Empirical Asset Pricing

Juan F. Imbet Université Paris Dauphine

Research in Finance - 104

Overview

- Lesson 1: Historical Summary of Asset Pricing, Portfolio Theory, CAPM, Empirical Tests, the Stochastic Discount Factor and GMM.
- Lesson 2: Working with accounting data and prices, Anomalies and The Factor Zoo.
- Lesson 3: Return Predictability
- Lesson 4: ICAPM, and Consumption Based Asset Pricing.
- Lesson 5: Production Based Asset Pricing
- Lesson 6: Liquidity and Intermediary Asset Pricing
- Lesson 7: Future Research

Evaluation

- Empirical Project (30%)
- Final Exam (70%)

We start in the world of **Markowitz** (1952): Markowitz, H.M. (1952). "Portfolio Selection". The Journal of Finance.

$$\min_{\omega} \omega' V \omega$$
s.t
 $\omega' \mu = \mu_0$
 $\omega' 1 = 1$

Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\omega}; \lambda, \gamma) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\omega}' \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\omega} + \lambda (\boldsymbol{\omega}' \boldsymbol{\mu} - \mu_0) + \gamma (\boldsymbol{\omega}' \mathbf{1} - 1)$$
 (2)

$$2V\omega + \lambda\mu + \gamma \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0} \tag{3}$$

To solve fast numerically

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2V & \mu & 1\\ \mu' & 0 & 0\\ 1' & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega\\ \lambda\\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \mu_0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

Closed form solution

$$2V\omega + \lambda\mu + \gamma \mathbf{1} = 0$$

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda V^{-1}\mu + \gamma V^{-1}\mathbf{1})$$
(5)

Pre-multiply by μ' and $\mathbf{1}'$ and replace the constraints

$$\mu_0 = -\frac{1}{2} (\lambda \mu' V^{-1} \mu + \gamma \mu' V^{-1} \mathbf{1})$$

$$1 = -\frac{1}{2} (\lambda \mathbf{1}' V^{-1} \mu + \gamma \mathbf{1}' V^{-1} \mathbf{1})$$
(6)

Solve for the Lagrange multipliers, define $a_{x,y} = x'V^{-1}y$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mu\mu} & a_{\mu 1} \\ a_{1\mu} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{a_{\mu\mu}a_{11} - a_{1\mu}a_{\mu 1}} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & -a_{\mu 1} \\ -a_{1\mu} & a_{\mu\mu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2\mu_0 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = -2 \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 a_{11} - a_{\mu 1} \\ a_{\mu\mu}a_{11} - a_{1\mu}a_{\mu 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma = -2 \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_0 a_{1\mu} + a_{\mu\mu} \\ a_{\mu\mu}a_{11} - a_{1\mu}a_{\mu 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma = -2 \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_0 a_{1\mu} + a_{\mu\mu} \\ a_{\mu\mu}a_{11} - a_{1\mu}a_{\mu 1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda V^{-1} \mu + \gamma V^{-1} \mathbf{1} \right)$$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{a_{\mu\mu} a_{11} - a_{1\mu} a_{\mu 1}} \left((\mu_0 a_{11} - a_{\mu 1}) V^{-1} \mu + (a_{\mu\mu} - \mu_0 a_{1\mu}) V^{-1} \mathbf{1} \right)$$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{a_{\mu\mu} a_{11} - a_{1\mu} a_{\mu 1}} \left(a_{1\mu} (\mu_0 a_{11} - a_{\mu 1}) \frac{V^{-1} \mu}{a_{1\mu}} + a_{11} (a_{\mu\mu} - \mu_0 a_{1\mu}) \frac{V^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{a_{11}} \right)$$

$$\omega = \theta_1 \frac{V^{-1} \mu}{a_{1\mu}} + \theta_0 \frac{V^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{a_{11}}$$
(8)

Recall that

$$\frac{\mu_0 a_{11} a_{1\mu} - a_{1\mu} a_{\mu 1} + a_{11} a_{\mu\mu} - \mu_0 a_{1\mu} a_{11}}{a_{\mu\mu} a_{11} - a_{1\mu} a_{\mu 1}} = 1 \tag{9}$$

$$\omega = (1 - \theta_0(\mu_0)) \frac{V^{-1}\mu}{1V^{-1}\mu} + \theta_0(\mu_0) \underbrace{\frac{V^{-1}1}{1V^{-1}1}}_{\text{MVP}}$$
(10)



Refer to

$$\omega_U = \frac{V^{-1}1}{1V^{-1}1} \tag{11}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{T_0} = \frac{\boldsymbol{V}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{V}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \tag{12}$$

Any efficient portfolio satisfies

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \theta_0(\mu_0)\boldsymbol{\omega}_U + (1 - \theta_0(\mu_0))\boldsymbol{\omega}_{T_0} \tag{13}$$

We can think of this economy as composed of 2 assets with expected return and variances

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}' \boldsymbol{\omega}_{U} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}' \boldsymbol{\omega}_{T_{0}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mu}_{U} \\ \tilde{\mu}_{T_{0}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{U} \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{U} & \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{T_{0}} \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{U} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{U} \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{T_{0}} & \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{T_{0}} \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{T_{0}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UU} & \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UT_{0}} \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{T_{0}U} & \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{T_{0}T_{0}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(14)

Introduce a risk free asset, and consider an investor with mean variance preferences, coefficient of risk aversion a, such that he/she invests in a portfolio of the 3 assets. The investor can buy or short sell any of the three assets. (Borrow to invest more on another asset).

$$\max_{\theta_{U},\theta_{T_{0}}} \tilde{\mu}_{U}\theta_{U} + \tilde{\mu}_{T_{0}}\theta_{T_{0}} + r_{f}(1 - \theta_{U} - \theta_{T_{0}}) - \frac{a}{2} \left(\theta_{U}^{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UU} + \theta_{T_{0}}^{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{T_{0}T_{0}} + 2\theta_{U}\theta_{T_{0}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UT_{0}}\right)$$
(15)

F.O.C.

$$\tilde{\mu}_{i} - r_{f} = a(\theta_{i}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ii} + \theta_{j}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ij})$$

$$\theta_{i} = \frac{\frac{\tilde{\mu}_{i} - r_{f}}{a} - \theta_{j}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ij}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ii}}$$
(16)

Change indexes and replace

$$\tilde{\mu}_{i} - r_{f} = a(\theta_{i}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ii} + \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}_{j} - r_{f}}{a} - \theta_{i}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ij}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ii}}\right)\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ij})$$

$$(17)$$

$$\theta_{i} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{\left((\mu_{i} - r_{f}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{jj} - (\mu_{j} - r_{f}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ij} \right)}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ii} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{jj} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{ij}^{2}}$$

$$\theta_{U} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{\left((\tilde{\mu}_{U} - r_{f}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{T_{0}T_{0}} - (\tilde{\mu}_{T_{0}} - r_{f}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UT_{0}} \right)}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UU} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{T_{0}T_{0}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{Ut_{0}}^{2}}$$

$$\theta_{T_{0}} = \frac{1}{a} \frac{\left((\tilde{\mu}_{T_{0}} - r_{f}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UU} - (\tilde{\mu}_{U} - r_{f}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UT_{0}} \right)}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{UU} \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{T_{0}T_{0}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{Ut_{0}}^{2}}$$

$$\theta_{rf} = 1 - \theta_{U} - \theta_{T_{0}}$$

$$(18)$$

Two Fund Separation Theorem

$$\tilde{r} = \theta_{U}\tilde{r}_{U} + \theta_{T_{0}}\tilde{r}_{T_{0}} + (1 - \theta_{U} - \theta_{T_{0}})r_{f}$$

$$\tilde{r} = (\theta_{U} + \theta_{T_{0}})(\frac{\theta_{U}}{\theta_{U} + \theta_{T_{0}}}\tilde{r}_{U} + \frac{\theta_{U}}{\theta_{U} + \theta_{T_{0}}}\tilde{r}_{T_{0}}) + (1 - \theta_{U} - \theta_{T_{0}})r_{f}$$

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{\Lambda}{a}(\tilde{\theta_{U}}\tilde{r}_{U} + \tilde{\theta_{T_{0}}}\tilde{r}_{T_{0}}) + (1 - \frac{\Lambda}{a})r_{f}$$

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{\Lambda}{a}\tilde{r}_{T} + (1 - \frac{\Lambda}{a})r_{f}$$

$$(19)$$

where

$$\tilde{\theta}_{i} = \frac{(\tilde{\mu}_{i} - r_{f})\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{jj} - (\tilde{\mu}_{j} - r_{f})\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{ij}}{(\tilde{\mu}_{U} - r_{f})(\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{T_{0}T_{0}} - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{UT_{0}}) + (\tilde{\mu}_{T_{0}} - r_{f})(\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{UU} - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{UT_{0}})}$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{(\tilde{\mu}_{U} - r_{f})(\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{T_{0}T_{0}} - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{UT_{0}}) + (\tilde{\mu}_{T_{0}} - r_{f})(\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{UU} - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{UT_{0}})}{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{UU}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{T_{0}T_{0}} - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{Ut_{0}}^{2}}$$
(20)

- The composition of portfolio $\omega_T = [\tilde{\theta}_U, \tilde{\theta}_{T_0}]$ does not depend on a. Investors will disagree on the optimal portfolio but they will all hold risky securities in the same proportion ω_T .
- If $r_f = 0 \rightarrow \omega_T = \omega_{T_0}$

$$\frac{\tilde{\theta_{U}} \propto \tilde{\mu}_{U} \tilde{V}_{T_{0}T_{0}} - \tilde{\mu}_{T_{0}} \tilde{V}_{UT_{0}}}{\frac{\mu' V^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{1' V^{-1} \mu}} \left(\frac{V^{-1} \mu}{\mathbf{1}' V^{-1} \mu} \right)' V \left(\frac{V^{-1} \mu}{\mathbf{1}' V^{-1} \mu} \right) - \frac{\mu' V^{-1} \mu}{\mathbf{1}' V^{-1} \mu} \left(\frac{V^{-1} \mu}{\mathbf{1}' V^{-1} \mu} \right)' V \left(\frac{V^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}' V^{-1} \mathbf{1}} \right) \qquad (21)$$

$$\frac{a_{\mu\mu}}{a_{11} a_{1\mu}} - \frac{a_{\mu\mu}}{a_{11} a_{1\mu}} = 0$$

Closed form tangent portfolio (Maximize Sharpe Ratio).

$$\max_{\omega} \frac{\omega' \mu - r_f}{(\omega' V \omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
s.t.
$$\omega' 1 = 1$$
(22)

Solution, the first order condition of the lagrangian of the efficient frontier is zero at every point

$$V\omega + \lambda\mu + \gamma \mathbf{1} = 0$$

$$\omega' V\omega = -\lambda\mu_0 - \gamma$$

$$\omega' V\omega = 2\left(\frac{\mu_0 a_{11} - a_{\mu 1}}{a_{\mu\mu} a_{11} - a_{1\mu} a_{\mu 1}}\right) \mu_0 + 2\left(\frac{-\mu_0 a_{1\mu} + a_{\mu\mu}}{a_{\mu\mu} a_{11} - a_{1\mu} a_{\mu 1}}\right)$$

$$\omega' V\omega = 2\frac{\mu_0 (\mu_0 a_{11} - a_{\mu 1}) - \mu_0 a_{1\mu} + a_{\mu\mu}}{a_{\mu\mu} a_{11} - a_{1\mu} a_{\mu 1}}$$
(23)

Use the fact that $a_{1\mu} = a_{\mu 1}$

$$\omega' V \omega = 2 \frac{\mu_0^2 a_{11} - \mu_0 a_{\mu 1} - \mu_0 a_{\mu 1} + a_{\mu \mu}}{a_{\mu \mu} a_{11} - a_{\mu 1}^2} = 2 \frac{a_{11} \mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1} \mu_0 + a_{\mu \mu}}{a_{\mu \mu} a_{11} - a_{\mu 1}^2}$$
(24)



$$\max_{\mu_0} \sqrt{\frac{a_{\mu\mu}a_{11} - a_{\mu 1}^2}{2} \frac{\mu_0 - r_f}{\sqrt{a_{11}\mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1}\mu_0 + a_{\mu\mu}}}} \frac{\mu_0 - r_f}{\sqrt{a_{11}\mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1}\mu_0 + a_{\mu\mu}}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\frac{d}{d\mu_0} \frac{\mu_0 - r_f}{\sqrt{a_{11}\mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1}\mu_0 + a_{\mu\mu}}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{a_{11}\mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1}\mu_0 + a_{\mu\mu}} - \frac{1}{2}(\mu_0 - r_f)(a_{11}\mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1}\mu_0 + a_{\mu\mu})^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2a_{11}\mu_0 - 2a_{\mu 1})}{a_{11}\mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1}\mu_0 + a_{\mu\mu}} = 0$$

$$a_{11}\mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1}\mu_0 + a_{\mu\mu} - (\mu_0 - r_f)(a_{11}\mu_0 - a_{\mu 1}) = 0$$

$$a_{11}\mu_0^2 - 2a_{\mu 1}\mu_0 + a_{\mu\mu} - a_{11}\mu_0^2 + \mu_0 a_{\mu 1} + a_{11}r_f\mu_0 - r_f a_{\mu 1} = 0$$

$$\mu_0(a_{11}r_f - a_{\mu 1}) = r_f a_{\mu 1} - a_{\mu \mu}$$

 $\mu_0 = \frac{a_{\mu\mu} - r_f a_{\mu 1}}{a_{\mu 1} - r_f a_{11}}$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{a_{\mu\mu}a_{11} - a_{\mu1}^{2}} \left((\mu_{0}a_{11} - a_{\mu1})V^{-1}\mu + (a_{\mu\mu} - \mu_{0}a_{1\mu})V^{-1}\mathbf{1} \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{a_{\mu\mu}a_{11} - a_{\mu1}^{2}} \left(((\frac{a_{\mu\mu} - r_{f}a_{\mu1}}{a_{\mu1} - r_{f}a_{11}})a_{11} - a_{\mu1})V^{-1}\mu + (a_{\mu\mu} - (\frac{a_{\mu\mu} - r_{f}a_{\mu1}}{a_{\mu1} - r_{f}a_{11}})a_{1\mu})V^{-1}\mathbf{1} \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{a_{\mu1} - r_{f}a_{11}} \left(V^{-1}\mu - r_{f}V^{-1}\mathbf{1} \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{a_{\mu1} - r_{f}a_{11}} \left(V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1}) \right)$$

$$\frac{V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1})}{\mu'V^{-1}\mathbf{1} - r_{f}\mathbf{1}'V^{-1}\mathbf{1}}$$

$$\frac{V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1})}{\mathbf{1}'V^{-1}\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1}'V^{-1}\mathbf{1}}$$

$$\frac{V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1})}{\mathbf{1}'V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1})}$$

$$\frac{V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1})}{\mathbf{1}'V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1})}$$

$$\frac{V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1})}{\mathbf{1}'V^{-1}(\mu - r_{f}\mathbf{1})}$$
(26)

- Sharpe, William F. (1964), Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium Under Conditions of Risk. The Journal of Finance
- Lintner John (1965), The Valuation of Risk Assets and the Selection of Risky Investments in Stock Portfolios and Capital Budgets, The Review of Economics and Statistics
- Mossin Jan (1966) Equilibrium in a Capital Asset Market, Econometrica

Since every investor demands the tangent portfolio in equal proportions, the tangent portfolio is the market portfolio. Consider an investor that holds a portfolio between asset i and the tangent portfolio. All possible combinations between these assets satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}[r_p] = \omega r_i + (1 - \omega) r_p$$

$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{\omega^2 \sigma_i^2 + (1 - \omega)^2 \sigma_m^2 + 2\omega (1 - \omega) \sigma_{im}}$$
(27)

Parametrize the functions as

$$g(\omega) = \mathbb{E}[r_p(\omega)]$$

$$h(\omega) = \sigma_p(\omega)$$
(28)

Imagine the portfolio can be expressed as $\mathbb{E}[r_p]=f(\sigma_p)\to=g(\omega)=f(h(\omega))$. Using the canin rule

$$g'(\omega) = f'(\sigma_p)h'(\omega)$$

$$f'(\sigma_p) = \frac{g'(\omega)}{h'(\omega)}$$
(29)

We have that

$$g'(\omega) = \mathbb{E}[r_i] - \mathbb{E}[r_m]$$

$$h'(\omega) = \frac{\omega \sigma_i^2 - (1 - \omega)\sigma_m^2 + (1 - 2\omega)\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 \sigma_i + (1 - \omega)^2 \sigma_m^2 + 2\omega(1 - \omega)\sigma_{im}}}$$
(30)

when $\omega = 0$

$$f'(\sigma_p) = [\mathbb{E}[r_i] - \mathbb{E}[r_m]] \times \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_{ij} - \sigma_m^2}$$
(31)

Efficiency of the market portfolio implies

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[r_m] - r_f}{\sigma_m} = [\mathbb{E}[r_i] - \mathbb{E}[r_m]] \times \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_{ij} - \sigma_m^2}$$

$$(\sigma_{ij} - \sigma_m^2) \frac{\mathbb{E}[r_m] - r_f}{\sigma_m^2} = \mathbb{E}[r_i] - \mathbb{E}[r_m]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[r_i] = \mathbb{E}[r_m] + (\mathbb{E}[r_m] - r_f) (\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sigma_m^2} - 1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[r_i] = r_f + \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sigma_m^2} (\mathbb{E}[r_m] - r_f)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[r_i] = r_f + \beta_i (\mathbb{E}[r_m] - r_f)$$
(32)

Testable Implications of the CAPM

Eugene F. **Fama** and James D. **Macbeth** (1973) Risk, Return, and Equilibrium: Empirical Tests. *The Journal of Political Economy*

$$\mathbb{E}[r_{it}^e] = \beta_i \mathbb{E}[r_{mt}^e]
ightarrow ext{Security Market Line}$$

Test

$$r_{it} = a_i + \beta_i r_{mt} + \epsilon_{it} \tag{33}$$

$$s_i = sd(\hat{\epsilon}_{it}) \tag{34}$$

$$r_{it} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \beta_{it} + \gamma_2 \beta_{it}^2 + \gamma_3 s_{it} + \nu_{it}$$
(35)

Hypotheses

- $\gamma_0 = r_f$ (Sharpe-Lintner Hypothesis)
- $\gamma_1 = \mathbb{E}[r_{mt}^e] > 0$ (Return-Rick tradeoff)
- $\gamma_3 = 0$ (Linearity)
- $\gamma_4 = 0$ (No other systematic effect)



Testable Implications of the CAPM

Econometric challenges

- Error in variables for β_i . \rightarrow Portfolios.
- Cross-sectional correlation in ν_{it} .
 - Sequential Cross-sectional regressions.
 - Modern approaches use GLS and cluster by time.

Fama MacBeth Procedure

• Compute $\hat{\beta_{it}} = \hat{\beta_i}$ and $\hat{s}_{it} = \hat{s}_i$ on a rolling basis for every t using data up to t-1.

$$r_{is} = a_i + \beta_i r_{ms} + \epsilon_{is} \ \forall t - w < s < t \tag{36}$$

$$s_{it} = sd(\epsilon_{is}) \ \forall t - w < s < t \tag{37}$$

• Compute a sequence of γ estimates, fix s and estimate cross-sectionally.

$$r_i = \gamma_{0s} + \gamma_{1s}\hat{\beta}_i + \gamma_{2s}\hat{\beta}_i^2 + \gamma_3\hat{s}_i + \nu_i \,\forall i$$
(38)

• Hypothesis testing for any coefficient γ

$$\frac{\bar{\hat{\gamma_j}}}{s(\hat{\gamma_j})/\sqrt{T}} \sim t_{T-1} \tag{39}$$

A Test of the Efficiency of a Given Portfolio

Gibbons, Ross, and Shanken (1989).

Consider a balanced single-factor model (e.g. CAPM) with ${\cal N}$ assets, and ${\cal T}$ periods.

$$r_{it}^e = \alpha_i + \beta_i f_t + \epsilon_{it} \tag{40}$$

and assume residuals are normally distributed, uncorrelated overtime but they can be cross-sectionally correlated with covariance matrix Σ .

$$\hat{\beta}_i = \frac{s_{r_i^e f}}{s_f^2}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{r_i^e} - \hat{\beta}_i \bar{f}$$
(41)

where s_{xy} is the sample but biased covariance between x and y. Unless otherwise notice we will estimate covariances dividing by T and not T-1.

Asymptotics of $\bar{\beta}_i$

$$\hat{\beta}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{t} \left(r_{it}^{e} - \bar{r_{i}^{e}}\right) \left(f_{t} - \bar{f}\right)}{\sum_{t} \left(f_{t} - \bar{f}\right)^{2}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{t} \left(\left(\alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} f_{t} + \epsilon_{it}\right) - \left(T^{-1} \sum_{s} \left(\alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} f_{s} + \epsilon_{is}\right)\right) \left(f_{t} - \bar{f}\right)}{\sum_{t} \left(f_{t} - \bar{f}\right)^{2}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{t} \left(\beta_{i} \left(f_{t} - \bar{f}\right) + \left(\epsilon_{it} - \bar{\varrho_{i}}\right)\right) \left(f_{t} - \bar{f}\right)}{\sum_{t} \left(f_{t} - \bar{f}\right)^{2}}$$

$$(42)$$

Asymptotics of $\hat{\beta}_i$

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_i) = \frac{\sigma^2 \sum_t \left(f_t - \bar{f} \right)^2}{\left[\sum_t \left(f_t - \bar{f} \right)^2 \right]^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{Ts_f^2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_i] = \frac{\beta_i \sum_t \left(f_t - \bar{f} \right)^2}{\sum_t \left(f_t - \bar{f} \right)^2} = \beta_i$$
(43)

Asymptotics of $\bar{\alpha}_i$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{i} = \bar{r_{i}^{e}} - \bar{\beta}_{i}\bar{f} = T^{-1}\sum_{t}(\alpha_{i} + \beta_{i}f_{t} + \epsilon_{it}) - \hat{\beta}_{i}\bar{f}$$

$$Var(\hat{\alpha}_{i}) = T^{-1}\sigma^{2} + \bar{f}^{2}\frac{\sigma^{2}}{Ts_{f}^{2}} = \sigma^{2}\frac{1}{T}\left[1 + \left(\frac{\bar{f}}{s_{f}}\right)^{2}\right] = \sigma^{2}\frac{1}{T}\left[1 + \hat{\theta}^{2}\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\alpha}_{i}] = \alpha_{i}$$

$$(44)$$

Now for the multivariate distribution,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \frac{1}{T} \left[1 + \hat{\theta}^2\right]\right)$$
 (45)

$$\sqrt{T/\left[1+\hat{\theta}^2\right]}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sqrt{T/\left[1+\hat{\theta}^2\right]}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right)$$
 (46)

The Test

Preliminaries

- The sample estimate $(T-2)\hat{\Sigma}$ follows a Wishart distribution with parameters T-2 and Σ .
- A quadratic form of a multivariate normal random variable and a Wishart variable is called a Hotelling's t^2 statistic. (Up to a scalling term to scale the covariance matrix)
- A t^2 statistic has an equivalent F test.

Under the null $\alpha = 0$

$$X = T \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\frac{(T-2)}{T} \left[1 + \hat{\theta}^2 \right]} \sim t^2(N, T)$$

$$\frac{(T-N-1)}{NT} X = \frac{(T-N-1)T}{N(T-2)} \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\left[1 + \hat{\theta}^2 \right]} \sim \mathbf{F}_{N, T-N-1}$$
(47)

A Geometric Interpretation

Consider the standard portfolio optimization problem for N+1 assets with covariance matrix V. (N assets plus the factor as a portfolio)

$$\min \omega' \hat{V} \omega$$
 s.t.
$$\omega' \mu = \mu_0$$

$$\omega' 1_{N+1} = 1$$
 (48)

where $\mu' = [\bar{f}, \mu_N]'$ The solution to the above problem is

$$\omega = \lambda \hat{V}^{-1} \mu$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\mu_0}{\mu' \hat{V}^{-1} \mu} \text{ (Lagrange Multiplier)}$$
(49)

The squared ratio of mean over s.d. equals

$$\left[\frac{\omega'\mu}{\sqrt{\omega'\hat{\mathbf{V}}\omega}}\right]^2 = \frac{\mu_0^2}{\left[\frac{\mu_0}{\mu'\hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1}\mu}\right]^2\mu'\hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1}\mu} = \mu'\hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1}\mu = \hat{\theta}^{*2}$$
(50)

A Geometric Interpretation (cont.)

Exploit the factor structure

$$r_{it}^{e} = \alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} f_{t} + \epsilon_{it}$$

$$Cov(r_{it}^{e}, r_{jt}^{e}) = Cov(\alpha_{i} + \beta_{i} f_{t} + \epsilon_{it}, \alpha_{j} + \beta_{j} f_{t} + \epsilon_{jt})$$

$$= \beta_{i} \beta_{j} s_{f}^{2} + Cov(\epsilon_{it}, \epsilon_{jt})$$
(51)

or in Matrix form

$$\hat{m{V}}_N = \hat{m{\beta}}\hat{m{\beta}}'s_f^2 + \hat{m{\Sigma}}$$
 For the N testing assets (52)

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} s_f^2 & \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' s_f^2 \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} s_f^2 & \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' s_f^2 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \end{pmatrix}
\hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} s_f^{-2} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} & -\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \\ -\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} & \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(53)

A Geometric Interpretation (cont)

$$\mu' \hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1} \mu = \mu' \begin{pmatrix} s_f^{-2} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} & -\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \\ -\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} & \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mu$$

$$= \hat{\theta}^2 + (\mu_N - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\bar{f})' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} (\mu_N - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\bar{f})$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{*2} - \hat{\theta}^2 = \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$$
(55)

The t^2 statistic can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}^{*2} - \hat{\theta}^2}{1 + \hat{\theta}^2} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{1 + \hat{\theta}^{*2}}}{\sqrt{1 + \hat{\theta}^2}}\right]^2 - 1 = \psi^2 - 1 \tag{56}$$

The S.D.F. as the Modern Asset Pricing Framework

- General assumption that asset markets do not permit the "persistent" existence of arbitrage opportunities. (Riskless large profits)
- In the absence of arbitrage opportunities there exists a "stochastic discount factor" that maps payoffs to prices in the economy (state prices).
- If the SDF is a linear function to shocks, then returns can be expressed via a linear factor model.
- This paradigm encompasses partial equilibrium economies focusing on the supply and demand of investment assets, and even recent developments in behavioral finance.

The Stochastic Discount Factor

Assumptions

- Consider S states of nature s=1,...,S all of which have a strictly positive probability $\pi(s)$.
- Markets are complete, for every state s there exists a contingent claim that pays 1 in that state. Write its price q(s)

The Law of one price indicates that any two assets with exactly the same payoffs in every state of nature must have the same price. Given a payoff function X the price of this claim must satisfy

$$P(X) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s)X(s) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s)\frac{q(s)}{\pi(s)}X(s) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s)M(s)X(s) = \mathbb{E}[MX]$$
 (57)

And for a riskless asset that pays 1 in every state.

$$B = \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) = \mathbb{E}[M] \to 1 + r_f = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[M]}$$
 (58)

The Stochastic Discount Factor: some structure

Consider a two period economy with a risky asset and a representative agent. The agent must decide how much to consume today and tomorrow to maximize the present value of her expected separable life-time utility.

$$\max_{c_{t}, c_{t+1}} u(c_{t}) + \beta \mathbb{E}[u(c_{t+1})]$$
s.t.
$$c_{t} = W_{t} - \eta_{t} P_{t}$$

$$c_{t+1} = W_{t+1} + \eta_{t} X_{t+1}$$
(59)

First Order Condition

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta_{t}; \lambda_{t}, \lambda_{t+1}) = u(W_{t} - \eta_{t} P_{t}) + \beta \mathbb{E}[u(W_{t+1} + \eta_{t} X_{t+1})]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta_{t}} = -u'(c_{t}) P_{t} + \beta \mathbb{E}[u'(c_{t+1}) X_{t+1}] = 0$$

$$P_{t} = \mathbb{E}\left[\beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_{t})} X_{t+1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t+1} X_{t+1}\right]$$
(60)

The Stochastic Discount Factor and the Risk Neutral Measure

$$P_{t} = \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t+1}X_{t+1}\right]$$

$$P_{t} = \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_{t})} f(X_{t+1}) X_{t+1} dX_{t+1}$$

$$P_{t} = \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q(X_{t+1}) X_{t+1} dX_{t+1} = \beta \mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[X_{t+1}\right] = \frac{1}{1+r} \mathbb{E}^{Q}\left[X_{t+1}\right]$$
(61)

Beta Representation of the SDF

$$P_{t} = \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t+1}X_{t+1}\right]$$

$$1 = \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t+1}R_{t+1}\right]$$

$$1 = Cov(M_{t+1}R_{t+1}) + \mathbb{E}\left[M_{t+1}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[R_{t+1}\right]$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t+1}\right]} = \frac{Cov(M_{t+1}R_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t+1}\right]} + \mathbb{E}\left[R_{t+1}\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R_{t+1}\right] = R_{f} - \frac{Cov(M_{t+1}R_{t+1})}{Var(M_{t+1})} \frac{Var(M_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t+1}\right]}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R_{t+1}\right] = R_{f} + \beta_{x}\lambda_{t}$$

$$(62)$$

Early Work

Relevant Early Work

- Arrow-Debreu model: Arrow, K. J., Debreu, G. (1954). "Existence of an equilibrium for a competitive economy".
 Econometrica
- Options in discrete time: John C. Cox, Stephen A. Ross, Mark Rubinstein (1979) Option pricing: A simplified approach.
- Arbitrage Pricing Theory: Stephen A Ross, The arbitrage theory of capital asset pricing (1976), Journal of Economic Theory.
- Exchange Economy: Lucas, R. E. (1978). Asset Prices in an Exchange Economy. Econometrica
- Books: Ingersoll (1987), Duffie (1992), Cochrane (1999).

Modern Asset Pricing: Structure + Data

What is the SDF?

- Without structure the SDF can be anything. But the structure we impose could be wrong.
- SDFs have to be volatile enough: Hansen, Lars Peter; Jagannathan, Ravi (1991). Implications of Security Market Data for Models of Dynamic Economies. Journal of Political Economy.

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[R_{t+1} - R_{f}] = -\frac{Cov(M_{t+1}, R_{t+1} - R_{f})}{\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1}]} = -\frac{\rho\sigma(M_{t+1})\sigma(R_{t+1} - R_{f})}{\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1}]}$$
(63)

$$-\frac{\mathbb{E}_{t}[R_{t+1} - R_{f}]}{\sigma(R_{t+1} - R_{f})} = \rho \frac{\sigma(M_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1}]} \ge -\frac{\sigma(M_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1}]} \to \frac{\mathbb{E}_{t}[R_{t+1} - R_{f}]}{\sigma(R_{t+1} - R_{f})} \le \frac{\sigma(M_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1}]}$$
(64)

The Joint Hypothesis

- What does it mean that markets are efficient? They "fully" reflect available information.
- What does fully mean? We need to make a normative statement and specify the process of price formation.

$$\mathbb{E}[1+R_{i,t+1}] = (1+R_{f,t+1})(1-Cov_t(M_{t+1},R_{i,t+1})) = Z_{it}$$

$$1+R_{i,t+1} = Z_{it} + u_{i,t+1}$$
(65)

• We can test if $u_{i,t+1}$ is unpredictable only if we correctly specified $Cov_t(M_{t+1}, R_{i,t+1})$.

The Generalized Method of Moments (Fast Course)

 Hansen (and others) exploit the joint hypothesis realizing that unpredictable abnormal returns can be used to estimate model parameters.

Consider a parametrization $M_{t+1}(\theta)$, e.g. $M_{t+1} = a + bR_{m,t+1}$

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1}(\theta)(1+R_{t+1})-1] = \mathbb{E}[u_{t+1}(\theta)]$$
(66)

The idea is to find parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ that make linear combinations of the sample counterpart

$$g_T(\theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} u_t(\theta)$$
 (67)

equal to zero using a quadratic form

$$\min g_T' W g_T \tag{68}$$

for some weighting matrix W. The efficiency and finite sample properties of $\hat{\theta}$ will depend on the choice of W and a sequence of re-estimations.



The GMM Estimator

Hansen (1982) Large Sample Properties of Generalized Method of Moments, Econometrica. Two-stage procedure, for any positive semidefined matrix W, e.g. I

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \arg\min_{\theta} g_T(\theta)' W g_T(\theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial g_T(\theta)}{\partial \theta} W g_T(\theta) = a g_T(\theta) = 0$$
(69)

This estimator is consistent and asymptotically normal but not always efficient, the efficient estimator is obtained by estimating S as the covariance of moments u_t , re-estimate

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \arg\min_{\theta} g_T(\theta)' \hat{S}^{-1} g_T(\theta) \tag{70}$$

Asymptotics, define $a=\frac{\partial g_T(\theta)'}{\partial \theta}\hat{S}^{-1},\, d=\frac{\partial g_T(\theta)}{\partial \theta'}$

$$\sqrt{T}(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \to \mathcal{N}\left[0, (d'S^{-1}d)^{-1}\right] \tag{71}$$

The GMM Estimator

How good is the estimator? (How close to zero are the moment conditions?)

$$T \underbrace{g_T(\hat{\theta})' \hat{S}^{-1} g_T(\hat{\theta})}_{\text{Hansen's } I} \sim \chi^2_{\text{moments-parameters}}$$
 (72)

Problem Set

Using monthly return data on the 30 industry portfolios obtained from Kenneth French's website test the CAPM. (Code everything from scratch)

- Plot the Security Market Line and compare the realized vs implied expected returns of the 30 portfolios. What can you say about the SML?
- Implement the Fama Mcbeth procedure and test each hypothesis individually.
- Test the null that the α 's of all 30 portfolios implied by the CAPM are equal to zero using the GRS test. Compare graphically the ex-ante and ex-post efficient portfolios.