

Useful Free Booklets Series

The Bible: Book of Nonsense

By Jean-Georges Estiot

This booklet has been created to encourage open discussion, thoughtful study and careful critical enquiry. It is intended as a resource that invites readers to question, reflect and explore ideas deeply, rather than accept them without consideration. Permission is given to reproduce the content of this booklet for any purpose.

My email is jgestiot@gmail.com and your feedback is welcome.

Introduction

Christianity claims to be based on a revelation from a god. That revelation, Christians say, is found in the Bible. Whether it is called the word of God, divinely inspired, or inerrant, the idea is the same. This book, or at least its message, is supposed to come from beyond the human world. It is treated as a sacred guide, a source of authority, and the foundation of ultimate truth.

But what if it isn't?

What if the Bible is not the word of a god, but the work of men writing in their time, with their knowledge, their fears, and their flaws? What if the stories are borrowed, the laws tribal, the morality inconsistent, and the theology confused? What if the Bible contains the same errors found in every other ancient text?

Then the claim collapses.

One claim stands above all others. Christianity rises or falls on the resurrection of Jesus. If it happened, then something extraordinary must be explained. If it did not, then the entire religion collapses. The Bible is the only source for this claim. That makes its credibility central. If the Bible cannot be trusted on basic facts, it cannot be trusted on this either.

This booklet does not assume the Bible is wrong. It tests whether the Bible is what Christians say it is. Not whether it is moving, or influential, or personally meaningful. Those are not the claims. The claim is that the Bible speaks for a god. That is what we are testing.

The standard must be high. A message from a perfect being should show perfect clarity, moral vision, and factual truth. It should not need rescuing by theologians, defending with allegory, or propping up with fear. It should speak for itself and stand on its own.

If the Bible fails that test, then Christianity must be re-examined. The religion is built on a book. If the book is flawed, the belief that flows from it has no foundation. It does not matter how many people believe it, how old it is, or how much comfort it brings. What matters is whether it is true.

This is not about attack. This is about accountability. If a god speaks, we should expect to hear something only a god could say.

The claim

Christianity is built on the idea that the Bible is not an ordinary book. It is described as inspired by a god, written by human hands but under divine guidance. Some branches say the Bible is without error in all it teaches. Others admit minor flaws but insist the central message is flawless. Either way, the core belief remains the same. The Bible is supposed to be the reliable, authoritative word of a god to humanity.

This belief gives the Bible its power. It is quoted in sermons as if every word carries divine authority. It is used to define sin, shape law, guide morality, and explain the purpose of life. The Bible is not treated as ancient literature. It is treated as a timeless message. Its verses are cited to settle arguments, predict the future, and justify eternal rewards or punishments. For Christians, the Bible is the final source of truth.

This gives the Bible a position of unmatched influence. But it also raises the stakes. If the Bible is truly the word of a god, it should meet the highest standard. It should contain no false statements, no contradictions, no myths mistaken for history, and no moral failures. It should offer insights that cannot be explained by the culture of its writers. It should not reflect ignorance, tribal thinking, or political bias. It should be clear, consistent, and universal.

The Bible is not one book. It is a collection of texts written by many authors over centuries. If that collection was guided by a god, the result should still be coherent. It should still reflect a single source of truth. But if the texts show human confusion, mixed agendas, and conflicting claims, then the claim of divine authorship collapses. A perfect god does not produce a messy book full of contradictions, borrowed stories, and failed prophecies.

The resurrection of Jesus is said to be the central proof. But that event is reported only in the Bible. If the Bible is not trustworthy, then the resurrection cannot be accepted as fact. That is why the divine status of the book must be tested first. Without it, Christianity loses its foundation.

The Bible is either from a god or it is not. If it is, then it should be obvious. If it is not, then its authority over human life must be rejected. What follows is a direct test of that claim. No belief system deserves a free pass. Not even this one.



The standard

A book that claims to come from a perfect being must meet a perfect standard. It cannot be measured by the same expectations we apply to ordinary texts. If the Bible is from a god, then it must be held to the highest level of scrutiny. It must be free from factual error, moral confusion, and internal contradiction. It must be clear in meaning, consistent in message, and timeless in relevance. Anything less would expose a human origin.

Clarity is essential. A divine message should not require trained theologians to explain what it really means. It should not speak in riddles or parables that confuse entire generations. It should not allow for thousands of denominations to split over its meaning. If God is trying to communicate with all people, then the message should be understandable to all people. If it is not clear, it is not guidance.

Accuracy is non-negotiable. A god would not get basic facts wrong about history, geography, or nature. A god would not say that the earth has corners, or that insects have four legs, or that the world was created in six days just a few thousand years ago. These are the kinds of mistakes people make, not gods. If the Bible contains claims that contradict what we can observe and confirm, then those parts are not divine. And if even one part is not divine, the authority of the whole is broken.

Moral superiority is another test. A god's laws should not mirror the prejudices of ancient societies. A divine message should not approve of genocide, slavery, child marriage, or the stoning of women. It should rise above the culture, not reinforce it. If the Bible contains moral laws that reflect cruelty, tribalism, or injustice, then it cannot be from a higher source. It can only be from human minds shaped by survival and domination.

Consistency is also critical. A god would not contradict himself. He would not change his mind, issue conflicting commands, or offer multiple, incompatible versions of the same event. If the Bible cannot keep its own story straight, or if different books offer different messages, then the text is not unified and the claim of a single divine author cannot stand.

Lastly, a divine book must be timeless. It must speak across generations without the need for constant reinterpretation. If a text must be re-explained every time knowledge advances or morality evolves, then it was never perfect to begin with. A god would not speak to one culture in one age using values that become shameful or

absurd in another. Truth does not expire.

These are the standards. The Bible claims divine origin. Therefore, it must be tested like no other book. If it meets the standard, it deserves our attention. If it fails, it deserves to be rejected. What follows is the test.

Historical errors

A god who knows the past perfectly would not get history wrong. If the Bible contains events that never happened, names people who never existed, or describes situations that do not match what we know from archaeology and historical records, then it cannot be trusted as divine. The Bible contains many such errors.

The creation stories in Genesis claim the universe and all life were made in six days a few thousand years ago, with humans appearing fully formed at the start. This is not just unscientific. It is historically false. We now know the earth is billions of years old and humans evolved gradually. Genesis places these events in a fictional timeline with no connection to what actually happened.

The global flood described in Genesis 6 to 9 claims the entire world was submerged and that every living thing was wiped out except for those on Noah's ark. There is no geological or archaeological evidence for a global flood. Civilisations in Egypt, Mesopotamia, and China continued uninterrupted through the supposed time of the flood. The logistics of the ark, the repopulation of the planet, and the survival of plant life all make this account impossible.

The story of the Exodus describes the Israelites leaving Egypt after a series of divine plagues, followed by forty years of wandering in the desert. But there is no record in Egyptian history of millions of Hebrew slaves, no evidence of mass death or national collapse, and no trace of a large nomadic population in the Sinai. The story is foundational to Jewish and Christian identity, yet it is unsupported by any reliable historical source. It reads like national mythology, not history.

The census ordered by Caesar Augustus in Luke 2, used to explain why Joseph and Mary were in Bethlehem, never happened in the way described. Romans did not require people to return to their ancestral homes to be counted. This was added to make Jesus fit a prophecy from the Old Testament. The motive is clear, and the historical inaccuracy is obvious.

The conquest of Canaan described in the book of Joshua claims the Israelites

destroyed city after city under divine command. But archaeological evidence shows that many of these cities were either uninhabited at the time or were not destroyed as described. Jericho's famous walls had already collapsed centuries before the alleged invasion. These stories reflect a theological agenda, not historical memory.

There are many more examples. Kings are placed in the wrong time periods. Genealogies conflict between books. The order of events in Jesus's life changes depending on the gospel. The resurrection accounts contradict each other on basic details like who went to the tomb, what they saw, and what happened next. These are not small errors. They are the kind of confusion that happens when different people write different stories, each with their own purpose.

If the Bible were from a god, it would get the facts right. It would not repeat the myths of neighbouring cultures, invent events to fulfil prophecy, or rearrange history to support a message. These are signs of human storytelling, not divine authorship. A book that gets history wrong cannot be from a being who knows it perfectly.

Scientific errors

A god who created the universe would not make mistakes about how it works. If a book contains claims about nature, the earth, or the human body that are clearly false, then it cannot be from a divine source. The Bible contains many such claims. They reflect the limited understanding of ancient people, not the knowledge of a creator.

The Bible describes a flat earth supported by pillars with a solid dome overhead. In multiple verses, it speaks of the earth having corners and edges. In Job, it says the earth is fixed and cannot move. In Psalms, it says the heavens are stretched out like a tent. In Genesis, the firmament is described as a solid barrier separating the waters above from the waters below. This was the common cosmology of the time. People imagined the sky as a dome holding back cosmic waters, with stars fixed in it like lights. This view is found in many ancient cultures, and the Bible simply repeats it.

In Leviticus, the Bible claims that bats are birds. In the same chapter, it says insects have four legs. These are not minor slips. They show that the writers of these texts had no understanding of basic biology. A god would not confuse the classification of animals or miscount their limbs.

The creation story in Genesis claims that plants were made before the sun. This is impossible. Plants need sunlight to survive. The order of creation given in Genesis one also contradicts the order in Genesis two. In one account, animals are created before

humans. In the other, Adam is created before animals, and Eve comes last. These are not different perspectives. They are conflicting versions that cannot both be correct.

In the book of Numbers, it says that God opened the earth and swallowed entire households alive. In Joshua, the sun stands still in the sky so the Israelites can finish a battle. In Kings, a prophet calls down fire from heaven to kill soldiers. In Jonah, a man lives inside a fish for three days and survives. These stories are treated as historical events, not metaphors. But they violate everything we know about biology, physics, and reality itself.

In the New Testament, Jesus curses a fig tree for not bearing fruit out of season. This shows not divine power but human impatience and ignorance. There are also accounts of demonic possession that describe symptoms better explained today as medical or psychological conditions. Mental illness is mistaken for spiritual attack, which reflects ancient superstition, not divine insight.

There are no accurate scientific insights in the Bible. No verse reveals knowledge that was unknown at the time and later confirmed. Instead, the Bible mirrors the same flawed beliefs about the natural world that appear in every other ancient text. A god who made the world would know how it works. A god would not say the earth is immovable, the sky is solid, and insects have four legs.

The Bible fails the scientific test completely. It does not contain divine foresight. It contains human guesswork, shaped by observation, myth, and fear. These are not mistakes a god would make. These are exactly the kinds of mistakes ancient people always made. That is because the Bible is their voice, not a god's.

Moral problems

A book that claims to come from a perfect and just god should show moral understanding beyond the time in which it was written. Its values should not reflect the cruelty, bias, and ignorance of ancient tribal societies. It should not approve of practices that any decent person today would reject. Yet the Bible is full of moral teachings that do just that. It does not lead moral progress. It lags behind it.

Slavery is openly endorsed in both the Old and New Testaments. The laws of Moses lay out detailed rules for owning slaves, buying them from neighbouring nations, beating them without punishment if they survive, and treating them as property to be passed down to children. These laws are not condemnations of

slavery. They are instructions for how to manage it. In the New Testament, Paul tells slaves to obey their masters. Nowhere in the Bible is slavery denounced as immoral. A god who values justice would not give rules for owning people. A god would condemn the practice entirely.

Violence is not only permitted. It is often commanded. God orders the slaughter of entire populations, including women, children, and animals. In the book of Joshua, the Israelites are told to kill every living thing in city after city. This is not self-defence. It is ethnic cleansing described as holy war. In other books, God punishes people with mass death for disobedience, strikes people down for touching sacred objects, and kills infants for the sins of their parents. These are not moral stories. They are examples of divine cruelty.

Women are treated as property. In the law of Moses, a raped woman must marry her rapist if he pays her father. A man can take multiple wives, including prisoners of war. A woman's value is tied to her virginity, her obedience, and her ability to produce children. In the New Testament, women are told to remain silent in churches and to submit to their husbands. They are not allowed to teach or have authority. These rules are not moral teachings from a higher power. They are the social norms of a male-dominated culture.

The idea of eternal punishment is another moral failure. The Bible teaches that those who do not believe in Jesus will be cast into hell, described as a place of fire, torment, and separation. This is not based on behaviour but on belief. Even good people who live honest lives are condemned if they reject the Christian message. This is not justice. It is spiritual blackmail. It replaces moral responsibility with religious loyalty.

In the New Testament, Jesus preaches love and mercy, but also speaks of judgment and division. He tells people to hate their families, to cut off body parts to avoid sin, and to fear the one who can destroy both soul and body in hell. He claims to bring a sword, not peace. These are not teachings of peace and tolerance. They are teachings of fear and control.

A moral code from a god should surpass all human systems. It should show fairness, dignity, and compassion that endure across time. The Bible does not. It reflects the harsh world of its authors. It rewards obedience, not reason. It enforces purity, not justice. It commands fear, not understanding. These are not the values of a higher mind. They are the instincts of a tribal culture trying to survive.

The Bible fails the moral test. It does not offer a better way to live. It preserves and promotes the worst parts of human history. If this book were truly from a god, it would not need to be reinterpreted, apologised for, or ignored in parts. It would speak for itself, and it would speak better.

Contradictions

If a book comes from a single perfect source, it should not contradict itself. A god who knows all things and speaks truth clearly would not produce a text where teachings clash, timelines break down, or stories differ beyond reconciliation. The Bible contains all of these problems. The contradictions are not hidden. They are visible to anyone who reads the text side by side.

The most obvious contradictions are found in the Gospels. The four accounts of Jesus's life disagree on basic facts. The birth narratives in Matthew and Luke cannot both be true. Matthew says Jesus was born during the reign of Herod, who died in 4 BCE. Luke links Jesus's birth to a census under Quirinius, which happened in 6 CE. These dates are ten years apart. One of them must be wrong.

The resurrection accounts differ in key details. One gospel says the women saw one angel, another says two. One says they met Jesus on the way back, another says he appeared later. The order of appearances, the location, and even the instructions Jesus gives are not consistent. These are not minor details. This is the central claim of Christianity, and the reports cannot be harmonised without rewriting parts of the text.

The genealogies of Jesus in Matthew and Luke do not match. They trace his line through different sons of David and list completely different names for his ancestors. Some apologists claim one list is for Mary and one for Joseph, but both texts say they trace through Joseph. You cannot have two contradictory genealogies for the same person and still claim historical accuracy.

In the Old Testament, there are contradictions in numbers, names, and events. One passage says David killed Goliath. Another credits Elhanan. One says God moved David to take a census. Another says Satan did. Proverbs offers conflicting advice about answering fools. The number of people in censuses, the details of battles, the names of kings and their reigns often change from one book to another.

The teachings also shift. In the Old Testament, the law is strict and detailed. In the New Testament, Paul says believers are no longer under the law. Jesus says not one

part of the law will pass away, yet Christians ignore most of it. Paul teaches salvation through faith alone. James says faith without works is dead. These are not poetic tensions. They are theological disagreements.

If a god authored the Bible, its message would be unified. The core events would not clash. The teachings would not cancel each other out. The timelines would not conflict. The stories would not be retold with different outcomes. These are the signs of human writing—written at different times by different authors, each with their own views, sources, and purposes.

A perfect book does not speak in contradictions. The Bible does. That alone is enough to disqualify it from being the product of a divine mind. What it shows instead is a long history of editing, compiling, and reshaping to fit evolving beliefs. That is not revelation. That is human religion.

Apologetic evasions

If the Bible were clearly from a god, it would not need to be constantly defended with excuses. Yet this is exactly what happens. Apologists do not present evidence of divinity. They explain away the flaws. They reinterpret clear verses, twist obvious meanings, and dismiss contradictions as misunderstandings. The goal is not to face the problems but to hide them.

One of the most common evasions is to declare contradictions as different perspectives. When two gospels disagree on who was at the tomb, how many angels appeared, or when Jesus ascended, apologists say the writers just had different viewpoints. But this is not how truth works. Different viewpoints should describe the same event in compatible ways. When the facts clash, the result is confusion, not insight.

Another tactic is to label impossible stories as metaphor. When God kills every firstborn in Egypt, or when the earth is flooded to destroy humanity, or when a man is swallowed by a fish and survives, some say these are just symbolic. But the Bible presents them as historical events. If they did not happen, then the message loses its meaning. If they did, then we must face the moral and factual problems directly. You cannot have it both ways.

Apologists often shift blame to the reader. They say critics take verses out of context or misunderstand ancient culture. But this argument collapses under the

weight of Christian claims. If the Bible is the word of a god to all people, it should not require a background in Hebrew customs or Greek grammar to make sense. A message to the world should be universal and clear, not locked behind cultural knowledge and theological training.

Some defenders appeal to divine mystery. When the Bible says things that appear cruel or absurd, they say God's ways are higher than ours. But this is a refusal to think. It shuts down questions rather than answering them. If you have to abandon reason to defend a holy book, then you admit the book cannot stand on its own. A god who created human reason would not require blind obedience to commands that defy it.

Others try to harmonise contradictions by inventing details that are not in the text. They fill gaps with speculation, suggesting that unnamed people might have been present or events might have happened offstage. This is not explanation. It is fan fiction. You cannot fix a flawed story by making up more stories. The Bible should be judged on what it actually says, not on what it could have said.

The final move is to lower the standard. Some Christians admit the Bible has flaws but say the message is still divine. But once you allow for error, you lose authority. You admit the book is not perfect. And if it is not perfect, it is not from a perfect god. What remains is human religion defended by habit, fear, and tradition.

The Bible does not stand up to scrutiny. It survives only because apologists work constantly to cover its weaknesses. A divine message should not need this. Truth speaks for itself. Evasion is the tool of belief without evidence.

Cultural context

A message from a god should not be trapped in the mindset of a particular age. If it is eternal, it should rise above the culture in which it first appeared. But the Bible is saturated with the worldview, values, and priorities of ancient people. It does not speak as a timeless authority. It speaks as a record of what people once believed about gods, power, law, and survival.

The Old Testament reflects a tribal culture obsessed with purity, territory, and divine favour. It treats other nations as threats to be conquered, other gods as rivals to be destroyed, and suffering as punishment for disobedience. Laws are given to protect property, maintain ritual status, and preserve family honour. Women are valued for fertility, land for inheritance, and rituals for controlling guilt and fear. These

are not universal truths. They are strategies for social control in an ancient society.

The New Testament reflects the expectations of an apocalyptic Jewish sect. It is written by people who believed the world was about to end and that a messiah would return in their lifetime. This is why Jesus tells his followers to sell their possessions, abandon their families, and prepare for judgment. Paul urges believers to stay unmarried if possible and warns that time is short. These teachings make sense only if the world is ending soon. It did not. The message failed.

The Bible borrows heavily from older myths and ideas common in the region. The creation story echoes Babylonian accounts. The flood narrative matches earlier Mesopotamian myths. The laws mirror other ancient legal codes. Even the resurrection motif can be found in pre-Christian cults. The Bible does not emerge in isolation. It is part of the religious atmosphere of its time, reshaping old beliefs for new purposes.

The moral standards are also deeply cultural. Rules about menstruation, food, clothing, and circumcision reflect concerns about ritual purity, not moral excellence. Commands to destroy idolaters, stone adulterers, and shun the disabled do not point to higher wisdom. They show fear of contamination and a need to protect group identity through harsh discipline. These values are not divine. They are tribal survival tactics.

In the New Testament, the cultural influence continues. Jesus interacts with demons, speaks of unclean spirits, and accepts slavery and patriarchy without question. The writers use Greek philosophy to explain Jewish ideas and shift the focus from law to belief. But they are still operating within the framework of first-century religious thought, not breaking out of it.

The Bible's treatment of sexuality, gender roles, and nonbelievers shows the limits of its time. It does not foresee a world of equality, democracy, or human rights. It does not lead the way in science, medicine, or education. It reflects a small world governed by fear, hierarchy, and superstition. A god speaking to all humanity would not sound like this. He would not be silent on slavery, endorse violence, or demand obedience over understanding.

The Bible is a cultural product. Its voice is the voice of ancient men trying to understand their world and preserve their power. If it were divine, it would speak across cultures and time without needing to be reinterpreted or excused. It would not reflect the moral blind spots of its age. But the Bible does. That is the strongest proof

that it is not the word of a god. It is the work of a tribe.

The core question

The question at the heart of Christianity is not whether the Bible is interesting, influential, or inspiring. The question is whether it is the word of a god. If it is, then it should show the qualities of divine authorship. It should be free from error, morally sound, consistent, and timeless. It should contain knowledge that only a god could provide. It should not look like the work of fallible human beings. The Bible fails that test on every count.

The claim that the Bible is divine requires more than belief. It requires evidence. The book must demonstrate its truth through what it says, not through what people feel about it. It must speak clearly, consistently, and with authority that does not collapse under scrutiny. It does not. Instead, it mirrors the culture, fears, and ignorance of the people who wrote it. The Bible is not a voice from outside history. It is a voice trapped inside it.

Every part of the Bible shows human fingerprints. The contradictions, the scientific mistakes, the historical errors, the tribal morality, and the shifting theology all reveal that this is not the product of perfect knowledge. It is the evolving record of a religion shaped by changing needs and competing agendas. That is why the message changes from book to book, from law to gospel, from Jesus to Paul. This is not a single, unified voice. It is many voices speaking across centuries, none of them divine.

The resurrection of Jesus is said to prove everything. But that event is known only through these texts. If the texts are unreliable, then the claim has no foundation. If the stories conflict, if the motives are biased, and if the reporting is confused, then the event cannot be confirmed. Belief in the resurrection is not evidence. It is a conclusion drawn from the very book whose trustworthiness is in question. That is circular reasoning, not proof.

If the Bible is not divine, then Christianity is not divine. If the book is flawed, then the religion it supports loses its authority. A moral system, a worldview, and a set of eternal claims built on a flawed book cannot be trusted. They must be questioned, rejected, or replaced by something that does not depend on fear, ancient myths, or tribal loyalty.

This is not about disrespect. It is about honesty. The Bible is an old book written by

people who lived in a violent, superstitious, and unequal world. They were not speaking for a god. They were trying to make sense of their lives, just like every culture before and after them. What makes the Bible different is not what it contains. It is how much power people still give it.

That power should end where truth begins. The Bible is not divine. It is human. And it is time to say so plainly.

Conclusion

The Bible does not pass the test of divine authorship. It is filled with contradictions, factual errors, outdated morality, and cultural assumptions that reflect the world of its writers, not the mind of a god. If this book were produced today, it would be read as ancient literature and studied for what it reveals about human history. It would not be taken as a source of truth about the universe, morality, or salvation.

And yet, billions still treat it as sacred. Not because of the content, but because of tradition. Because they were told it is holy. Because questioning it feels dangerous. But belief without evidence is not virtue. It is submission. And submission to a flawed book leads to flawed thinking, flawed ethics, and flawed societies.

If Christianity rests on the claim that the Bible is from a god, then Christianity has no ground left to stand on. The Bible speaks with the voice of men, not of a god. It reflects human confusion, not divine clarity. It borrows, adapts, contradicts, and misfires. It guides some, yes, but so does every religious book ever written. Influence does not equal truth.

If this book is wrong about creation, wrong about history, wrong about science, and wrong about how to treat other humans, then it cannot be trusted to be right about salvation. And if its core claim, the resurrection of Jesus, rests entirely on stories found only in this book, then that claim collapses too. A foundation made of sand cannot hold up a religion.

To keep calling the Bible divine is to cling to a comforting fiction. But truth does not need to be comforting. It needs to be clear. And the truth is this: the Bible is not the word of a god. It is the word of a people doing their best to explain the world as they saw it, shaped by the fears and customs of their time.

That is not an insult. It is a recognition of what the evidence shows. And once you see that clearly, the spell breaks. You are free to step outside the framework that has kept minds in chains for centuries. You are free to seek truth without bending it to fit a

text. You are free to stop pretending the Bible is more than it is.

It is not a divine message. It is just a book. And it is time we treated it like one.