

Towards Formalising the Guard Checker of Rocq

TYPES 2025, Glasgow

Yee-Jian Tan, Yannick Forster

June 13, 2025

Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Inria Paris

Eliminators and Fixpoints

- Rocq is based on the Calculus of *Inductive* Constructions (CIC) [PP89].
- To construct: constructors

To eliminate: eliminators (aka recursors, destructors), or fixpoints + match.

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat) {struct m} := match m with 0 => n | S m' => add m' (S n) end.
```

- Advantage: extracted code to e.g. OCaml is more idiomatic

Eliminators and Fixpoints

Unrestricted fixpoints can be non-terminating...

```
#[bypass_check(guard)]
```

```
Fixpoint boom (n : nat) : False := boom n.
```

Eliminators and Fixpoints

Unrestricted fixpoints can be non-terminating...

```
#[bypass_check(guard)]
```

```
Fixpoint boom (n : nat) : False := boom n.
```

and **break consistency!**

```
Check (boom 0). (* False *)
```

How does Rocq avoid non-termination?

The guard checker! It checks for **structural recursion**.

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0      => n
  | S m'  => add m' (S n)
end.
```

How does Rocq avoid non-termination?

The guard checker! It checks for **structural recursion**.

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0      => n
  | S m'  => add m' (S n)
end.
```

How does Rocq avoid non-termination?

The guard checker! It checks for **structural recursion**.

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0      => n
  | S m'  => add m' (S n)
end.
```

Simple!

Structural Recursion

Another example:

```
Fixpoint minus (a b : nat) {struct a} :=  
  match a, b with  
  | 0, _      => 0  
  | a, 0      => a  
  | S a', S b' => minus a' b'  
end.
```


Structural Recursion

```
Fixpoint minus (a b : nat) {struct a} :=  
  match a, b with  
  | 0, _      => 0  
  | a, 0      => a  
  | S a', S b' => minus a' b'  
end.
```

```
Fixpoint div (m n : nat) {struct m} :=  
  match m with  
  | 0      => 0  
  | S k => S (div (minus k n) n)  
end.
```

Structural Recursion

```
Fixpoint minus (a b : nat) {struct a} :=  
  match a, b with  
  | 0 , _      => 0  
  | a , 0      => a  
  | S a', S b' => minus a' b'  
end.
```

div is not guarded! Why?

```
Fixpoint div (m n : nat) {struct m} :=  
  match m with  
  | 0      => 0  
  | S k => S (div (minus k n) n)  
end.
```

Structural Recursion

```
Fixpoint minus (a b : nat) {struct a} :=  
  match a, b with  
  | 0, _      => 0  
  | a, 0      => a  
  | S a', S b' => minus a' b'  
end.
```

Because 0 is not a subterm of m!

```
Fixpoint div (m n : nat) {struct m} :=  
  match m with  
  | 0      => 0  
  | S k    => S (div (minus k n) n)  
end.
```

Structural Recursion

```
Fixpoint minus (a b : nat) {struct a} :=  
  match a, b with  
  | 0 , _      => a  
  | a , 0      => a  
  | S a', S b' => minus a' b'  
end.
```

```
Fixpoint div (m n : nat) {struct m} :=  
  match m with  
  | 0      => 0  
  | S k => S (div (minus k n) n)  
end.
```

This is structural!

Things are not as simple as they seem.

The Guard Checker of Rocq

8

The Guard Checker of Rocq

- About 1,000 lines of **unspecified, unexplained** OCaml code
- Iterated by different authors over 30 years
- Multiple dimensions of complexity

Two main contributions of this project:

Implementation

A full implementation of Rocq's Guard Checker in Rocq, using the MetaRocq project.

Extending previous work by Lennard Gäher [Gäh21].

Documentation

In the report: examples (Chapter 2, Appendix) and explanations (Chapter 3).

Available on [HAL](#).

Implementation in Rocq

MetaRocq project

- Formalises Rocq's type theory in Rocq (faithful) [Soz+20a]
- A verified implementation of type checker [Soz+20b]
- A verified extraction function to OCaml [FST24]

Proved:

- Subject Reduction and Canonicity,
- **parameterised** by a guard checker
- assumed Normalisation

Implementation in Rocq

Implementation of the Guard Checker

```
From MetaRocq.Guarded Require Import plugin.
```

```
(* define your fixpoint *)  
Fixpoint add (m n : nat) : nat :=  
  match m with  
  | 0 => n  
  | S m' => add m' (S n)  
end.
```

```
MetaRocq Quote add_syntax := add.  
Check check_fix.  
Compute (check_fix add_syntax).
```

Implementation in Rocq

Implementation of the Guard Checker

```
From MetaRocq.Guarded Require Import plugin.
```

```
(* define your fixpoint *)
```

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat) : nat :=  
  match m with  
  | 0 => n  
  | S m' => add m' (S n)  
end.
```

```
MetaRocq Quote add_syntax := add.
```

```
Check check_fix.
```

```
Compute (check_fix add_syntax).
```

Implementation in Rocq

Implementation of the Guard Checker

From MetaRocq.Guarded `Require Import` plugin.

```
(* define your fixpoint *)
Fixpoint add (m n : nat) : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0 => n
  | S m' => add m' (S n)
  end.
```

MetaRocq Quote `add_syntax := add.`

`Check` `check_fix.`

`Compute` (`check_fix` `add_syntax`).

```
add_syntax : Ast.term :=
  (Ast.tFix [|
    dname := [| binder_name := nNamed "add" |];
    dtype := Ast.tProd [| binder_name := nNamed "m" |]
      (Ast.tInd [| inductive_mind := "nat" |] [])
    (...);
    dbody :=
      Ast.tLambda
        [| binder_name := nNamed "m" |]
        (Ast.tInd [| inductive_mind := "nat"; inductive_ind := 0 |] [])
        (Ast.tLambda
          [| binder_name := nNamed "n" |]
          (Ast.tInd {...} [])
          (Ast.tCase
            [| ci_ind := [| inductive_mind := "nat" |]; |]
            [| Ast.pcontext := [| binder_name := nNamed "m"; |];
              Ast.preturn := Ast.tInd [| inductive_mind := "nat" |] []
            |]
            (Ast.tRel 1)
            [ [| Ast.bcontext := []; Ast.bbody := Ast.tRel 0 |];
              [| Ast.bcontext := [| binder_name := nNamed "m'"; |];
                Ast.bbody :=
                  Ast.tApp (Ast.tRel 3)
                    [Ast.tRel 0;
                     Ast.tApp
                       (Ast.tConstruct [| inductive_mind := "nat"; inductive_ind := 0 |])
                       [Ast.tRel 1]]
                |]
              |]
            ]
          )));
    rarg := 0
  |] 0)
```

Implementation in Rocq

Implementation of the Guard Checker

```
From MetaRocq.Guarded Require Import plugin.
```

```
(* define your fixpoint *)
```

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat) : nat :=
```

```
  match m with
```

```
  | 0 => n
```

```
  | S m' => add m' (S n)
```

```
end.
```

```
MetaRocq Quote add_syntax := add.
```

```
Check check_fix.
```

```
Compute (check_fix add_syntax).
```

```
check_fix : Ast.term -> bool
```

Implementation in Rocq

Implementation of the Guard Checker

```
From MetaRocq.Guarded Require Import plugin.
```

```
(* define your fixpoint *)
```

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat) : nat :=
```

```
  match m with
```

```
    | 0 => n
```

```
    | S m' => add m' (S n)
```

```
  end.
```

```
MetaRocq Quote add_syntax := add.
```

```
Check check_fix.
```

```
Compute (check_fix add_syntax).
```

```
= true : bool
```

History of the Guard Checker

Phase 1: Beginnings

- Inductive + CoC = CIC [Pfenning and Paulin-Mohring (1989)]
- Pattern Matching with Dependent Types [Coquand (1992)]
- The first Guard Checker in Rocq v5.10.2 by Paulin-Mohring [Cornes et al. (1996)]

Phase 2: Specifications

- Inductive + CoC = CIC [Pfenning and Paulin-Mohring (1989)]
- Pattern Matching with Dependent Types [Coquand (1992)]
- The first Guard Checker in Rocq v5.10.2 by Paulin-Mohring [Cornes et al. (1996)]
- *Codifying Recursive Definition with Recursive Schemes* [Giménez (1994)]
- *Inductive Definitions for Type Theory* [Paulin-Mohring (1996)]
- *Un Calcul de Constructions Infinies et son application à la vérification de systèmes communicants* [Giménez (1996)]

Phase 3: Big Changes

- Inductive + CoC = CIC [Pfenning and Paulin-Mohring (1989)]
- Pattern Matching with Dependent Types [Coquand (1992)]
- The first Guard Checker in Rocq v5.10.2 by Paulin-Mohring [Cornes et al. (1996)]
- *Codifying Recursive Definition with Recursive Schemes* [Giménez (1994)]
- *Inductive Definitions for Type Theory* [Paulin-Mohring (1996)]
- *Un Calcul de Constructions Infinies et son application à la vérification de systèmes communicants* [Giménez (1996)]
- β - ι commutative cuts subterm rule (Pierre Boutillier, 2010) [Bou12]

```
match v2 in with
| nil => (fun _ => nil C)
| cons h2 t2 => (fun t1' => cons (f h1 h2) (map2 f t1' t2))
end t1
```

Two Weeks before Christmas, 2013

From: Daniel Schepler <dschepler AT gmail.com>
To: Coq Club <coq-club AT inria.fr>
Subject: [Coq-Club] bijective function implies equal types is provably inconsistent with functional extensionality in Coq
Date: Thu, 12 Dec 2013 11:02:00 -0800

Section bijective_impl_eq.

Hypothesis functional_extensionality :

forall (A B : Type) (f g : A -> B),
(forall x : A, f x = g x) -> f = g.

...

Definition not_bijective_impl_eq : False := func_unit_discr unit_eq_False_False_funs.

End bijective_impl_eq.

--

Daniel Schepler

Phase 3: Big Changes

- Inductive + CoC = CIC [Pfenning and Paulin-Mohring (1989)]
- Pattern Matching with Dependent Types [Coquand (1992)]
- The first Guard Checker in Rocq v5.10.2 by Paulin-Mohring [Cornes et al. (1996)]
- *Codifying Recursive Definition with Recursive Schemes* [Giménez (1994)]
- *Inductive Definitions for Type Theory* [Paulin-Mohring (1996)]
- *Un Calcul de Constructions Infinies et son application à la vérification de systèmes communicants* [Giménez (1996)]
- β - ι commutative cuts subterm rule [Boutillier (2012)]
- Restore compatibility with Propositional Extensionality [Dénès (2014)]

Phase 3: Big Changes

- Inductive + CoC = CIC [Pfenning and Paulin-Mohring (1989)]
- Pattern Matching with Dependent Types [Coquand (1992)]
- The first Guard Checker in Rocq v5.10.2 by Paulin-Mohring [Cornes et al. (1996)]
- *Codifying Recursive Definition with Recursive Schemes* [Giménez (1994)]
- *Inductive Definitions for Type Theory* [Paulin-Mohring (1996)]
- *Un Calcul de Constructions Infinies et son application à la vérification de systèmes communicants* [Giménez (1996)]
- β - ι commutative cuts subterm rule [Boutillier (2012)]
- Restore compatibility with Propositional Extensionality [Dénès (2014)]
- Restore strong normalisation [Herbelin (2022)]
- Extrude uniform parameters [Herbelin (2024)]

A Taste of the Guard Checker

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0      => n
  | S m'  => add m' (S n)
  end.
```

Goal: check that add is guarded.

Guarded: *All* recursive calls have a **strict subterm**
as the **recursive argument**.

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0      => n
  | S m'  => add m' (S n)
  end.
```

Subterm Specification

With respect to the **recursive parameter** m , terms can be a

- Large Subterm (e.g. m)
-
-

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0      => n
  | S m'  => add m' (S n)
  end.
```

Subterm Specification

With respect to the **recursive parameter** m , terms can be a

- Large Subterm (e.g. m)
- Strict Subterm (e.g. m')
-

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0    => n
  | S m' => add m' (S n)
end.
```

Subterm Specification

With respect to the **recursive parameter** m , terms can be a

- Large Subterm (e.g. m)
- Strict Subterm (e.g. m')
- Not Subterm (e.g. n)

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0    => n
  | S m' => add m' (S n)
end.
```

Guard Environment

Subterm specifications of terms in the local context are stored.

```
Guard Env : [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]
```

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat)
  {struct m} : nat :=
  match m with
  | 0      => n
  | S m'  => add m' (S n)
  end.
```

Guard Env : [...]

Stack : [Closure m' | Closure(S n)]

Stack of subterm specifications

The subterm information of arguments are stored on a stack when checking the head of an application.

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

Guard env: [m:Large|add]
Stack: []

Initial state. Parameters after the recursive parameter are turned into lambdas.

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
    end.
```

Guard env: [m:Large|add]
Stack: []

- For a lambda to be guarded, its
- binder type must be guarded, and
 - body must be guarded.

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0    => n  
    | S m' => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

Binder type is guarded.

```
Guard env: [m:Large|add]  
Stack:    []
```

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
    end.
```

The body is checked with a updated guard environment.

```
Guard env: [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]  
Stack:    []
```


Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
    end.
```

Guard env: [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]
Stack: []

For a match to be guarded, its

- discriminant,
- return type, and
- every branch

must be guarded.

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

Discriminant (m) and the return type (nat) are guarded.

```
Guard env: [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]  
Stack:    []
```

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'  => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

```
Guard env: [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]  
Stack:    []
```

To check a branch:

- expand into a lambda
- specify parameters
- check the lambda

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

```
Guard env: [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]  
Stack:     []
```

0-th branch has no parameter.

- ~~expand into a lambda~~
- ~~specify parameters~~
- check the “lambda”: guarded.

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

Guard env: [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]
Stack: [m':Strict]

1-st branch:

- expand into a lambda
-
-

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'  => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

```
Guard env: [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]  
Stack:    [m':Strict]
```

1-st branch:

- expand into a lambda
- specify parameters: **strict!**
-

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

```
Guard env: [n:Bound{1}|m:Large|add]  
Stack:     [m':Strict]
```

1-st branch:

- expand into a lambda
- specify parameters: **strict!**
- check the lambda

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m' => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

```
Guard env: [m':Strict | n : Bound{1} |  
            m : Large | add : Not      ]  
Stack:     []
```

1-st branch:

- expand into a lambda
- specify parameters: **strict!**
- check the lambda

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'   => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

```
Guard env: [m':Strict | n :Bound{1} |  
            m :Large | add:Not      ]  
Stack:     []
```

Application with the recursive call is guarded if

- arguments are all guarded, and
- **key case**: the recursive argument is a strict subterm (on the stack)

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0    => n  
    | S m' => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

Arguments are checked from right to left: both guarded.

Stack is populated with closures.

```
Guard env: [m':Strict|n :Bound{1}|  
            m :Large |add:Not      ]  
Stack:     [Closure m'|Closure(S n)]
```

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0    => n  
    | S m' => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

Since the recursive parameter of `add` is at position 0, specify the 0-th element of the stack.

```
Guard env: [m':Strict | n :Bound{1} |  
            m :Large | add:Not      ]  
Stack:     [Strict | Closure(S n)]
```

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0    => n  
    | S m' => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

```
Guard env: [m':Strict|n :Bound{1}|  
            m :Large |add:Not    ]  
Stack:     [Strict |Closure(S n)]
```

Since the recursive parameter of `add` is at position 0, specify the 0-th element of the stack.

`m'` is a **strict** subterm according to the Guard Environment.

Example: add

```
Fixpoint add (m : nat) :=  
  fun (n : nat) =>  
    match m return nat with  
    | 0    => n  
    | S m' => add m' (S n)  
  end.
```

```
Guard env: [m':Strict|n :Bound{1}|  
            m :Large |add:Not    ]  
Stack:     [Strict |Closure(S n)]
```

Since the recursive parameter of `add` is at position 0, specify the 0-th element of the stack.

`m'` is a **strict** subterm according to the Guard Environment.

Done!

More features

What if...

Delayed? Answer: Stack handles this well.

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat) {struct m} : nat :=  
  (fun k => match k with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'  => add m' (S n)  
  end) m.
```

More features

What if...

Obfuscated? Answer: weak-head reduction **only** when checking subterm specification.

```
Fixpoint add (m n : nat) {struct m} : nat :=  
  (fun k => match (id k) with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m'  => add (pred (S m')) (S n)  
  end) m.
```

More features

What if...

Not guarded in erasable subterms?

Answer: strong normalisation (reduction only when needed).

```
Fail Fixpoint add (m n : nat) {struct m} : nat :=  
  let _ := add m (add m m) in  
  (fun k => match (id k) with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m' => add (pred (S m')) (S n)  
  end) m.
```


More features

What if...

Not guarded in erasable subterms?

Answer: strong normalisation (reduction only when needed).

```
Fail Fixpoint add (m n : nat) {struct m} : nat :=  
  let _ := add m (add m m) in  
  (fun k => match (id k) with  
    | 0      => n  
    | S m' => add (pred (S m')) (S n)  
  end) m.
```

Not covered in example: β - ι cuts, redex stack, nested fix, ...

The (at least) 4 Dimensions of Complexity

Dimensions of Complexity

1. The stack of subterm specifications for β - ι commutative cuts
2. Strong normalisation:
 - a redex stack
 - only reduce terms to weak-head normal form when needed
3. Support for mutual and nested fixpoints
 - regular trees
4. OCaml lazy for efficiency

Resulting in 1,000 lines of OCaml code.

Full Implementation in Rocq

- Complete, available as a MetaRocq (TemplateRocq) plugin.
- Feature parity with the kernel
- Test parity* with the kernel
- Intentionally kept as close as possible to Rocq's guard checker
- Available at: <https://github.com/inria-cambium/m1-tan/tree/v1.0.0>

Conclusion and Future Work

Conclusion

- implemented the Guard Checker in Rocq (code on [Github](#))
- documented its features
- gave examples of its behaviour
- full report on HAL: <https://inria.hal.science/hal-04983786>

Future Work

- verify that the guard checker itself is a terminating program
- specification of an abstract **guard condition** of the checker
- verify that the guard checker implements the guard condition
- relative consistency proofs for its soundness

Well-Founded Recursion

- An alternative to structural recursion
- Rocq: structural by default; well-founded using Program Fixpoint Or Equations
Lean: structural by default; well-founded attempted otherwise (`termination_by`)
Agda: structural by default; well-founded using `Induction.WellFounded`

Agda: Semantic Termination Checking

	Syntactic	Semantic
Example	Rocq	Agda
Reduction	Minimal	Full
Mechanism	Guard	(Possible) Sized Types
Advantage	Fast	Accurate

- Chan, Li, and Bowman [CLB23] attempted Sized Types in Rocq in 2019, compilation time increased as much as 5-15x on the Rocq Standard Library.
- New algorithm in Agda by Nisht and Abel [NA24] is linear on input, but not yet proven complete.

Lean: Native Eliminators

- Lean is the opposite of Rocq: **eliminators** are native in the kernel, recursive functions only exist in the surface syntax
- Type Checking:
 1. Eliminators are generated for Inductive Types
 2. A strong (aka course-of-values) induction principle is defined using the said eliminators
 3. Recursive functions are translated into an encoding by the strong induction principle
- Extraction (Code Generation/Compilation) to C: the syntax gets extracted as-is
- Advantage: eliminators are simpler for the theory
Disadvantage: hard to prove extraction correct, possible suprising behaviour

Bibliography

- [PP89] F. Pfenning and C. Paulin-Mohring, “Inductively Defined Types in the Calculus of Constructions,” in *Mathematical Foundations of Programming Semantics, 5th International Conference, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, March 29 - April 1, 1989, Proceedings*, M. G. Main, A. Melton, M. W. Mislove, and D. A. Schmidt, Eds., in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 442. Springer, 1989, pp. 209–228. doi: [10.1007/BFB0040259](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFB0040259).
- [Gäh21] L. Gäher, “Guard Checker in MetaCoq.” GitHub, 2021.
- [Soz+20] M. Sozeau *et al.*, “The MetaCoq Project,” *J. Autom. Reason.*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 947–999, 2020a, doi: [10.1007/S10817-019-09540-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10817-019-09540-0).
- [Soz+20] M. Sozeau, S. Boulier, Y. Forster, N. Tabareau, and T. Winterhalter, “Coq Coq correct! verification of type checking and erasure for Coq, in Coq,” *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, vol. 4, no. POPL, pp. 1–28, 2020b, doi: [10.1145/3371076](https://doi.org/10.1145/3371076).

Bibliography

- [FST24] Y. Forster, M. Sozeau, and N. Tabareau, “Verified Extraction from Coq to OCaml,” *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, vol. 8, no. PLDI, Jun. 2024, doi: [10.1145/3656379](https://doi.org/10.1145/3656379).
- [6] T. Coquand, “Pattern matching with dependent types,” in *Informal proceedings of Logical Frameworks*, 1992, pp. 66–79.
- [7] C. Cornes *et al.*, “The Coq Proof Assistant-Reference Manual,” *INRIA Rocquencourt and ENS Lyon, version*, vol. 5, 1996.
- [8] E. Giménez, “Codifying Guarded Definitions with Recursive Schemes,” in *Types for Proofs and Programs, International Workshop TYPES'94, Båstad, Sweden, June 6-10, 1994, Selected Papers*, P. Dybjer, B. Nordström, and J. M. Smith, Eds., in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 996. Springer, 1994, pp. 39–59. doi: [10.1007/3-540-60579-7_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-60579-7_3).

Bibliography

- [9] C. Paulin-Mohring, *Définitions Inductives en Théorie des Types*. (Inductive Definitions in Type Theory). 1996. [Online]. Available: <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00431817>
- [10] E. Giménez, “Un Calcul de Constructions Infinies et son application a la vérification de systemes communicants,” 1996.
- [Bou12] P. Boutillier, “A relaxation of Coq's guard condition,” in *JFLA - Journées Francophones des langages applicatifs - 2012*, Carnac, France, Feb. 2012, pp. 1–14. [Online]. Available: <https://hal.science/hal-00651780>
- [12] M. Dénès, “Tentative fix for the commutative cut subterm rule.” [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/coq/coq/commit/9b272a861bc3263c69b699cd2ac40ab2606543fa>
- [13] H. Herbelin, “Check guardedness of fixpoints also in erasable subterms.” [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/15434>

- [14] H. Herbelin, “Extrude uniform parameters of inner fixpoints in guard condition check.” [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/17986>
- [CLB23] J. Chan, Y. Li, and W. J. Bowman, “Is sized typing for Coq practical?,” *J. Funct. Program.*, vol. 33, p. e1, 2023, doi: [10.1017/S0956796822000120](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956796822000120).
- [NA24] K. Nisht and A. Abel, “Type-Based Termination Checking in Agda,” 30th International Conference on Types for Proofs, Programs, TYPES 2024, pp. 32–33, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://types2024.itu.dk/abstracts.pdf>