

## Mixed Models Discussion Questions

- When/why would you consider using mixed models?
- What differentiates mixed models from traditional “fixed effects” regression models?
- What is the difference between a “level-1” and “level-2” predictor?
- How do mixed models “account for correlation”?
- What assumptions are we making when fitting linear mixed effects models?
- How can we select an appropriate model?

## What differentiates mixed models from traditional “fixed effects” regression models?

- Regression parameters (intercepts, slopes) are allowed to vary by cluster

*Actually, we could include “fixed effects” to allow intercepts to vary by cluster; we could include interactions with these fixed effects to allow slopes to vary by cluster*

- Random effects parameters (intercepts, slopes) are assumed to come from a distribution (usually normal).
- Allow for two types of predictions: population-averaged (average, across many realized “subjects”) and subject-specific

## When/why would you consider using mixed models?

When you have more than one measurement on the same observational unit

- Multiple observations per lake, animal, study site, etc.

Experiments or surveys with different sizes of experimental units

- Split-plot designs (treatments applied to whole plots and subplots)
- Cluster samples (samples of households, individuals within households)

When you want to generalize to a larger population of sample units

- Use fixed effects to model particular sites
- Use random effects (assumed to have a distribution) to model a population of sites

## Fixed versus random effects

In the Bayesian world, all parameters have a distribution (so, not a big leap to move from “fixed” to “random”; we will look at an in-class exercise that will hopefully help you think about this distinction)

In practice, you may have only a few clusters (e.g., < 10):

- Makes it difficult to estimate variance parameters (describing how intercepts/slopes vary and covary among clusters).
- Is it realistic to “generalize” to a larger population of clusters?
- May be more appropriate to use fixed effects models to account for cluster-level differences.

## What is the difference between a “level-1” and “level-2” predictor? Multi-level models

Level-1 predictors vary within clusters

- NAP within beach
- Date or time in longitudinal studies where individuals are followed over time

Level-2 predictors are constant within a cluster

- Exposure within beach

Implications:

- Degrees of freedom (amount of information) for level-1 predictors depends on the overall size of the data set (number of individuals and number of obs. per individual)
- Degrees of freedom for level-2 predictors depends on the number of clusters

Can generalize to models that include more than 2 levels

- Individuals within packs, within populations
- Eggs within nests from the same individual

Distinctions between “types” of predictors (level-1 versus level-2) become even more important when we consider modeling non-normal data

- Implications for parameter interpretation
- Implications for analysis approach (generalized linear mixed effects models versus generalized estimating equations)

## How do mixed models “account for correlation”?

Observations that share common parameters (intercepts, slopes) will no longer be modeled as independent.

Consider the random intercept model:

$$R_{ij} = \beta_0 + b_{0i} + \beta_1 \text{NAP}_{ij} + \beta_2 \text{Exposure}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

$$b_{0i} \sim N(0, \sigma_b^2)$$

$$\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$$

- Correlation among observations taken at the same beach  $= \frac{\sigma_b^2}{\sigma_b^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2}$  since they share  $b_{0i}$  but not  $\epsilon_{ij}$ .
- Correlation among observations taken from different beaches = 0 (do not share either random term).

## What assumptions are we making when fitting linear mixed effects models?

Our response,  $Y$ , can be described as a *linear function of covariates*.

$$R_{ij} = \beta_0 + b_{0i} + \beta_1 \text{NAP}_{ij} + \beta_2 \text{Exposure}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

The *intercepts* for each beach are *independent* and *normally distributed*:

$$b_{0i} \sim N(0, \sigma_b^2) \text{ or, equivalently, } \alpha_i = \beta_0 + b_{0i} \sim N(\beta_0, \sigma_b^2)$$

The within-beach errors are *independent* and *normally distributed*, with *constant variance*:  $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$