

In-Class Problem Set: Scaling Plots with Overdispersed Election Data (R + GitHub *or* Canvas)

Goal. Use overdispersed election data to practice how axis scaling changes what patterns are visible. You will (i) obtain data from the course materials (GitHub *or* Canvas), (ii) build a reproducible workflow, (iii) make the same plot twice (raw vs scaled), (iv) write an interpretation comparing the two, and (v) submit via **GitHub *or* Canvas**.

What to submit (GitHub *or* Canvas).

- A script file: `scripts/lab.R`
- A short write-up: `outputs/writeup.md`
- Two saved figures: `figures/plot_raw.png` and `figures/plot_scaled.png`

If you submit via Canvas, upload the same files listed above as individual files (or as a single zipped folder that preserves the directory structure).

Rules.

- Work inside an **R Project**.
- Use a **sequential, hard-coded workflow** (no user-defined functions).
- Save outputs using code (`ggsave`); do not rely on screenshots.
- If you choose the **GitHub submission option**, Git commands go in the **Terminal tab** (not the R Console).

Submission options

You may submit this assignment using **either** of the following methods:

- **GitHub submission (recommended):** Commit and push your work to your GitHub repository. You will include Git proof (`git status` and `git log`) in your write-up.
- **Canvas submission:** Upload the required files directly to Canvas. You do *not* need to use GitHub if you choose this option.

Both submission methods are graded using the same rubric.

Questions

1. Get the data (proof required).

(a) Choose **one** method:

- **GitHub option:** Pull the latest version of the course repository to obtain the election dataset (and codebook, if included).
- **Canvas option:** Download the election dataset (and codebook, if provided) from Canvas and place the dataset file in your project `data/` folder.

(b) Confirm the dataset file exists at:

`data/HOUSE_precinct_general.csv`

- (c) **Proof (write-up):** In `outputs/writeup.md`, paste:
 - the output of `getwd()` (from inside your R Project), and
 - the output of `list.files("data")` showing the dataset file.
- 2. **Set up a reproducible workflow (folders + script).**
 - (a) Ensure your project contains these folders (create them if missing):
 - `scripts/`
 - `outputs/`
 - `figures/`
 - (b) Create a script named `scripts/lab.R`. All code for this problem set must live in this script.
 - (c) **Suggested edit (important):** At the top of `scripts/lab.R`, include:
 - a short header comment describing what the script does,
 - `library(...)` calls,
 - `set.seed(123)`.
 - (d) **Proof (write-up):** paste the output of `list.files()` from your project root.
- 3. **Load the election data and build the analysis dataset.**
 - (a) Load `data/HOUSE_precinct_general.csv` into an object called `df`.
 - (b) Filter the data so it includes only:
 - general election entries (`stage = "GEN"`)
 - major parties only (`party_simplified` in `{"DEMOCRAT", "REPUBLICAN"}`)
 - non-missing county information
 - (c) Aggregate to the **county level** and compute:
 - `county_total_votes = DEMOCRAT + REPUBLICAN`
 - `rep_share = REPUBLICAN / (DEMOCRAT + REPUBLICAN)`
 - (d) **Suggested edit:** Use the codebook (provided with the course materials) to confirm the meaning of `votes`, `party_simplified`, and `county_name`. Cite the codebook filename in your write-up.
 - (e) **Proof (write-up):** report:
 - number of counties in your aggregated dataset,
 - summary of `county_total_votes`,
 - summary of `rep_share`.
- 4. **Plot 1: raw scale (required).**

Create a scatter plot with:

- x-axis: `county_total_votes`
- y-axis: `rep_share`
- point color: `rep_share` (continuous color scale; use this to reflect partisanship)

Save the figure as:

`figures/plot_raw.png`

Suggested edit: Label axes clearly (what is being measured), and include a legend title.

5. **Plot 2: scaled version (required).**

Make the *same* plot again, but change the scale of the x-axis to address overdispersion. Use one of:

- log scaling (e.g., `log10` x-axis), or
- another defensible scaling choice discussed in lecture.

Save the figure as:

`figures/plot_scaled.png`

Suggested edit: Make the axis label explicitly indicate the scaling choice (e.g., “log scale”).

6. Interpretation + submission (proof required).

- (a) In `outputs/writeup.md`, write 8–12 sentences answering:
- What is mapped to `x`, `y`, and color in both plots?
 - What is hard to see on the raw scale but easier to see on the scaled plot?
 - What (if anything) becomes harder to interpret after scaling?
 - If you had to show only one version to a general audience, which would you choose and why?
- (b) **Choose ONE submission method:**
- **GitHub option:** Commit and push your work to GitHub.
 - **Canvas option:** Upload `scripts/lab.R`, `outputs/writeup.md`, `figures/plot_raw.png`, and `figures/plot_scaled.png` to Canvas.
- (c) **Proof (write-up):**
- If using **GitHub**: paste
 - the output of `git status` after your commit (clean working tree), and
 - the output of `git log -1` (one line is fine).
 - If using **Canvas**: paste
 - the output of `list.files("scripts")`,
 - the output of `list.files("outputs")`,
 - the output of `list.files("figures")`,
 - and write one sentence stating you submitted via Canvas.

Optional challenge (one extra)

Create a second scaled plot where you change the scale choice (e.g., compare `log10` vs another scaling approach). In 3–5 sentences, explain which scaling choice better supports a clear comparison and why.

Checklist (before you leave)

- `scripts/lab.R` exists and runs top-to-bottom inside an R Project
- `figures/plot_raw.png` exists
- `figures/plot_scaled.png` exists
- `outputs/writeup.md` includes required interpretation and proofs
- Work is either committed and pushed to GitHub *or* uploaded to Canvas