

# Rensselaer 2020 REU Notebook

Alex Striff

May to July 2020

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Project description (May 27, 2020)</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Getting started (May 27, 2020)</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Intensity-level entropy</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Effect of smoothing on intensity-level entropy</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Natural image . . . . .	3
4.2	Random pixel values . . . . .	6
4.2.1	Beware: GIGO . . . . .	6
4.3	Comparing different levels of smoothing . . . . .	8
<b>5</b>	<b>Local metrics (May 28, 2020)</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1	Induced metrics . . . . .	12
<b>6</b>	<b>Kernels</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Boxcar intensity-level entropy</b>	<b>14</b>
7.1	Standard deviation . . . . .	14
7.2	Intensity entropy . . . . .	16
7.3	Replace surprisal with other functions . . . . .	17
<b>A</b>	<b>Mathematical details</b>	<b>19</b>

## 1 Project description

May 27, 2020 The aim of this REU project is to quantify the information present in images by the principled application of methods from statistical physics. The approach is to find a suitable notion of entropy which captures the salient features of particular kinds of images. We will consider a variety of features motivated by intuition or domain knowledge, and then move to machine learning as a tool for discovering other features.

## 2 Getting started

May 27, 2020 The initial goal is to characterize the most naïve calculation, which I'll call the *intensity entropy*. This does *not* take into account the spatial correlation of pixels in an image.

## 3 Intensity-level entropy

Given a discrete random variable  $X$  on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with image  $\chi = \text{im}(X)$ , the *Shannon entropy* is

$$H = \sum_{x \in \chi} -P(x) \ln P(x).$$

The *intensity-level entropy* is the Shannon entropy of the empirical distribution of intensity values.

```
1 import numpy as np
2
3 def shannon_entropy(h):
4     """The Shannon entropy in bits"""
5     return -sum(p*np.log2(p) if p > 0 else 0 for p in h)
6
7 def intensity_entropy(data):
8     """The intensity-level entropy of 8-bit image data"""
9     hist, _ = np.histogram(data, bins=range(256+1), density=True)
10    return shannon_entropy(hist)
11
12 def intensity_expected(f, data):
13     """The intensity-distribution expected value of `f`."""
14     hist, _ = np.histogram(data, bins=range(256+1), density=True)
15     return sum(p*f(p) for p in hist)
```

## 4 Effect of smoothing on intensity-level entropy

```
1 import numpy as np
2 import numpy.linalg as linalg
3 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
4 from PIL import Image, ImageFilter, ImageOps
5 from src.utilities import *
6 from src.intensity_entropy import *
```

### 4.1 Natural image

```
1 img = ImageOps.grayscale(Image.open('test.jpg'))
2 scale = max(np.shape(img))
3 data = np.array(img)
4 img
```



```
1 intensity_entropy(img)
```

7.51132356216608

The problem with the intensity entropy is that it is usually near maximum (8 bits for these grayscale images).

```


1  def intensity_blur(img, scales, display=True):
2      scale = max(np.shape(img))
3
4      results = []
5      for k in scales:
6          simg = img.filter(ImageFilter.GaussianBlur(k * scale))
7          data = np.array(simg)
8          ihist, ibins = np.histogram(data, bins=range(256+1), density=True)
9          S = shannon_entropy(ihist)
10         if display:
11             hist = plt.hist(ibins[:-1], ibins, weights=ihist, alpha=0.5)
12             results.append((k, simg, hist, S))
13         else:
14             results.append((k, S))
15
16     if display:
17         plt.axvline(x=np.mean(np.array(img)))
18
19     return results

```

```

1  results = intensity_blur(img, np.linspace(0, 1.5, num=50), False)
2
3  plt.plot(*np.transpose(results), 'o-')
4  plt.ylim((0, 8))
5  plt.xlabel = "Smoothing"
6  plt.ylabel = "Intensity Entropy (bits)"


```



```

1 rings = [img for _, img, _, _ in intensity_blur(img, [0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5])]
2 plt.show()


```



```

1 _, axarr = plt.subplots(1, len(rings))
2 for i, subimg in enumerate(rings):
3     axarr[i].imshow(subimg, cmap='gray')
4 plt.show()

```




## 4.2 Random pixel values

```

1 rsize = 250
2 randimg = Image.fromarray((256*np.random.rand(*2*[rsize])).astype('uint8'))
3 randimg

```




### 4.2.1 Beware: GIGO


The boundary effects and discrete kernel of `ImageFilter.GaussianBlur` renders the data unreliable after the “minimum” of the intensity entropy with smoothing.

This is immediately clear after even small smoothing for random pixel values, since there are no spatial correlations.

```
1 results = intensity_blur(randimg, np.linspace(0, 0.3, num=75), False)
2
3 plt.plot(*np.transpose(results), 'o-')
4 plt.ylim((0, 8))
5 plt.xlabel = "Smoothing"
6 plt.ylabel = "Intensity Entropy (bits)"
```




```
1 rings = [img for _, img, _, _ in intensity_blur(randimg, [0.01, 0.05, 0.25])]
1 plt.show()
```



```

1 _, axarr = plt.subplots(1, len(rings))
2 for i, subimg in enumerate(rings):
3     axarr[i].imshow(subimg, cmap='gray')
4 plt.show()

```



The rightmost image should be uniform: the renormalization emphasizes incorrect deviations. These are what keep the intensity entropy from vanishing.

### 4.3 Comparing different levels of smoothing

Is composing  $n$  Gaussian blurs with variance  $\sigma^2$  the same as doing one with variance  $n\sigma^2$  (considering the boundary effects and discrete kernel)?



```
1 nsmooths = 10
2 cimg = img
3 oneimg = cimg.filter(ImageFilter.GaussianBlur(np.sqrt(nsmooths)*2))
4 oneimg
```



```
1 nimg = cimg
2 for _ in range(nsmooths):
3     nimg = nimg.filter(ImageFilter.GaussianBlur(2))
4 nimg
```



Answer: **No**

The differences between results at different scales can be pretty wack.

```
1 Image.fromarray((255*rescale(np.array(nimg) - np.array(oneimg))).astype('uint8'))
```



```
1 smimg = img
2 smdiff = np.array(smimg.filter(ImageFilter.GaussianBlur(2))) -
  ↳ np.array(smimg.filter(ImageFilter.GaussianBlur(100)))
3 diffimg = Image.fromarray((255 * rescale(smdiff)).astype('uint8'))
4 diffimg
```



## 5 Local metrics

May 28, 2020 Given an image  $I : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we will now consider *local metrics* for the information it contains.

I want to be careful in understanding the statistical assumptions I am making, so I'll try to be explicit about distinguishing true distributions from empirical distributions, and how the assumptions behind postulating the existence of empirical distributions relate to the actual calculation being done. This should also aid in learning more solid probability theory.

### 5.1 Induced metrics

**Definition 1** (Image distributions). An *image distribution* is a map  $D$  that takes an image  $I$  and produces a random variable  $D(I) : \Omega \rightarrow E$ .

We are constructing empirical distributions from image data according to some map  $M : \text{Img} \rightarrow \text{List}(\Omega)$ , which produces the list of values  $V = M(I)$ . Then

the probability of  $D(I)$  taking a value in a subset  $S \subseteq E$  is

$$P(X \in S) = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{s \in S} |V^{-1}(\{s\})|.$$

**Example 1.** The intensity-level entropy is a function of the *nonnegative* random variable from the image distribution of intensity values. That is, the map  $M$  takes an image and returns the list of its intensity values.

**Definition 2** (Induced image distributions). Given an image distribution  $D$ , and a subset  $S \subseteq \text{dom } I$ , we construct the *induced image distribution*  $D|_S$  by

$$D|_S(I) = D(I|_S).$$

**Definition 3** (Induced random variable). Given an image  $I$ , an image distribution  $D$  and collection of subsets  $\{S_i\}$  of  $\text{dom } I$ , a function  $H$  admits the random variables

$$H_i = (H \circ D|_{S_i})(I)$$

**Definition 4.** The  $r$ -box at  $(x, y)$  is  $B_r(x, y) = [x - r, x + r] \times [y - r, y + r]$ .

Given two real random variables  $A$  and  $B$  with joint PDF  $f_{A,B}(a, b)$ , the PDF of their sum is

$$f_{A+B}(c) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} da f_{A,B}(a, a - c) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} db f_{A,B}(b - c, b). \quad (1)$$

For independent  $A$  and  $B$ , EQ. 1 reduces to  $f_{A+B} = f_A * f_B$  over the marginals.

## 6 Kernels

Generalized to arbitrary functions on subregions of images.

```

1 import numpy as np
2
3 def box(x, y, r):
4     return np.s_[max(0, x-r) : x+r+1, max(0, y-r) : y+r+1]
5
6 def mapbox(r, f, a):
7     return np.reshape([f(a[box(*i, r)]) for i in np.ndindex(np.shape(a))], np.shape(a))
8
9 def mapboxes(rs, f, a):
10    return (mapbox(r, f, a) for r in rs)
11
12 def mapallboxes(f, a):
13    return mapboxes(range(max(np.shape(a))), f, a)


```

## 7 Boxcar intensity-level entropy

```
1 import numpy as np
2 import numpy.linalg as linalg
3 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
4 from PIL import Image, ImageFilter, ImageOps
5 from src.utilities import *
6 from src.intensity_entropy import *
7 from src.kernels import *
8 plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'inferno'
```


Let's compare the boxcar images for intensity entropy to those for a positive function on an image (the standard deviation) and for different functions of the induced intensity distribution.

```
1 img = ImageOps.grayscale(Image.open('test.jpg'))
2 scale = max(np.shape(img))
3 data = np.array(img)
4 plt.imshow(img);
```



### 7.1 Standard deviation

```
1 plt.imshow(mapbox(2, np.std, np.array(img)));
```




```

1 boxos = list(mapboxes([1,2,3,10,20,50], np.std, np.array(img)))


1 _, axarr = plt.subplots(2, np.ceil(len(boxos)/2).astype('int'))
2 for i, subimg in enumerate(boxos[:3]):
3     axarr[0,i].imshow(subimg)
4 for i, subimg in enumerate(boxos[3:]):
5     axarr[1,i].imshow(subimg)
6 plt.show()

```



## 7.2 Intensity entropy

```
plt.imshow(mapbox(2, intensity_entropy, np.array(img)));
```






```

1 boxSes = list(mapboxes([1,2,3,10,20,50], intensity_entropy, np.array(img)))

1 _, axarr = plt.subplots(2, np.ceil(len(boxSes)/2).astype('int'))
2 for i, subimg in enumerate(boxSes[:3]):
3     axarr[0,i].imshow(subimg)
4 for i, subimg in enumerate(boxSes[3:]):
5     axarr[1,i].imshow(subimg)
6 plt.show()

```



### 7.3 Replace surprisal with other functions


To what extent do the surprisal-related results depend upon the specific form of the *surprisal*  $x \mapsto -\log(x)$  in the expected value of the intensity distribution? We will replace the expected surprisal with the expected  $f$ , for different functions  $f$  on the empirical probabilities of a pixel taking some intensity.

Laurent:  $p \mapsto -1 + 1/p$ .

```


1 plt.imshow(mapbox(2, lambda I: intensity_expected(lambda p: -1 + 1/p if p > 0 else 0, I),
  ↳ np.array(img)));

```



Taylor:  $p \mapsto -(1 + p)$ .

```
plt.imshow(mapbox(2, lambda I: intensity_expected(lambda p: -(1+p), I), np.array(img)));
```



## A Mathematical details

**Definition 5** (Lists). Given a set  $S$ , the collection of lists of elements from  $S$  is

$$\text{List}(S) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} S^n,$$

where a list (tuple)  $s \in S^n$  is a map  $s : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow S$  and  $|s| = n$ .