4. Data Structures and Algorithms :: Orders of Growth and Big O Notation

Commonly encountered orders of growth include:

Order of Growth	Common Name
O(1)	Constant complexity
$O(lg \ n)$	Logarithmic complexity
O(n)	Linear complexity
$O(n \ lg \ n)$	$\label{eq:linearithmic} \mbox{Linearithmic complexity } (\underline{\mbox{line}}\mbox{ar} + \mbox{loga}\underline{\mbox{rithmic}})$
$O(n^2)$	Quadratic complexity
$O(n^3)$	Cubic complexity
$O(2^n)$	Exponential (power of 2)

These are ranked from slowest to fastest growth.

4. Data Structures and Algorithms :: Linear Search Time Complexity

Let's analyze the asymptotic time complexity of the linear search algorithm (written in pseudocode):

```
Begin linearSearch(In: List<T> list; In: T key) → Int
   For i := 0 to list.size() - 1 Do
        If list; = key Then
        Return i
        End If
   End For
   Return NOT_FOUND
End linearSearch
```

The first step in analyzing the **worst case time complexity** of any algorithm is to determine what the **key operation** is (or key operations) and then to **determine a function** which counts the **maximal number** of times the key operation is performed as a **function of the problem size**.

For linearSearch the **key operation** is the comparison of $list_i$ to key and in the worst case we will have to walk through the entire list before determining that key is not in the list. Thus:

List Size	Max Comparisons
0	0

1	1
2	2

...

n n

4. Data Structures and Algorithms :: Linear Search Time Complexity (continued)

Let c(n) be the maximum number of comparisons that we make for a list of size n. It is clear that c(n) = n.

The next step in analyzing linearSearch is to determine the order of growth of c(n), that is, to determine if linearSearch is O(1), O(n), $O(n^2)$, etc. In other words, what would g(n) need to be such that $c(n) \leq g(n)$?

Clearly g(n) = n would suffice, so we would say that the asymptotic time complexity of linearSearch is O(n).

4. Data Structures and Algorithms :: Orders of Growth and Big O Notation

You may notice that if we chose $g(n) = n^2$ then we would also have $c(n) \le g(n)$ in which case we could say that the asymptotic time complexity of linearSearch is $O(n^2)$.

Although this is technically true—linearSearch will never require more than n^2 comparisons—it would be semantically incorrect. In analysis of algorithms when determining the worst case behavior of an algorithm, we are interested in determining the **smallest** or **slowest growing** function g(n) that is equal to or exceeds c(n).

If we did not make that rule, then analyzing almost every algorithm would be easy because we could claim with almost absolute certainty that any particular algorithm A is O(n!) to the n! to the n! is repeated n! times!