left|(u_{i}y_{j}-v_{i}x_{j})(\mathcal{W}(k)^{-1}\mathcal{W}(L))_{i,j}\right|_{n\times n}$$
(11)

We shall now make use of (6) with A = W(k) and B = W(L).

$$\left| \frac{u_{i} y_{j} - v_{i} x_{j}}{\mathcal{L}_{j} - k_{i}} \right|_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n} = \Delta(k)^{-2} \prod_{m} \mathcal{K}'(k_{m}) \prod_{j} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{L}_{j})^{-1} \left| \begin{array}{c} w(k) d_{i}(u_{i}) & w(L) d_{i}(x) \\ w(k) d_{i}(v) & w(L) d_{i}(y) \end{array} \right|$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n_{i}(n_{i}-1)}{2}}}{\prod_{i,j} (\mathcal{L}_{j} - k_{i})} \left| \begin{array}{c} w(k) d_{i}(u_{i}) & w(L) d_{i}(x) \\ w(k) d_{i}(v) & w(L) d_{i}(y) \end{array} \right|_{2n_{i} \times 2n_{i}}$$

$$(12)$$

The sign $(-1)^{n \cdot (n-1)/2} = (-1)^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$ is the signature of the permutation which exchanges rows i and n + i for $i = 2, 4, \ldots, 2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ and transforms the determinant on the right-hand side into $\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{U}_n & \chi_n \\ \mathcal{V}'_n & \Upsilon'_n \end{vmatrix}$. This concludes the proof.