

The weak-field limit

- Einstein's equations are in general extremely difficult to solve.
- When dealing with weak gravitational fields, it is often helpful to expand $g_{\mu\nu}$ around the Minkowski metric.
- Specifically, suppose we can choose coordinates where $g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}$ where $|h_{\alpha\beta}| \ll 1$ everywhere in spacetime. We say that in this case spacetime is nearly flat, and we can expand in the small parameters $h_{\alpha\beta}$.
- Now $h_{\alpha\beta}$ on its own need not describe the components of a tensor, but it is still helpful to know how it transforms.
- We will be interested in particular in two types of transformations: background Lorentz transformations and gauge transformations.

Background LTs

In SR + Cartesian components, Lorentz transformations are a coordinate transformation with components:

$$\Lambda^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\beta} : \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -vY & 0 & 0 \\ -vY & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for e.g. a Lorentz boost along the } x\text{-axis}$$

A general LT is this boost combined with rotations.

Now in GR this is still a valid coordinate transformation - just in general it no longer leaves $g_{\mu\nu}$ unchanged.

But if $\Lambda^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\beta}$ does have the form of a LT in SR, we know

$$\Lambda^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\beta} \Lambda^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{\delta} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} = \eta^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\delta}}$$
. And so if $g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$g_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \Lambda^{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}} \Lambda^{\delta}_{\bar{\beta}} (\eta_{\gamma\delta} + h_{\gamma\delta}) = \eta_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} + \Lambda^{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}} \Lambda^{\delta}_{\bar{\beta}} h_{\gamma\delta}$$

Let us define $h_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \Lambda^{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}} \Lambda^{\delta}_{\bar{\beta}} h_{\gamma\delta}$, i.e. under this class of transformations, $h_{\alpha\beta}$ transforms like the components of a tensor in SR. Can treat spacetime like flat spacetime with an "extra tensor" $h_{\alpha\beta}$ defined on it (for some purposes - not all), & this transformation leaves the spacetime manifestly nearly-flat.

Gauge transformations

Another class of transformations that leaves the metric in the form $\eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}$ is the gauge transformations.

Basic idea: let $x^{\alpha'} = x^\alpha + \xi^\alpha(\vec{x})$

$$\Lambda^{\alpha'}_{\beta} = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^\beta} = \delta^{\alpha'}_{\beta} + \frac{\partial \xi^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{small parameter that} \\ \text{depends on position} \end{matrix}$$

$$\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta'} = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^{\beta'}} = \delta^\alpha_{\beta'} - \frac{\partial \xi^\alpha}{\partial x^{\beta'}} + O(|\xi^\alpha|)^2$$

Applying this transformation law to $g_{\alpha\beta}$ & dropping all terms 2nd-order or higher in ξ , h , we have

$$g_{\mu'\nu'} = g_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu'} \Lambda^{\beta}_{\nu'} = (\eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta})(\delta^\alpha_{\mu} - \xi^\alpha_{,\mu})(\delta^\beta_{\nu} - \xi^\beta_{,\nu})$$

$$\approx \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu,\nu} - \xi_{\nu,\mu} \quad \text{where } \xi_\mu \equiv \eta_{\mu\alpha} \xi^\alpha$$

$$\equiv \eta_{\mu'\nu'} + h_{\mu'\nu'}$$

So defining $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu'\nu'}$, we have $h_{\mu'\nu'} = h_{\mu\nu} - \xi^M_{,\nu} - \xi^N_{,\mu}$

Gauge transformations shift h without changing (at 1st order in small parameters) the physics of the system or the division of g into η + a small correction.

We can choose ξ^α arbitrarily - this is "gauge freedom".

Principles of weak-field calculations

- Treat the spacetime as SR equipped with a tensor $h_{\mu\nu}$

- Expect equations constructed from $h_{\mu\nu}$ to be valid tensor equations in SR (transformations = Lorentz transforms) but not more broadly
- Use Minkowski metric to raise & lower indices
- Write curvature, other relevant quantities as an expansion in $h_{\mu\nu}$
e.g. to 1st order, $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (h_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu} + h_{\beta\mu,\alpha\nu} - h_{\alpha\mu,\beta\nu} - h_{\beta\mu,\alpha\nu})$
- Use gauge transformations to simplify results

The Einstein tensor in weak gravity

Define $h^\mu{}_\beta \equiv \eta^{\alpha\mu} h_{\alpha\beta}$, $h_{\mu\nu} \equiv \eta^{\nu\beta} h^\mu{}_\beta$, $h \equiv h^\alpha{}_\alpha$ and the trace reverse \bar{h} with $\bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\beta} h$.

Note $\bar{h} \equiv \bar{h}^\alpha{}_\alpha = h - 2h = -h$.

Also $h^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \bar{h}$

With these definitions, it follows by some algebra that $G_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} [\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta,\mu}{}^\mu + \eta_{\alpha\beta} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu,\mu\nu} - \bar{h}_{\alpha\mu,\beta}{}^\mu - \bar{h}_{\beta\mu,\alpha}{}^\mu]$

We can simplify this expression by selecting an appropriate gauge transformation to give $h_{\mu\nu}$ desired properties.

For example, the Lorentz gauge demands $\bar{h}^{\mu\nu,\nu} = 0$ $\forall \mu$. This requirement is 4 equations, & there are four unknown gauge functions ξ^α - guess that we can always adjust ξ^α to ensure $\bar{h}^{\mu\nu,\nu} = 0$

(see Schutz p. 193 for proof - it is actually not even a unique solution)

In this case, all but the first term in $G_{\alpha\beta}$ vanishes,
 $G_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}$

Thus in the weak field the Einstein equations become

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} = -16\pi G T^{\alpha\beta}$$

Newtonian gravity

Newtonian gravity can be characterized by $\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho$.

Sourced by stationary masses (at least dominantly)

→ largest component in $T^{\alpha\beta}$ should be T^{00} , i.e.
 energy/mass density ρ

⇒ EEs give $\nabla^2 \bar{h}^{00} = -16\pi G \rho = -4\nabla^2 \phi$,

i.e. we should identify $\bar{h}^{00} = -4\phi$, with the other
 components of $\bar{h}^{\alpha\beta}$ small

Approximate Newtonian solution: $\bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} : \begin{pmatrix} -4\phi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ; & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ; & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ; \end{pmatrix}$

$$h^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \bar{h} = \bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} - 2\phi \eta^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$: \begin{pmatrix} -2\phi & & & \\ -2\phi & -2\phi & & \\ & -2\phi & -2\phi & \\ & & -2\phi & \end{pmatrix}$$

⇒ $g_{\alpha\beta}$ has components

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(1+2\phi) & 0 & & \\ - & - & + & - \\ 0 & ; & 1-2\phi & \end{pmatrix}$$

This is an approximate solution to the linearized EEs
 that reproduces $\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho$. Note if we had
 left in a free rescaling parameter, $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} \cdot K$,

we would replace $\phi \rightarrow k\phi$.

Let us use this metric to solve for the motion of a freely-falling particle, check if we can reproduce Newtonian gravity.

Let us take ϕ to be time-independent & further a function of z only. We can solve the geodesic equation for the particle 4-velocity; we know U_t, U_x, U_y are all conserved (as the metric is independent of t, x, y).

Thus let us focus on $U_z = \frac{dz}{d\tau}$. We have

$$\frac{d^2 z}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^3 \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\beta}{d\tau} = 0.$$

Let us choose $U_x = U_y = 0$ initially, & by their conservation they will remain so, & $U^x = g^{xx} U_x = 0$
(same for U^y)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d^2 z}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma_{00}^3 (U^0)^2 + 2 \Gamma_{03}^3 U^0 \left(\frac{dz}{d\tau} \right) + \Gamma_{33}^3 \left(\frac{dz}{d\tau} \right)^2 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \Gamma_{00}^3 &= \frac{1}{2} g^{33} (\partial_0 g_{03} + \partial_0 g_{30} - \partial_3 g_{00}) \\ &\doteq -\frac{1}{2} (-2 \phi'(z)) \\ &= \phi'(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma_{03}^3 = \frac{1}{2} g^{33} (\partial_0 g_{33} + \partial_3 g_{03} - \partial_3 g_{03})$$

$$= 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{33}^3 &= \frac{1}{2} g^{33} (\partial_3 g_{33} + \partial_3 g_{33} - \partial_3 g_{33}) \\ &\doteq \frac{1}{2} \partial_3 (-2\phi) \\ &= -\phi'(z) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equation of motion becomes

$$\frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} + (\phi'(z)) \left(\frac{dt}{dz} \right)^2 - \phi'(z) \left(\frac{dz}{dt} \right)^2 \approx 0$$

In the NR limit, $\left| \frac{dt}{dz} \right| \approx 1 \gg \left| \frac{dz}{dt} \right|$, so we have

$\frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} \approx -\phi'(z)$, i.e the acceleration due to gravity is given by the first derivative of the potential.

If we rescaled the RHS of the EEs by K , so $\phi \rightarrow K\phi$, we would have $\frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} = -K \frac{d\phi}{dz}$, but we see $K=1$ is the correct choice.