### SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

Department 1, Honorable Daniel Nishigaya, Presiding Mai Jansson, Courtroom Clerk

191 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone 408.882-2120

To contest the ruling, call (408) 808-6856 Or Email at Department1@scscourt.org before 4:00 P.M.

PROBATE LAW AND MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS DATE: October 25, 2024 TIME: 10:00 A.M.

\*\*\*NOTICE\*\*\*

APPEARANCES IN DEPT. 1 MAY BE IN PERSON OR REMOTE THROUGH MICROSOFT TEAMS

#### **PLEASE GO TO:**

https://www.scscourt.org/general\_info/ra\_teams/video\_hearings\_teams.shtml to find the appropriate link.

State and local Court Rules prohibit recording of court proceedings without a Court order. This prohibition applies while on Microsoft Teams.

Prevailing party shall prepare the order by e-file, unless stated otherwise below The court does not provide official court reporters for civil/probate law and motion hearings. See court website for policy and forms for court reporters at hearing

#### TROUBLESHOOTING TENTATIVE RULINGS

If do not see this week's tentative rulings, they have either not yet been posted or your web browser cache (temporary internet files) is accessing a prior week's rulings. "REFRESH" or "QUIT" your browser and reopen it, or adjust your internet settings to see only the current version of the web page. Your browser will otherwise access old information from old cookies even after the current week's rulings have been posted.

This is NOT Probate Examiner's note. For that please click here

And look for probate advance case status.

https://santaclara.courts.ca.gov/divisions/probate-division/probate-advance-casestatus-reports

LINE #	CASE #	CASE TITLE	RULING
LINE 1	20121PR170591		Click on LINE 1 or scroll down for attached Tentative Ruling.

# SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

# **Department 1, Honorable Daniel Nishigaya, Presiding**Mai Jansson, Courtroom Clerk

191 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone 408.882-2120

# To contest the ruling, call (408) 808-6856 Or Email at Department1@scscourt.org before 4:00 P.M.

#### PROBATE LAW AND MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

LINE 2		Click on LINE 2 or scroll down for attached Tentative Ruling.
LINE 3		Click on LINE 3 or scroll down for attached Tentative Ruling.
LINE 4		
LINE 5		
LINE 6		

Case Name: The Estate of Steve Jobs

Case No.: 2012-1-PR-170591

Hearing date, time, and department: October 25, 2024, at 10:00 a.m. in Department

In 2012, Petitioner Angela Maria Dozier-Carter ("Petitioner") initiated this case, filing a petition for letters of administration for the estate of Steve Jobs. Thereafter, Petitioner filed a request for waiver of court fees and a request for special notice. No further action was taken in this case.

On October 18, 2024, moving party Amanda Chien ("Chien") filed a motion to reinstate dismissed case. She asserts that Apple founder Steve Jobs left her all his money and property but that Laurene Powell Jobs ("Powell Jobs"), former wife of Steve Jobs, tricked her into transferring the property to Powell Jobs. She also asserts that the court has been attempting to contact her because Steve Wozniak came to court and attempted to assist her in having the property returned.

The motion must be DENIED for multiple reasons. No proof of service is attached and, therefore, the court cannot tell if Chien served Petitioner or any other interested persons in this case. Further, the motion is untimely. The motion is scheduled to be heard on October 25, 2024, but it was not filed until October 18, 2024. Code of Civil Procedure section 1003, subdivision (b) provides, "Unless otherwise ordered or specifically provided by law, all moving and supporting papers shall be served and filed at least 16 court days before the hearing." Accordingly, the motion is untimely. Finally, in support of the motion, Chien provides only the original petition and notice of the petition filed by Petitioner in 2012. That petition alleges that Steve Jobs died intestate and does not support Chien's assertion that she was the beneficiary of Jobs's estate, nor does it support the assertion that Steven Wozniak took any action on her behalf in the instant case.

The motion is DENIED.