## SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

Department 2, Honorable Drew C. Takaichi, Presiding Audrey Nakamoto, Courtroom Clerk

> 191 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone 408.882-2120

To contest the ruling, call (408) 808-6856 before 4:00 P.M.

PROBATE LAW AND MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS DATE: September 9, 2024 TIME: 10:00 A.M.

\*\*\*NOTICE\*\*\*

# APPEARANCES IN DEPT. 2 MAY BE IN PERSON OR REMOTE THROUGH MICROSOFT TEAMS

#### **PLEASE GO TO:**

https://www.scscourt.org/general\_info/ra\_teams/video\_hearings\_teams. shtml to find the appropriate link.

State and local Court Rules prohibit recording of court proceedings without a Court order. This prohibition applies while on Microsoft Teams.

Prevailing party shall prepare the order by e-file, unless stated otherwise below

The court does not provide official court reporters for civil/probate law and motion hearings. See court website for policy and forms for court reporters at hearing

#### TROUBLESHOOTING TENTATIVE RULINGS

If do not see this week's tentative rulings, they have either not yet been posted or your web browser cache (temporary internet files) is accessing a prior week's rulings. "REFRESH" or "QUIT" your browser and reopen it, or adjust your internet settings to see only the current version of the web page. Your browser will otherwise access old information from old cookies even after the current week's rulings have been posted.

LINE#	CASE #	CASE TITLE	RULING
LINE 1	24PR196806	Estate of Stig Thormodsrud	Click or scroll to line 1 for tentative ruling.
LINE 2	24PR196806	Estate of Stig Thormodsrud	Tentative ruling is included in line 1.
LINE 3			
LINE 4			
LINE 5			
LINE 6			
LINE 7			

## SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

**Department 2, Honorable Drew C. Takaichi, Presiding**Audrey Nakamoto, Courtroom Clerk

191 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone 408.882-2120

To contest the ruling, call (408) 808-6856 before 4:00 P.M.

### PROBATE LAW AND MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

TRODATE LAW AND MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS							
LINE 8							
LINE 9							
LINE 10							
LINE 11							
LINE 12							
LINE 13							
LINE 14							
LINE 15							
LINE 16							
<u>LINE 17</u>							
LINE 18							
LINE 19							
LINE 20							
LINE 21							
LINE 22							
LINE 23							
LINE 24							
LINE 25							
LINE 26							
LINE 27							
LINE 28							
LINE 29							
LINE 30							

### Calendar lines 1-2

Case Name: The Estate of Stig Thormodsrud

**Case No.**: 24PR196806

**Hearing date, time, and department**: September 9, 2024 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2

#### INTRODUCTION

Decedent Stig Thormodsrud ("Decedent") passed away intestate in January 2024. The court appointed Hilde Smith ("Personal Representative") personal representative of Decedent's estate.

Currently before the court are the Personal Representative's (1) motion for an order for disclosure or production of Decedent's Apple ID account and account contents ("Apple motion") and (2) motion for an order for disclosure or production of Decedent's Google account contents ("Google motion"). The motions are unopposed.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Both the Apple motion and the Google motion request orders of the court for access to accounts held by Decedent.

#### I. Legal Background

Probate Code section 876 provides:

If a deceased user consented to or a court directs disclosure of the content of electronic communications of the user, the custodian[] shall disclose to the personal representative of the estate of the user the content of an electronic communication sent or received by the user if the personal representative gives to the custodian all of the following:

- (a) A written request for disclosure in physical or electronic form.
- (b) A certified copy of the death certificate of the user.
- (c) A certified copy of the letter of appointment of the representative, a small-estate affidavit under Section 13101, or court order.
- (d) Unless the user provided direction using an online tool, a copy of the user's will, trust, power of attorney, or other record evidencing the user's consent to disclosure of the content of electronic communications.
- (e) If requested by the custodian, any of the following:

\*\*\*

- (3) An order of the court finding any of the following:
  - (A) That the user had a specific account with the custodian, identifiable by the information specified in paragraph (1).
  - (B) That disclosure of the content of the user's electronic communications would not violate Chapter 121 (commencing with Section 2701) of Part 1 of Title 18 of, and Section 222 of Title 47 of, the United States Code, or other applicable law.

- (C) Unless the user provided direction using an online tool, that the user consented to disclosure of the content of electronic communications.
- (D) That disclosure of the content of electronic communications of a user is reasonably necessary for estate administration.

Probate Code section 881, subdivision (a) provides, "Not later than 60 days after receipt of the information required under Sections 876 to 879, inclusive, a custodian shall comply with a request under this part from a fiduciary or designated recipient to disclose digital assets or terminate an account. If the custodian fails to comply with a request, the fiduciary or designated recipient may apply to the court for an order directing compliance." "An order under subdivision (a) directing compliance shall contain a finding that compliance is not in violation of Section 2702 of Title 18 of the United States Code." (Prob. Code, § 881, subd. (b).)

## **II.** Apple Motion

Personal Representative seeks an order compelling Apple to provide Personal Representative with access to Decedent's "Apple ID Account and Account Contents." (Declaration of Hilde Smith in Support of Apple Motion at ¶ 2.)

Here, the Personal Representative has contacted Apple to attempt to gain access to Decedent's accounts, but Apple is requesting a court order pursuant to Probate Code section 876, subdivision (e)(3). The Personal Representative has provided a declaration indicating that Decedent possessed an Apple ID Account under the Apple ID sthormod@gmail.com.

Personal Representative has explained that Decedent's Apple ID Account and Account Contents contain information that will help Personal Representative locate additional assets of the *Stig Thormodsrud Estate*, including digital assets such as Bitcoin(s), other cryptocurrency, applications from financial institutions, and statements for bank and investment accounts. (See Declaration of Hilde Smith in Support of Motion at ¶ 6.) Thus, the court finds that Personal Representative has explained how the disclosure of the content of the electronic communications is reasonably necessary for estate administration. (See Prob. Code, § 876, subd. (e)(3).) Personal Representative has also explained that there is no evidence that Decedent used an electronic tool to consent to or restrict disclosure of the information sought. (See Declaration of Hilde Smith in Support of Motion at ¶ 8.)

Personal Representative has also provided consents of interested parties indicating that they agree to the granting of the motion. As mentioned above, the motion is unopposed.

Accordingly, the motion is GRANTED. The court finds that Decedent had an account with Apple and there is no evidence that the user provided directions using an online tool. The court finds that disclosure of the account information requested is reasonably necessary for estate administration.

#### **III.** Google Motion

Personal Representative seeks an order compelling Google to provide Personal Representative with access to Decedent's "Google Account Contents." (Declaration of Hilde Smith in Support of Google Motion at  $\P$  2.)

Here, the Personal Representative has contacted Google to attempt to gain access to Decedent's accounts, but Google is requesting a court order pursuant to Probate Code section 876, subdivision (e)(3). The Personal Representative has provided a declaration indicating that Decedent possessed a Google account under the Google Account sthormod@gmail.com.

Personal Representative has explained that Decedent's Google Account contains information that will help Personal Representative locate additional assets of the *Stig Thormodsrud Estate*, including digital assets such as Bitcoin(s), other cryptocurrency, applications from financial institutions, and statements for bank and investment accounts. (See Declaration of Hilde Smith in Support of Motion at ¶ 6.) Thus, the court finds that Personal Representative has explained how the disclosure of the content of the electronic communications is reasonably necessary for estate administration. (See Prob. Code, § 876, subd. (e)(3).) Personal Representative has also explained that there is no evidence that Decedent used an electronic tool to consent to or restrict disclosure of the information sought. (See Declaration of Hilde Smith in Support of Motion at ¶ 8.)

Personal Representative has also provided consents of interested parties indicating that they agree to the granting of the motion. As mentioned above, the motion is unopposed.

Accordingly, the motion is GRANTED. The court finds that Decedent had an account with Google and there is no evidence that the user provided directions using an online tool. The court finds that disclosure of the account information requested is reasonably necessary for estate administration.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Both motions are GRANTED. The court will sign the proposed orders provided by Personal Representative.