

# 1 Problem statement and formulation

Given a nonlinear heat conduction problem, solve for the sensitivity of the solution to different parameters which affect the thermal conductivity. The problem can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( k(T) \frac{dT}{dx} \right) = 0 \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$T(0) = T_L \quad \text{and} \quad T(L) = T_R \quad (2)$$

The thermal conductivity is represented by two piecewise linear segments:

$$k(T) = \begin{cases} k_1 \left( 1 - \frac{T - T_1}{T_2 - T_1} \right) + k_2 \left( \frac{T - T_1}{T_2 - T_1} \right), & T_1 \leq T \leq T_2 \\ k_2 \left( 1 - \frac{T - T_2}{T_3 - T_2} \right) + k_3 \left( \frac{T - T_2}{T_3 - T_2} \right), & T_2 < T \leq T_3 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Defining the residual as

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{d}{dx} \left( k(T) \frac{dT}{dx} \right) \quad (4)$$

then multiplying by a weight function and integrating over an element,

$$\pi = \int_{\Omega} w \frac{d}{dx} \left( k(T) \frac{dT}{dx} \right) d\Omega \quad (5)$$

Next, we apply integration by parts,

$$\pi = \oint_{\Gamma} k(T) w \frac{dT}{dx} \hat{\mathbf{n}} d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} k(T) \frac{dw}{dx} \frac{dT}{dx} d\Omega \quad (6)$$

Now, the weight function can be written as

$$w(x) = \sum_j V_j N_j \quad (7)$$

where  $N_j$  represents the shape functions and  $V_j$  represents a vector of unknown coefficients. Using the same shape functions for the approximation function:

$$\tilde{T}(x) = \sum_i c_i N_i \quad (8)$$

Inserting these into (6) and assuming no Neumann boundary conditions,

$$\pi = - \int_{\Omega} k(T) \left[ \sum_j V_j \frac{dN_j}{dx} \right] \left[ \sum_i c_i \frac{dN_i}{dx} \right] d\Omega \quad (9)$$

Now, making the functional stationary with respect to  $V_j$  and dropping the summations for clarity,

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial V_j} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} k(T) \frac{dN_i}{dx} \frac{dN_j}{dx} d\Omega \right] c_i = 0 \quad (10)$$

In this case, since the Lagrange basis is used, the coefficients represent temperatures at the nodes. Integrating over the element in the computational domain,

$$\left[ \int k(T(x)) \frac{dN_i}{d\xi} \frac{dN_j}{d\xi} J d\xi \right] c_i = 0 \quad (11)$$

This problem can be written as

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  is a vector which holds all the coefficients and  $\mathbf{f}$  is full of zeros because there is no source term. Because the problem is nonlinear, the stiffness matrix is a function of the solution  $\mathbf{u}$ . Piccard iteration is used to iterative solve in the following manner:

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u}^n) \mathbf{u}^{n+1} = \mathbf{f} \quad (13)$$

## 2 Derivation of SACVM

If we want to calculate the sensitivities of the solution,  $\mathbf{u}$ , with respect to some parameters,  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can do so using the following

$$\mathbf{K} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \quad (14)$$

We may then solve for the sensitivity as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} \right) \quad (15)$$

Writing the derivatives using the Piccard iteration and dropping the load vector due to zero source terms,

$$\left( \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^{n+1} = -\mathbf{K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}})^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^n \bar{\mathbf{u}} \quad (16)$$

where the overbar denotes the solution to the original nonlinear problem. We must now find a way to compute the gradient of the stiffness matrix with respect to the parameters. The complex variable method for approximating derivatives can be written as

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{\text{Im}[f(x + ih)]}{h} + \mathcal{O}[h^2]$$

Now, applying the complex variable method to the derivative of the stiffness matrix,

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right)^n = \underbrace{\frac{\text{Im}[\mathbf{K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}} + i\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{u}})]}{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{u}}}}_{\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{u}}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right)^n \quad (17)$$

This  $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{u}}$  is the perturbation in temperature due to the perturbation in the thermal conductivity. *Given a perturbation in thermal conductivity, we must solve for the corresponding perturbation in the temperature field.*

Another way to look at it:

$$[\Delta \mathbf{u}]^{n+1} = -\mathbf{K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}})^{-1} [\Delta \mathbf{K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}})]^n \bar{\mathbf{u}}$$

$$[\Delta \mathbf{u}]^{n+1} = -\mathbf{K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}})^{-1} \text{Im}[\mathbf{K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}} + i[\Delta \mathbf{u}]^n)] \bar{\mathbf{u}}$$

The conductivity has to be sampled with complex temperatures. How should we do this? I've achieved correct results using FDM and CVM, but I can't seem to get the SACVM correct.