

# Logical Inner–Form Pattern Map

## Cheat–Sheet for Choosing Proof Techniques

Strip off the quantifiers first, then match the logical “shape” of the remaining statement to a row below. The **preferred tactic** is usually the shortest route; if it stalls, switch to one of the alternatives in the “Why” column.

#	Canonical Inner Form	Typical Surface Wording	Preferred Proof Tactic	Why It’s Natural
1	$R(x)$	Plain predicate ( <i>e.g.</i> “ $x$ is prime”)	Direct algebra / definition chase	No logical connectors to rearrange – just verify the property.
2	$P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$	“If $x \dots$ then $\dots$ ”	Direct <b>or</b> Contrapositive	Choose the side (assume $P$ vs. assume $\neg Q$ ) whose algebra is simpler.
3	$P(x) \Leftrightarrow Q(x)$	“ $\dots$ iff $\dots$ ”	Prove each direction as an implication	Each half may need a different trick.
4	$P(x) \Rightarrow [Q(x) \vee R(x)]$	“then $Q$ or $R$ ”	Contrapositive	Negating the conclusion gives $\neg Q \wedge \neg R$ , which is usually simpler than an inclusive OR.
5	$[P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \Rightarrow R(x)$	“ $P$ and $Q$ then $R$ ”	Direct	You already have two facts to leverage.
6	$P(x) \Rightarrow [Q(x) \wedge R(x)]$	“then both $Q$ and $R$ ”	Direct (prove each part)	Must establish two conclusions explicitly.
7	$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$	“For every $x$ there exists $y$ such that $\dots$ ”	Construction	Reader expects a formula or algorithm that produces $y$ from $x$ .
8	$\exists x P(x)$	“There exists $x$ such that $\dots$ ”	Construction <i>or</i> non–constructive (pigeonhole, contradiction)	One explicit example suffices; if hard, argue existence indirectly.
9	$\forall n \geq n_0 P(n)$ where $P$ references $n - 1$ , $n - 2, \dots$	Recursive/iterative integer claims	Mathematical induction (ordinary or strong)	The truth “propagates” along $n$ .

10	Parity / Rationality / Divisibility patterns	even/odd, rational/irrational, divisible by $k$	Contrapositive <i>or</i> contradiction	Negations have compact algebraic forms ( <i>e.g.</i> “not even” = $2k+1$ ).
11	Piecewise or absolute-value conditions	$ x  < 1$ , max, min	Proof by cases	The definition itself already splits the domain.
12	Counting identity $A(n) = B(n)$	Binomial identities, combinatorial sums	Combinatorial (double-count) proof	Count the same set two different ways to avoid messy algebra.

**Tip:** When unsure, scribble one minute of scratch work using both the direct and contrapositive options; the cleaner path usually reveals itself quickly.