## cash transfer exp

November 9, 2022

### 1 Cash Transfer Experiement

We use the cash transfer dataset (Angelucci and Giorgi, 2009) to illustrate the statistical bound in our paper. The data comes from a study of the impact of Progresa, a social program in Mexico that gives cash gifts to low income households. Although, the effects on the population receiving the cash transfers is important, Angelucci and Giorgi argue that we must also analyze the impact on the remaining members of the village that are not eligible in order to understandardized the full impact of the program. However, due to concerns that the non-poor households might have a large influence, the authors decided to limit the range of consumption outcomes for these households (less than 10,000). This results in robustness in the analysis for the poor household but sensitive results for the non-poor households. For our analysis we will only use data from time period 8. After removing all entries with no response variable (household consumption), we used the remaining n = 19180 datapoints.

### 1.0.1 Notation

For our analysis we use the following notation:

```
\begin{split} z &= (x,y) \\ \ell(z,\theta) &= (y-x^T\theta) + \lambda \theta^T\theta \text{ is the loss function} \\ H_\star &= \nabla_{\theta_\star}^2 \ell(\theta_\star) \text{ is the population Hessian} \\ H_n(\theta_n) &:= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\theta_n}^2 \ell(z_i,\theta_n) \text{ is the estimate of the Hessian} \\ \theta_n \text{ is calculated using ridge regression} \end{split}
```

```
# Show data
print("Data from Period 8 of Cash Transfer Experiment")
raw_data[raw_data['t'] == 8]
```

Data from Period 8 of Cash Transfer Experiment

[5]:		treatp	+r	eatnp	hhhao	v hi	hectareas	whhnum	hhhaga cl	\
[0].	C	-		-	шшѕе	_			hhhage_cl	\
	6	0.619733		00000		1.0	1.0	105	66.0	
	21	0.619733		06743		1.0	3.0	105	47.0	
	24	1.000000		06743		1.0	2.0	105	36.0	
	27	1.000000	0.6	06743		1.0	3.0	105	48.0	
	32	1.000000	0.6	06743		1.0	2.0	105	30.0	
	•••	•••	•••		•••		•••	•••		
	65860	1.000000	0.6	06743		1.0	0.0	20	29.0	
	65863	1.000000	0.6	06743		1.0	0.0	20	78.0	
	65868	1.000000	0.6	06743		1.0	0.0	20	31.0	
	65872	0.619733	0.6	06743		1.0	4.0	20	58.0	
	65875	1.000000	0.6	06743		1.0	1.0	20	50.0	
		hhhspouse	cl		Cind	t				
	6	-	1.0	197.14	2853	8				
	21		1.0	370.35	5072	8				
	24		1.0	166.98		8				
	27		1.0	100.08		8				
	32		1.0	146.52		8				
	32		1.0	140.52	2333	0				
	 CEOCO	•••	1 0		7000	0				
	65860		1.0	84.19		8				
	65863		0.0	96.96		8				
	65868		1.0	76.73		8				
	65872		1.0	133.97	3587	8				
	65875		1.0	120.14	8636	8				

[21189 rows x 9 columns]

# 2 Empirical Influence Function for Ridge Regression

We will use the following closed form solution for the empirical influence function provided by Cook and Weisberg (1982).

$$I_n(z) = -H_n(\theta_n)^{-1}\nabla \ell(z,\theta_n)$$

We define the following:

$$H_n(\theta_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T + \lambda I$$

$$\nabla \ell(z,\theta_n) = -(y-x^T\theta_n)x + \lambda \theta_n$$

 $\theta_n$  is calculated using ridge regression

```
[4]: # Finding theta values using Ridge regression
def ridge(x, y, lambda_):
    hess = np.matmul(x.T, x) + lambda_ * np.eye(x.shape[1])
    grad = np.matmul(x.T, y)
    return np.linalg.solve(hess, grad)

# Empirical Influence Function for Linear Regression
def emp_if_lin(x_sim, y_sim, x_con, y_con, lambda_, n):
    theta_n = ridge(x_sim, y_sim, lambda_)
    hess = np.matmul(x_sim.T, x_sim) / n + lambda_ * np.eye(x_sim.shape[1])
    grad = (np.dot(x_con, theta_n) - y_con).item() * \
        x_con + np.sum(lambda_*theta_n)
    return -np.linalg.solve(hess, np.transpose(grad)), hess
```

### 2.1 Run Experiements

We now calculate the difference between the empirical influence and population influence of 100 training datapoint using different sub-samples of the dataset (n = 49, 164, 540, 1775, 5835). We consider the influence calculated using the whole dataset (n = 19180) as the poulation. We use  $\lambda = 0.01$ .

```
[5]: # Run Simulation
   time = 8
   n_sim = 100
   lambda_ = .01
   results_tot, n_ls, H_pop = if_diff_n_cash(
        standardized_data, time, n_sim, lambda_, emp_if_lin)

# Clean Results
mean_diff_abs_total, sd_diff_abs_total = clean_results_cash(
        n_ls, results_tot, H_pop)
```

#### 2.2 Calculate Statistical Bound (Theorem 1)

We calculate the bound from Theorem 1 without coefficients using the following equations.

$$\begin{split} \|I_n(z) - I(z)\|_{H_\star}^2 &\leq \frac{p_\star^2}{\mu_\star n} log(\frac{p}{\delta})^3 \\ \text{where, } p_\star &= \mathrm{Tr} \bigg[ H_\star^{-1/2} G_\star H_\star^{-1/2} \bigg] = \mathrm{Tr} \bigg[ H_\star^{-1} G_\star \bigg] \end{split}$$

```
[6]: def stat_bound(p_star, mu_star, n, delta, p):
    return ((p_star**2)/((mu_star)*pd.DataFrame(n))*np.log(p/delta)**3)

def p_star_func(H, x_con, y_con, theta_n):
    H_inverse = np.linalg.inv(H)
    # Find G_\star, gradient of a single point
```

### 2.3 Graph Results

```
[7]: # Graphing Parameters
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  import matplotlib as mpl
  mpl.rcParams["lines.linewidth"] = 3
  mpl.rcParams["xtick.labelsize"] = 12
  mpl.rcParams["ytick.labelsize"] = 12
  mpl.rcParams["ytick.labelsize"] = 12
  mpl.rcParams["legend.fontsize"] = 15
  mpl.rcParams["axes.titlesize"] = 18
  mpl.rcParams["axes.labelsize"] = 18
  mpl.rcParams['lines.markersize'] = 12
  shape = ["o", "X", "s", "^", "P"]
  line = ["solid", "dotted", "dashed", "dashdot", "loosely dotted"]
  COLORS = plt.rcParams['axes.prop_cycle'].by_key()['color']
```

```
[np.abs(m+sd/np.sqrt(n)).item() for m, sd, n in_u
zip(mean_diff_abs_total, sd_diff_abs_total, n_ls)], alpha=0.2)
ax.set_ylabel(r'$\|\| I_{n}(z) - I(z) \|\|_{H_\star}^2$')
ax.set_xlabel("Sample Size n")
ax.set_title('Cash Transfer Dataset')
ax.set_xscale("log")
ax.set_yscale("log")
ax.legend(loc='upper right', borderpad=.15, labelspacing=.2)

plt.tight_layout()
```

