

# Regulation Analysis Worksheet

Analyze specific {{STATE\_NAME}} regulations in detail - Activity 3 (10 minutes)

## Select One Regulation to Analyze

☐ {{STATE\_NAME}} Occupational Licensing

☐ {{STATE\_NAME}} Environmental Regulations

☐ {{STATE\_NAME}} Rent Control (if applicable)

☐ {{STATE\_NAME}} Certificate of Need Laws

☐ {{STATE\_NAME}} Zoning and Land Use

Your selected regulation (be specific):


e.g., Cosmetology licensing, vehicle emissions testing, etc.

## Analysis Template

### 1. What does the regulation require?

Describe the specific requirements, fees, 

### 2. What problem was it intended to solve?

What issue or concern led to this 

### 3. Does it solve that problem?

☐ Yes ☐ Partially ☐ No

Evidence:

What evidence supports your answer?

### 4. What are the costs?

To consumers:

Higher prices? Limited choices? Reduced quality?

To businesses:

Compliance costs? Barriers to entry? Competitive disadvantage?

To society:

Deadweight loss? Inefficiency? Unintended consequences?

### 5. Who benefits?

Which groups gain from this regulation?

### 6. Who's harmed?

Which groups are negatively affected?

## 7. Your verdict

☐

**Keep as-is**

Benefits clearly exceed costs

☐

**Reform**

Good intent but needs improvement

☐

**Repeal**

Costs exceed benefits, eliminate

If reforming, what changes would you make?

Describe specific reforms...

Evidence supporting your position:

What facts, data, or reasoning support your verdict?

### Example: Cosmetology Licensing in {{STATE\_NAME}}

- **Requirements:** 1,500 hours of training (9-12 months), licensing exam, fees: \$5,000-15,000
- **Problem it solves:** Ensure stylists know sanitation and safety, protect consumers from harm
- **Does it solve it?** Partially - Basic safety could be taught in 100 hours; 1,500 hours is more than EMT training (150 hrs)
- **Costs:** Higher prices for consumers; barrier to entry for aspiring stylists, especially low-income
- **Who benefits most?** Existing licensed stylists (reduced competition)
- **Verdict:** Reform - Reduce hours to reasonable safety standard (300 hrs?), eliminate unnecessary requirements