

# JANA GIESELMANN

This version: October 2024

---

## Contact Information:

Düsseldorf Institute for Competition Economics  
Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf  
Universitätsstrasse 1, 40225 Düsseldorf

**E-Mail:** gieselmann@dice.hhu.de

**Website:** www.jana-gieselmann.com

**Research Interests:** Microeconomics Theory, Behavioral Economics, Industrial Organization

## EDUCATION

---

<b>Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf (HHU)</b> Doctoral degree in Economics Advisor: Paul Heidhues	<i>since 10/2020</i>
---	----------------------

<b>Yale University</b> Visiting PhD Student Host: Philipp Strack	<i>09/2022-05/2023</i>
--	------------------------

<b>Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf</b> Master of Science in Economics (1,0; best possible grade)	<i>10/2018-09/2020</i>
--	------------------------

<b>Waseda University, Tokyo</b> Visiting Student	<i>09/2019-02/2020</i>
---	------------------------

<b>Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf</b> Bachelor of Science in Economics (1,2)	<i>10/2015-08/2018</i>
---	------------------------

## ADDITIONAL EDUCATION

---

PhD Courses in Advanced Microeconomic Theory (Yale)	<i>2022/2023</i>
---	------------------

Selma Meyer Mentoring Programme (HHU, career training for women in academia)	<i>2022</i>
--	-------------

briq Summer School in Behavioral Economics (briq Institute, virtual)	<i>2021</i>
--	-------------

Courses in Analysis and Linear Algebra (HHU, Department of Mathematics)	<i>2021</i>
---	-------------

## RESEARCH PROJECTS

---

### **(Mis-)Matchmaker** (Job Market Paper)

As platforms collect more user data, they can tailor algorithms to better match users. At the same time, on matching platforms, users pay to be matched by the platform, while the platform makes money as long as it does not match them. This paper analyzes the matching rule of a profit-maximizing monopoly platform when the incentives between users and the platform are misaligned. Contrary to the intuition that more data about users might improve matching efficiency and speed, I show that more data allows the platform to design a matching rule that increases search time and distorts matching and sorting outcomes in the market. I demonstrate that frequently studied matching rules, such as random matching and positive assortative matching, can be suboptimal for the platform. Instead, the platform strategically lowers match quality to increase search time and thus profits, leading to unnecessary delays and potentially inefficient matches. Finally, I provide two explanations for why platforms adopt business models with misaligned incentives: targeted advertising and the presence of overconfident users.

### **Faking Network Size**

Users have an incentive to join large platforms, and hence platforms want to convince users that they have a large user base. I consider a monopolist platform's incentive and ability to signal its user base when there is uncertainty regarding the amount of potential users. The platform can signal using either fake accounts or prices. When — as in some real-world examples — users are naive regarding the platform's ability to use fake accounts, small platforms use them to profit from the artificial increase in demand. If users are sophisticated, larger platforms use fake profiles to differentiate themselves from smaller ones, and — in contrast to the case of naive consumers — platforms would benefit from a ban on such business practices.

### **Credibility of Secretly Colluding Manufactures in Retail Contracting** — *with Matthias Hunold, Johannes Muthers and Alexander Rasch*

With two exclusive manufacturer-retailer pairs and secret contracting, we show that potential strategic misinterpretations and misunderstandings by retailers are important for the feasibility of manufacturer collusion in vertically related markets. We model the retailer's (potentially incorrect) expectations about their competitor's wholesale price offers. If retailer's beliefs are stubborn and they incorrectly believe collusion to be infeasible, it is impossible for manufacturers to collude. By contrast, if retailers anticipate the collusive strategy and condition their action on past offers, collusion becomes feasible. We introduce the property of opportunism-proofness that excludes profitable joint deviations by the collusive entity and discuss adaptive beliefs.

### **Dating Platforms: The Case of Fake Profiles** — *with Alexander Rasch*

This paper examines the use of fake profiles by two-sided platforms to stimulate demand and increase profits. By deceiving naïve users, platforms invest into an artificial increase of the network size on one side of the market. Whereas firms are caught in a prisoner's dilemma if users single-home on both sides of the market, users are protected by platform competition. If users on one side of the market multi-home, firms can increase their prices for the multi-homing side, and they lower their prices for the single-homing side. Investments into fake profiles stimulate demand, such that multi-homing demand and profits increase. Platforms and users as a group can profit from investments under these circumstances.

## CONFERENCES AND INVITED TALKS

---

Virtual DFG Workshop (CMCPFR), Micro Theory Breakfast (Yale), BECCLE Conference, Oligo Workshop, Brown Bag Seminar (DICE), EARIE 2024, EEA-ESEM 2024, VfS 2024, Annual DFG Workshop (CMCPFR), MLSE Seminar Maastricht (invited, scheduled), Seminar CEU Vienna (invited, scheduled)

2024

Micro Theory Lunch (Yale), Micro Theory Breakfast (Yale), Swiss IO Day (Bern University),

Brown Bag Seminar (DICE), EARIE 2023 2023

15<sup>th</sup> RGS Doctoral Conference, 26<sup>th</sup> Spring Meeting of Young Economists,  
Applied Behavioral Theory Workshop (DICE), DICE Winter School 2022

4<sup>th</sup> Doctoral Workshop on the Economics of Digitization at TSE, Virtual Oligo Workshop,  
Brown Bag Seminar (DICE), PhD Research Workshop (DICE) 2021

## TEACHING AND RESEARCH ASSISTANCE

---

Research Assistant for Philipp Strack (Yale University) 09/2022-05/2023

Behavioral Industrial Organization Summer 2022,2023,2024  
Co-Instructor: Lectures (Master/PhD course)

Behavioral Economic Theory Winter 2021/22,2023/24,2024/25  
Co-Instructor: Lectures and Tutorials (Master/PhD course)

Media Economics: Special Topics Winter 2020/21, 2021/22  
Tutorials (Bachelor course)

Microeconomics and Economic Policy 2016-2020  
Tutorials (Bachelor course)

## SCHOLARSHIP, GRANTS AND AWARDS

---

HHU Mobility Grant for Female Researchers 2024  
Mobility Grant financed by the HHU Equal Opportunities Office (900 Euro)

DAAD scholarship 2022-2023  
German Academic Exchange Service (15,000 Euro)

HHU High Potential Mobility Grant 2019-2020  
Scholarship for studies abroad (3,375 Euro)

Deutschlandstipendium (“Chancen nutzen”) at HHU 2016-2020  
Scholarship for outperforming students (14,400 Euro)

Best Bachelor’s and Master’s Theses in Economics at HHU 2018, 2020

## ADDITIONAL SKILLS

---

**Languages**      German (native), English (fluent)  
**IT Skills**        L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, Maple, Gurobi, Stata, R

## REFERENCES

---

Prof. Paul Heidhues, PhD  
HHU Düsseldorf  
heidhues@dice.hhu.de

Prof. Dr. Alexander Rasch  
HHU Düsseldorf  
rasch@dice.hhu.de

Prof. Dr. Markus Reisinger  
Frankfurt School of Finance and Management  
m.reisinger@fs.de

Prof. Dr. Philipp Strack  
Yale University  
philipp.strack@yale.edu