

PFL Academy

Teacher Guide: Chapter 55 — International Trade and Economic Development

OVERVIEW

| TIME | MATERIALS | PREREQUISITES |
|---------------|-------------------------|--|
| 45-50 Minutes | Student Activity Packet | L-49 Opportunity Cost, L-50 Supply & Demand, L-54 Unemployment |

LESSON FLOW

5 min THE CHALLENGE

- Have students check clothing tags—most will say China, Vietnam, Bangladesh. Make trade tangible.
- Mention iPhone supply chain (43 countries)—globalization is inescapable.
- Discussion: "Should we make everything in America? Why or why not?"

10 min CORE CONCEPTS

- Explain comparative vs. absolute advantage using simple 2-person example first.
- Key insight: Even if you're better at EVERYTHING, specialize in what you're RELATIVELY best at.
- Review tariffs and exchange rates—both affect prices students pay.

25-30 min APPLY IT

- **Part A (10 min):** Comparative advantage calculation. Help with opportunity cost math—the insight is more important than perfect calculation.
- **Part B (10 min):** Tariff stakeholder analysis. Encourage nuance—there are real winners AND losers.
- **Part C (10 min):** Exchange rate calculations. Focus on strong dollar helps consumers, hurts exporters.

10 min CHECK YOUR UNDERSTANDING

- Review Q2 (tariff stakeholders) and Q4 (why economists support free trade).
- Q5 connects to career planning—tie back to L-54 structural unemployment from trade.
- Allow debate on trade policy—this is genuinely contested.

DIFFERENTIATION

Support

- Pre-fill opportunity cost calculations for comparative advantage problem.
- Provide visual: Strong dollar = cheaper to travel abroad, more expensive for foreigners to buy our stuff.
- Work through tariff stakeholder analysis as a class first.
- Focus on the intuition, not the math: "Trade lets countries specialize."

Extension

- Research a specific trade agreement (USMCA) and analyze who benefited.
- Calculate the true cost of "Made in USA" for a specific product category.
- Analyze current trade disputes and predict economic outcomes.

- Debate: Should the US decouple from China economically?

ANSWER KEY

Part A: Comparative Advantage

Country A:

Opportunity cost of 1 computer = $50/100 = 0.5$ cars

Opportunity cost of 1 car = $100/50 = 2$ computers

Country B:

Opportunity cost of 1 computer = $40/40 = 1$ car

Opportunity cost of 1 car = $40/40 = 1$ computer

Comparative advantage in computers: Country A (gives up only 0.5 cars vs. Country B's 1 car per computer)

Comparative advantage in cars: Country B (gives up only 1 computer vs. Country A's 2 computers per car)

Optimal arrangement: Country A specializes in computers, Country B specializes in cars, they trade. Both countries end up with more total goods than if they produced both themselves.

Part B: Tariff Analysis

Car Buyers: NEGATIVE. Pay \$37,500 instead of \$30,000; less purchasing power, fewer choices.

Domestic Auto Workers: POSITIVE. Jobs protected; foreign competition reduced.

Domestic Car Companies: POSITIVE. Can charge higher prices; less competition.

Foreign Countries: NEGATIVE. Lose sales in US; may retaliate with tariffs on US exports.

Government Revenue: POSITIVE. Collects \$7,500 per imported car.

Student verdicts will vary. Strong answers acknowledge trade-offs: tariffs protect jobs but hurt consumers. Economic consensus: costs typically exceed benefits, but political considerations differ.

Part C: Exchange Rate Calculations

Calculation 1 (European Travel):

Current: $\text{€}120 \div 0.92 = \130.43

With stronger dollar: $\text{€}120 \div 1.00 = \120.00

Savings: $\$10.43/\text{night}$

Calculation 2 (Japanese Import):

Current: $\text{¥}600,000 \div 150 = \$4,000$

With weaker dollar: $\text{¥}600,000 \div 120 = \$5,000$

Cost increase: $\$1,000$ (25% more)

Calculation 3 (US Export):

Current: $\$500 \times 0.92 = \text{€}460$

With stronger dollar: $\$500 \times 1.00 = \text{€}500$

Effect: MORE expensive for foreigners, exports DECREASE

Check Your Understanding

1. B (Bangladesh has comparative advantage—lower opportunity cost in clothing)
2. BENEFITS: Domestic steel producers and workers (protected from competition). HURTS: Consumers and businesses that use steel (cars, construction, appliances)—they pay higher prices. Also hurts foreign steel producers.
3. Dollar STRENGTHENED (buys more euros). American tourists in Europe benefit—their dollars go further. Hotels, meals, souvenirs all cost fewer dollars.
4. Total benefits (lower prices for ALL consumers) exceed total costs (job losses in specific industries). Benefits are diffuse (\$100s/year per person), costs are concentrated (entire livelihoods). Solution: free trade + compensation programs for displaced workers.
5. *Should identify specific threat (e.g., "coding jobs could be outsourced") and opportunity (e.g., "work for multinational company"). Strong answers connect to L-54 structural unemployment and propose skill development strategy.*

COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS

| Misconception | Clarification |
|--|---|
| "We should make everything here to create jobs." | We COULD make everything here, but at MUCH higher cost. \$2,500 iPhones and \$50 t-shirts would hurt all consumers. Specialization through trade creates MORE total wealth. |
| "Trade deficits mean we're losing." | Trade deficit just means we import more than export. We get real goods (cars, electronics); they get dollars. Not inherently bad—reflects consumer preferences. |
| "A strong dollar is always good." | Good for consumers (cheaper imports, cheaper travel abroad). BAD for exporters and US workers in export industries (our goods more expensive for foreigners). |
| "Tariffs are paid by foreign countries." | Tariffs are paid by US IMPORTERS and passed to US CONSUMERS through higher prices. Foreign producers may lose sales, but Americans pay the tax. |