

IMPACTS ON FLOODING IN THE SKAGIT RIVER DEVELOPING TOOLS FOR BETTER FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT

Joe Hamman

October 16, 2012

Washington Cooperative Fish and
Wildlife Research Unit Seminar Series
Olympia, WA



Department of Civil
and Environmental
Engineering

GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE



Hamilton, WA 2007

PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- 1. Brief overview of current climate science**
- 2. Implications of climate change for river flooding and sea level rise**
- 3. Overview of the Skagit River Watershed and flood history**
- 4. Climate change impacts on flooding in the Skagit River**
- 5. Potential for risk reduction**
- 6. Questions**




Aerial computer generated depiction of large flood event from Burlington to Mount Vernon to Padilla Bay

Picture Courtesy Skagit County Public Works Department


GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE

THE BASICS




GLOBAL CLIMATE MODELS

Schematic for Global Atmospheric Model




**Projections from GCMs
21th century warming
between 1.5 and 3 °C**



Source: IPCC, 2007

FUTURE CLIMATE CHANGE IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST


- Mote et al. (2010) looked at the output from 20GCMs
- Strong signal-to-noise ratio for changes in temperature
- No clear signal for changes in precipitation
- Temperature
 - 2020s – 1.1 °C
 - 2040s – 1.8 °C
 - 2080s – 3.0 °C
- Precipitation
 - +1% to +2%



Source: Mote et al, 2010

FUTURE CLIMATE CHANGE IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST


- Seasonal Temperature
 - Largest increases during Summer months
- Seasonal Precipitation
 - Increase in Winter/Spring/Fall precipitation
 - Decrease in Summer precipitation



Source: Mote et al, 2010

IMPLICATIONS OF WARMING ON HYDROLOGY


Schematic of a Cool Climate Flood



Source: Alan Hamlet

IMPLICATIONS OF WARMING ON HYDROLOGY


Schematic of a Warm Climate Flood




Source: Alan Hamlet

HYDROLOGIC MODELING

Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) Macroscale Hydrologic Model





VIC Snow Algorithm



RESERVOIR MODELING


1. Satisfy system mass balance and physical constraints on storage and releases.
2. Satisfy local minimum flow requirements.
3. Satisfy hydropower production demands.
4. Follow flood control rules and mimic flood control operations.




CHANGES IN STREAMFLOW TIMING

- Changes due to warmer temperatures and increased Winter precipitation
 - Rain Dominant Basins: small increases
 - Transient Rain-Snow Basins: shift from spring peak to Fall/Winter peak
 - Snowmelt Dominant Basins: decrease in Spring/Summer flow


Rain Dominant
Chehalis River



Transient Rain-Snow
Yakima River




Snowmelt Dominant
Columbia River



Source: Elsner et al., 2010


CHANGES IN MONTHLY AVERAGE STREAMFLOW

Skagit River Basin near Mount Vernon





Source: Lee & Hamlet, 2012 (In Preparation)

CHANGES IN FLOODING



Source: Tohver and Hamlet (2010)


CHANGES IN 100-YEAR FLOOD STATISTICS



- 100-year flood risks are reduced only 3 % for the 2040s and 1 % for the 2080s under the alternative flood control curves.
- The alternative flood control operations are largely ineffective in mitigating the increased flood risks.

Source: Lee & Hamlet, 2012


GLOBAL SEA LEVEL RISE



Source: IPCC, 2007


PUGET SOUND SEA LEVEL RISE

- Puget Sound SLR rate adjusted for vertical land movement is 1.8-2.2 mm/year.
- Recent trends in Puget Sound MSL are smaller than 20 year global average of 3.26 mm/year.



GLOBAL SEA LEVEL RISE

Sea-Level Trends from Satellite Altimetry,
1992 -2009



- Heterogeneous global SLR
- Observed trends in Eastern Pacific sea level are negative over past 20 years
- Likely due to large scale wind patterns
- It is unclear how long this pattern will persist

Source: Nicholls and Cazenave, 2010

PROJECTIONS OF GLOBAL SEA LEVEL RISE







Figure adapted from Nicholls and Cazenave (2010)

STORM SURGE LINEAR REGRESSION APPROACH

1. Calculate anomalies and sort by month
2. Anomaly = f (Pressure, Pressure Patterns, ENSO)
 - Training Data: WRF-Reanalysis, observed ENSO
 - Forecast Data: WRF-ECHAM5 and ECHAM5 SSTs
3. Add forecasted anomalies and SLR to hourly tide projections









STORM SURGE EL NIÑO SOUTHERN OSCILLATION





- Linear relationship between ENSO and Winter height anomaly
- Extracted Nino3.4 from GCM SSTs

STORM SURGE PRESSURE PATTERNS




- Used singular value decomposition (SVD) to isolate important regional pressure patterns
- These time series represent the key modes of pressure variability that explain storm surge anomalies

STORM SURGE AND SLR




- No change in the storm surge CDFs between RCM time periods
- SLR, by comparison, drastically changes the CDFs by shifting them each upward


SKAGIT RIVER BASIN



SKAGIT RIVER BASIN




THE SKAGIT RIVER RESERVOIRS




Source: Se-Yeun Lee

LOWE SKAGIT RIVER BASIN



LOWER SKAGIT RIVER BASIN



FLOODING IN THE SKAGIT RIVER BASIN




- Organizations involved:

- US Army Corps of Engineers – Flood Control Operations
- FEMA – Flood Mapping and Flood Insurance (NFIP)
- Puget Sound Energy – Baker River Reservoirs
- Seattle City Light – Skagit River Reservoirs
- County and Local Governments – Coordination and Development



LOCAL IMPACTS


- How do we combine what we know about flooding and SLR in the Skagit River to plan for the future?




METHODS

100-YEAR FLOOD MAPPING

- Applied relative changes in 100-year flood to FEMA hydrograph
- Eliminates model bias in peak flows
- Performed composite flood mapping for 2040s and 2080s (7 levee failure scenarios)




$$FEMA_{2040s} = FEMA \times \frac{100\text{yr}HD_{2040s}}{100\text{yr}His}$$




SCALED Q100 FLOODS


ALL LEVEES INTACT




Historical



2040s



2080s



Inputs:

- Q100: Historical
- SLR: 0.00 feet

Results:

- Area: 42,266 acres
- Avg. Depth: 4.5 ft.

Inputs:

- Q100: 2040s (x1.14)
- SLR: 1.35 feet

Results:

- Area: 66,248 acres
(+57%)
- Avg. Depth: 5.3 ft.

Inputs:

- Q100: 2080s (x1.32)
- SLR: 3.02 feet


Results:

- Area: 73,594 acres
(+74%)
- Avg. Depth: 5.7 ft.


3 LARGEST FLOODS IN 2050S

ALL LEVEES INTACT



Jan. 30, 2069



Feb. 4, 2063



Nov. 18, 2047



COMPOSITE FLOOD MAPS

7 LEVEE FAILURE SCENARIOS

Chosen Scenarios for Skagit River Floodplain Mapping




Scenario


- All Levees Intact (Figure 3)
- Left Bank Levees Removed (Figure 4)
- Fir Island Levees Removed (Figure 5)
- South Fork Right Bank Levee Removed (Figure 6)
- North Fork Left Bank Levee Removed (Figure 7)
- Right Bank Levees Removed Except South Fork (Figure 8)
- Left Bank Levees Removed Except North Fork (Figure 9)

SCALED Q100 FLOODS

COMPOSITE OF 7 LEVEE SCENARIOS



Historical




Inputs:

- Q100: Historical
- SLR: 0.00 feet

Results:

- Area: 71,427 acres
- Avg. Depth: 7.0 ft.

2040s




Inputs:

- Q100: 2040s (x1.14)
- SLR: 1.35 feet

Results:

- Area: 72,206 acres
(+1%)
- Avg. Depth: 7.5 ft.

2080s



Inputs:

- Q100: 2080s (x1.32)
- SLR: 3.02 feet


Results:

- Area: 72,768 acres
(+2%)
- Avg. Depth: 7.8 ft.

SCALED Q100 FLOODS


DIFFERENCE FROM HISTORICAL

2040s





+5 Inches

2080s




+10 Inches


Legend




NATURAL RIVER CONDITIONS




CONSTRAINED CHANNEL CONDITIONS




CONSTRAINED CHANNEL CONDITIONS



CONSTRAINED CHANNEL CONDITIONS




PARTIALLY CONSTRAINED CONDITIONS



RIGHT LEVEES REMOVED (EXAMPLE)

- 2080s
 - 1.32xHistorical
 - 75% area flooded relative to the “All Levees Intact” Scenario
- Similar process could be completed for other levee removal and setback scenarios



CONCLUSIONS

- Future storm surge, brought on by barometric and wind effects, is not expected to change significantly.
- Sea level rise is expected to influence extreme water levels much more than changes in storm surge.
- Inundation from flooding in the Skagit is expected to increase by up to 74% by the 2080s given combined SLR and increased flood magnitudes.
- Average depth in flood map increases by
 - 5 inches in 2040s
 - 10 inches in 2080s
- Using a scenario based approach is an effective way to understand changes in flood magnitudes over time.
- Modifying levee positions is a way to offset the increases in flood risk.

QUESTIONS?


Acknowledgments

- Alan Hamlet
- Contributors
 - Se-Yuen Lee
 - Matt Stumbaugh
 - Eric Salathé
 - Roger Fuller
 - Eric Grossman
- Funding
 - US Environmental Protection Agency
 - The Nature Conservancy

EXTRAS


2040S 100-YEAR FLOOD

ALL LEVEES INTACT



Inputs:


- Hydrograph: $1.14 \times (\text{His 100yr})$
- Sea Level Rise: 1.35 feet



**Area Flooded: 66,248 acres
(+57%)**


2080S 100-YEAR FLOOD

ALL LEVEES INTACT



Inputs:

- Hydrograph: $1.32 \times (\text{His 100yr})$
- Sea Level Rise: 3.02 feet




**Area Flooded: 73,594 acres
(+74%)**

RESOURCES

- **Skagit County HAZUS -**
<http://www.skagitcounty.net/Common/asp/default.asp?d=PlanningAndPermit&c=General&p=FEMAfloodstudy/femafloodstudy2010.htm>
- **Skagit County Flood Study -**
<http://www.skagitcounty.net/Common/asp/default.asp?d=PlanningAndPermit&c=General&p=FEMAfloodstudy.htm>
- **Envision Skagit 2060 -**
<http://www.skagitcounty.net/Common/asp/default.asp?d=EnvisionSkagit&c=General&P=reports.htm>
- **Climate Impacts Group, 2860 project -**
<http://www.hydro.washington.edu/2860/>

DYNAMIC DOWNSCALING




- WRF provides atmospheric conditions at much higher resolution
- Simulates actual weather prescribed by large scale GCM
- Produces actual storms
- Does not rely on the historical time series

- Three 30-year time periods
 - 1980s, 2020s and 2050s

STATISTICAL DOWNSCALING

Hybrid Downscaling Method


- Performed for each VIC grid cell:




- Adjusts historic monthly timeseries to match CDF of GCM at each grid cell
- Forces historic daily timeseries to fit new monthly values
- Preserves most of the historical time series behavior
 - Storm size, storm location, interarrival, seasonality, time, etc.
- Two 30-year time periods
 - 2040s and 2080s

HYDROLOGIC MODELING



Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) Macroscale Hydrologic Model



VIC Snow Algorithm





UNREGULATED HYDROLOGY





RESERVOIR MODELING

1. Satisfy system mass balance and physical constraints on storage and releases.
2. Satisfy local minimum flow requirements.
3. Satisfy hydropower production demands.
4. Follow flood control rules and mimic flood control operations.



REGULATED PEAK FLOWS

Skagit River at Mt. Vernon, WA



HOURLY DISAGGREGATION

■ WRF storms

- **Goal:** Assess dynamics of flooding under completely different conditions (storm surge, SLR, hydrograph)
- **Approach:** *Steepness Index Unit Volume Flood Hydrograph Approach for Sub-Daily Flow Disaggregation*

■ Scaled FEMA storms

- **Goal:** Compare flood extents and depths between different time periods (e.g. Historical and 2050s)
- **Approach:** Scale by relative increase in 100-yr flood based on GEVD fit to each 30-yr time period

