

# Constrained optimization: indirect methods



**Slides by**

Jussi Hakanen

Post-doctoral researcher

[jussi.hakanen@jyu.fi](mailto:jussi.hakanen@jyu.fi)

**Presented by**

Mohammad Tabatabaei

Post-doctoral researcher

[mohammad.tabatabaei@jyu.fi](mailto:mohammad.tabatabaei@jyu.fi)

# Classification of the methods

- *Indirect methods*: the constrained problem is converted into a sequence of unconstrained problems whose solutions will approach to the solution of the constrained problem, the intermediate solutions need not to be feasible
- *Direct methods*: the constraints are taking into account explicitly, intermediate solutions are feasible

# Transforming the optimization problem

- Constraints of the problem can be transformed if needed
- $g_i(x) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow g_i(x) + y_i^2 = 0$ , where  $y_i$  is a *slack variable*; constraint is active if  $y_i = 0$ 
  - By adding  $y_i^2$  no need to add  $y_i \geq 0$
  - If  $g_i(x)$  is linear, then linearity is preserved by  $g_i(x) + y_i = 0, y_i \geq 0$
- $g_i(x) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow -g_i(x) \leq 0$
- $h_i(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h_i(x) \leq 0 \ \& \ -h_i(x) \leq 0$

# Examples of indirect methods

- Penalty function methods
- Barrier function methods

# Penalty and barrier function methods

- Include constraints into the objective function with the help of an auxiliary function.
- Penalty function: penalizes for constraint violations
- Barrier function: prevents leaving the feasible region
- Resulting unconstrained problems can be solved by using the methods presented earlier in the course

# Penalty function methods

- Generate a sequence of points that approach the feasible region **from outside**

- Constrained problem is converted into

$$\min_{x \in R^n} f(x) + r \alpha(x),$$

where  $\alpha(x)$  is a **penalty function** and  $r$  is a **penalty parameter**

- Requirements:  $\alpha(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in R^n$  and  $\alpha(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in S$

# On convergence

- When  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , the solutions  $x^r$  of penalty function problems converge to a constrained minimizer ( $x^r \rightarrow x^*$  and  $r\alpha(x^r) \rightarrow 0$ )
  - All the functions should be **continuous**
  - For each  $r$ , there should exist a solution for penalty functions problem and  $\{x^r\}$  belongs to a compact subset of  $R^n$

# Examples of penalty functions

■ *Can you give an example of a penalty function  $\alpha(x)$ ?*

■ For equality constraints

$$\begin{aligned} - \quad h_i(x) = 0 &\rightarrow \alpha(x) = \sum_{i=1}^l (h_i(x))^2 \text{ or} \\ &\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=1}^l |h_i(x)|^p, p \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

■ For inequality constraints

$$\begin{aligned} - \quad g_i(x) \leq 0 &\rightarrow \alpha(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \max [0, g_i(x)] \text{ or} \\ &\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \max [0, g_i(x)]^p, p \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$



# How to choose $r$ ?

- Should be **large enough** in order for the solutions be close enough to the feasible region
- If  $r$  is too large, there could be numerical problems in solving the penalty problems
- For large values of  $r$ , the **emphasis is on finding feasible solutions** and, thus, the solution can be feasible but far from optimum
- Typically  $r$  is **updated iteratively**
- Different parameters can be used for different constraints (e.g.  $g_i \rightarrow r_i, g_j \rightarrow r_j$ )
  - For the sake of simplicity, same parameter is used here for all the constraints

# Algorithm

- 1) Choose the final tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$  and a starting point  $x^1$ . Choose  $r^1 > 0$  (*not too large*) and set  $h = 1$ .
- 2) Solve
$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) + r^h \alpha(x)$$
with some method for unconstrained problems ( $x^h$  as a starting point). Let the solution be  $x^{h+1} = x(r^h)$ .
- 3) Test optimality: If  $r^h \alpha(x^{h+1}) < \epsilon$ , stop. Solution  $x^{h+1}$  is close enough to optimum. Otherwise, set  $r^{h+1} > r^h$  (e.g.  $r^{h+1} = \kappa r^h$ , where  $\kappa$  can be initialized to be e.g. 10). Set  $h = h + 1$  and go to 2).

# Barrier function method

- Prevents leaving the feasible region
- Suitable **only for** problems with inequality constraints
  - Set  $\{x \mid g_i(x) < 0 \ \forall i\}$  should not be empty
- Problem to be solved is

$$\min_x f(x) + r\beta(x) \text{ s.t. } r \geq 0,$$

where:

- $\beta$  is **a barrier function**:  $\beta(x) \geq 0$  when  $g_i(x) < 0 \ \forall i$  and  $\beta(x) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $x$  approaches boundary of  $S$ .

# On convergence

- Denote  $\Theta(r) = f(x^r) + r\beta(x^r)$
- Under some assumptions, the solutions  $x^r$  of barrier problems converge to a constrained minimizer ( $x^r \rightarrow x^*$  and  $r\beta(x^r) \rightarrow 0$ ) when  $r \rightarrow 0^+$ 
  - All functions should be continuous
  - $\{x \mid g_i(x) < 0 \ \forall i\} \neq \emptyset$

# Properties of barrier functions

- Nonnegative and continuous in  $\{x \mid g_i(x) < 0 \ \forall i\}$
- Approaches  $\infty$  when the boundary of the feasible region is approached from inside
- Ideally:  $\beta = 0$  in  $\{x \mid g_i(x) < 0 \ \forall i\}$  and  $\beta = \infty$  in the boundary
  - Guarantees staying in the feasible region
- Examples of barrier functions
  - $\beta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m -\frac{1}{g_i(x)}$
  - $\beta(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \ln(\min[1, -g_i(x)])$

# Algorithm

- 1) Choose the final tolerance  $\epsilon > 0$  and a starting point  $x^1$  s.t.  $g_i(x) < 0 \forall i$ . Choose  $r^1 > 0$ , *not too small* (and a parameter  $0 < \tau < 1$  for reducing  $r$ ). Set  $h = 1$ .

- 2) Solve

$$\min_x f(x) + r^h \beta(x)$$

by using the starting point  $x^h$ . Let the solution be  $x^{h+1}$ .

- 1) Test optimality: If  $r^h \beta(x^{h+1}) < \epsilon$ , stop. Solution  $x^{h+1}$  is close enough to optimum. Otherwise, set  $r^{h+1} < r^h$  (e.g.  $r^{h+1} = \tau r^h$ ). Set  $h = h + 1$  and go to 2).

# Summary: penalty and barrier function methods

- Penalty and barrier functions are **usually differentiable**
- Minimum is obtained
  - Penalty function:  $r^h \rightarrow \infty$
  - Barrier function:  $r^h \rightarrow 0$
- Choosing the sequence  $r^h$  essential for convergence
  - If  $r^h \rightarrow \infty$  or  $r^h \rightarrow 0$  too slowly, a large number of unconstrained problems need to be solved
  - If  $r^h \rightarrow \infty$  or  $r^h \rightarrow 0$  too fast, solutions of successive unconstrained problems are far from each other and solution time increases